



ARCHIVED - Archiving Content

Archived Content

Information identified as archived is provided for reference, research or recordkeeping purposes. It is not subject to the Government of Canada Web Standards and has not been altered or updated since it was archived. Please contact us to request a format other than those available.

ARCHIVÉE - Contenu archivé

Contenu archivé

L'information dont il est indiqué qu'elle est archivée est fournie à des fins de référence, de recherche ou de tenue de documents. Elle n'est pas assujettie aux normes Web du gouvernement du Canada et elle n'a pas été modifiée ou mise à jour depuis son archivage. Pour obtenir cette information dans un autre format, veuillez communiquer avec nous.

This document is archival in nature and is intended for those who wish to consult archival documents made available from the collection of Public Safety Canada.

Some of these documents are available in only one official language. Translation, to be provided by Public Safety Canada, is available upon request.

Le présent document a une valeur archivistique et fait partie des documents d'archives rendus disponibles par Sécurité publique Canada à ceux qui souhaitent consulter ces documents issus de sa collection.

Certains de ces documents ne sont disponibles que dans une langue officielle. Sécurité publique Canada fournira une traduction sur demande.



Correctional Service of Canada

Women Offender Statistical Overview 2007

Introduction

The majority of the tables in this document focus on the regional institutions (Nova Institution for Women, Joliette, Grand Valley for Women, Okimaw Ohci Healing Lodge, Edmonton Institution for Women and Fraser Valley Institution. However, where appropriate, data is also included from Isabel McNeil (Ontario) and Regional Psychiatric Centre (Prairies).

Here are a few key points of interest in the following report:

- The last inmate left Prison for Women in May 2000;
- Nova Institution for Women opened in 1995 and the Secure Unit opened in January 2003;
- Joliette Institution opened in 1997 and the Secure Unit opened in May 2003;
- Grand Valley Institution for Women opened in 1997 and the Secure Unit opened in October 2004;
- Okimaw Ohci Healing Lodge opened in 1995.
- Edmonton Institution for Women opened in 1995 and the Secure Unit opened in March 2003.
- Fraser Valley Institution opened in 2004 and the Secure Unit opened in March 2006
- There has been an increase of 21% in the federal women offender population since March 31, 2003; An increase of 34% for women incarcerated and an increase of 12% for women who are presently in the Community.
- The Prairie Region has the highest proportion of incarcerated women offenders. This has been consistent since March 1999. As of March 31, 2007 the Prairie Region accounts for 32% of the total incarcerated women offender population;
- On April 8, 2007 the majority of the women offender incarcerated population were classified at a medium-security level (195 (41%)) followed by minimum security;
- On March 31, 2007 there were 320 women incarcerated for a violent offence, accounting for 67%;
- Incarcerated Aboriginal women offender (104) account for 23% of the total incarcerated federal women offender population (460). Aboriginal peoples account for 3.3% of the total Canadian population;

LEGEND	
CRS	Corporate Reporting System
EIFW	Edmonton Institution for Women
GVI	Grand Valley Institution for Women
IMH	Isabel McNeil House
Joliette	Joliette Institution
Nova	Nova Institution for Women
OMS	Offender Management System
OOHL	Okimaw Ochi Healing Lodge
RPC Prairies	Regional Psychiatric Centre Prairies
WOC	Warrant of Committal

Definitions

The statistics in this document are presented in two different formats: 1) at a point in time, and 2) over a period of time.

1) at a point in time

This measure is designed to illustrate a situation on a specific date. Each Sunday, CSC takes a "snapshot" of the data in OMS relating to the offenders in each institution across the country. This information is then stored separately from OMS and labeled as having been extracted from OMS on that Sunday's date. These "snapshots" can then be used to compare one institution or region to another at the same point in time. By comparing "snapshots", one point in time can be compared to other points in time on an institutional, regional or national level. These types of figures are dynamic in that the numbers will change with each new "snapshot". Incarcerated and community populations are often presented this way.

2) over a period of time

This measure is designed to illustrate an accumulation between two dates. When the OMS data from each institution (as well as the archives) is amalgamated it is possible to use these types of measures to make comparisons and identify trends between one institution or region and another. By adjusting the date parameters, it is possible to use these measures to compare an institutional, a regional, or the national view from one time period to another. These types of figures are generally static in that they rarely change from one "snapshot" amalgamation to the next. Offender admissions and releases are regularly presented this way.

Other:

Most of the tables provide information on the total population and then a more specific breakdown of the Aboriginal vs Non-Aboriginal populations.

Generally, the numbers and percentages of the specific Aboriginal/Non-Aboriginal tables add up to the total provided for the total population.

NUMBER OF DAYS SPENT IN SEGREGATION FOR ALL TYPES OF ADMISSIONS INTO SEGREGATION	39
NUMBER OF DAYS SPENT IN SEGREGATION FOR ALL TYPES OF ADMISSIONS INTO SEGREGATION - ABORIGINAL	40
NUMBER OF DAYS SPENT IN SEGREGATION FOR ALL TYPES OF ADMISSIONS INTO SEGREGATION - NON-ABORIGINAL	41
ESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES	42
ESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES - ABORIGINAL	43
ESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES - NON-ABORIGINAL	44
UNESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES	45
UNESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES - ABORIGINAL	46
UNESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES - NON-ABORIGINAL	47
WORK RELEASES	48
WORK RELEASES - ABORIGINAL	49
WORK RELEASES - NON-ABORIGINAL	50
OFFENDERS INCARCERATED PAST DPED AND PRIOR TO FPED POPULATION AS OF APRIL 1, 2007..	51
ABORIGINAL OFFENDERS INCARCERATED PAST DPED AND PRIOR TO FPED POPULATION AS OF APRIL 1, 2007	52
NON-ABORIGINAL	52
OFFENDER INCARCERATED PAST FPED AND PRIOR TO SRD POPULATION AS OF APRIL 1, 2007....	53
ABORIGINAL OFFENDER INCARCERATED PAST FPED AND PRIOR TO SRD POPULATION AS OF APRIL 1, 2007	54
NON-ABORIGINAL	54
DAY PAROLE WAIVERS, POSTPONEMENTS AND ADJOURNMENTS FISCAL YEAR 2006/2007	55
ABORIGINAL	55
NON-ABORIGINAL	55
FULL PAROLE WAIVERS, POSTPONEMENTS AND ADJOURNMENTS - FISCAL YEAR 2006/2007	56
ABORIGINAL	56
NON-ABORIGINAL	56
COMMUNITY TEMPORARY DETENTION AND DEPORTATION	57
ABORIGINALS.....	57
NON-ABORIGINALS	57
RELEASES BY INSTITUTION.....	58
ABORIGINAL RELEASES BY INSTITUTION	59
NON-ABORIGINAL RELEASES BY INSTITUTION	60
OFFENCE PROFILE BY REGION – COMMUNITY	61
OFFENCE PROFILE - COMMUNITY ABORIGINAL	62
OFFENCE PROFILE - COMMUNITY NON-ABORIGINAL.....	63
COMMUNITY SUPERVISION POPULATION BY ETHNIC ORIGIN.....	64
CONDITIONAL RELEASE TYPE IN THE COMMUNITY	65
CONDITIONAL RELEASE TYPE - ABORIGINAL	65
CONDITIONAL RELEASE TYPE - NON-ABORIGINAL.....	65
ATLANTIC REGION UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE	66
QUEBEC REGION UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE	67
ONTARIO REGION UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE	68
PRAIRIE REGION UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE	69
PRAIRIE REGION - ABORIGINAL - UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE	69
PACIFIC REGION UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE.....	70
ANNEX I - LIST OF SCHEDULED OFFENCES - SCHEDULE I.....	71
ANNEX I - LIST OF SCHEDULED OFFENCES - SCHEDULE II.....	73

DISTRIBUTION OF THE TOTAL FEDERAL WOMEN OFFENDER POPULATION INCARCERATED AND IN THE COMMUNITY - 2002-2007

Fiscal Year	Incarcerated	%	Community	%	Total
2002-2003	356	44%	460	56%	816
2003-2004	379	47%	433	53%	812
2004-2005	368	44%	465	56%	833
2005-2006	408	45%	500	55%	908
2006-2007	476	48%	513	52%	989

Source: OMS, as of year end snapshot.

- There has been an increase in the federal women offender population (21%) since March 31, 2003; however, the proportion of federal women offenders in the community is consistently higher than the proportion of the incarcerated women offender population.

ABORIGINAL

Fiscal Year	Incarcerated	%	Community	%	Total
2002-2003	104	59%	72	41%	176
2003-2004	108	60%	71	40%	179
2004-2005	100	52%	91	48%	191
2005-2006	128	56%	100	44%	228
2006-2007	148	59%	103	41%	251

Source: OMS, as of year end snapshot.

NON ABORIGINAL

Fiscal Year	Incarcerated	%	Community	%	Total
2002-2003	252	39%	388	61%	640
2003-2004	271	43%	362	57%	633
2004-2005	268	42%	374	58%	642
2005-2006	280	41%	400	59%	680
2006-2007	328	44%	410	56%	738

Source: OMS, as of year end snapshot.

Incarcerated population: federal offenders housed in a penitentiary or a provincial prison with an incarcerated status and federal offenders on Temporary Absences

Community population: federal offenders on Day Parole with daily return, federal offenders in temporary detention, federal offenders on Day Parole, Full Parole, Statutory Release, and federal offenders that have been deported.

DISTRIBUTION OF THE FEDERAL WOMEN OFFENDER POPULATION - INCARCERATED AND IN THE COMMUNITY, 2002-2007, BY REGION

Fiscal Year	Status	Atlantic		Québec		Ontario		Prairies		Pacific		Total	
2002-2003	Incarcerated	49	64%	71	46%	81	32%	121	50%	34	40%	356	44%
	Community	27	36%	85	54%	175	68%	123	50%	50	60%	460	56%
2003-2004	Incarcerated	60	72%	76	49%	88	34%	116	53%	39	42%	379	47%
	Community	23	28%	78	51%	174	66%	104	47%	54	58%	433	53%
2004-2005	Incarcerated	34	46%	73	46%	100	38%	114	49%	47	45%	368	44%
	Community	40	54%	87	54%	161	62%	119	51%	58	55%	465	56%
2005-2006	Incarcerated	47	50%	76	48%	101	36%	137	53%	47	40%	408	45%
	Community	47	50%	82	52%	179	64%	121	47%	71	60%	500	55%
2006-2007	Incarcerated	65	57%	72	44%	125	42%	154	53%	60	48%	476	48%
	Community	50	43%	92	56%	170	58%	136	47%	65	52%	513	52%

Source: OMS, as of year end snapshot.

- At year end 2006-2007 the Atlantic and the Prairie region are the only regions to have more women incarcerated than in the community.

ABORIGINAL - INCARCERATED AND IN THE COMMUNITY

Fiscal Year	Status	Atlantic		Québec		Ontario		Prairies		Pacific		Total	
2002-2003	Incarcerated	5	71%	6	100%	13	57%	67	55%	13	68%	104	59%
	Community	2	29%	0	0%	10	43%	54	45%	6	32%	72	41%
2003-2004	Incarcerated	6	86%	5	71%	11	52%	66	58%	20	67%	108	60%
	Community	1	14%	2	29%	10	48%	48	42%	10	33%	71	40%
2004-2005	Incarcerated	3	38%	3	60%	11	52%	69	55%	14	45%	100	52%
	Community	5	63%	2	40%	10	48%	57	45%	17	55%	91	48%
2005-2006	Incarcerated	9	53%	3	50%	12	57%	85	56%	19	58%	128	56%
	Community	8	47%	3	50%	9	43%	66	44%	14	42%	100	44%
2006-2007	Incarcerated	7	47%	6	86%	24	67%	93	58%	18	56%	148	59%
	Community	8	53%	1	14%	12	33%	68	42%	14	44%	103	41%

Source: OMS, as of year end snapshot.

- Aboriginal women tended to be incarcerated rather than on conditional release. In the region of Quebec, for example, the numbers are very small and therefore the percentage is not very representative.

NON-ABORIGINAL - INCARCERATED AND IN THE COMMUNITY

Fiscal Year	Status	Atlantic		Quebec		Ontario		Prairies		Pacific		Total	
2002-2003	Incarcerated	44	64%	65	43%	68	29%	54	44%	21	32%	252	39%
	Community	25	36%	85	57%	165	71%	69	56%	44	68%	388	61%
2003-2004	Incarcerated	54	71%	71	49%	77	32%	50	47%	19	30%	271	43%
	Community	22	29%	74	51%	163	68%	56	53%	44	70%	359	57%
2004-2005	Incarcerated	31	47%	70	45%	89	37%	45	42%	33	45%	268	42%
	Community	35	53%	85	55%	151	63%	62	58%	41	55%	374	58%
2005-2006	Incarcerated	38	49%	73	48%	89	34%	52	49%	28	33%	280	41%
	Community	39	51%	79	52%	170	66%	55	51%	57	67%	400	59%
2006-2007	Incarcerated	58	58%	66	42%	101	39%	61	47%	42	45%	328	44%
	Community	42	42%	91	58%	158	61%	68	53%	51	55%	410	56%

Source: OMS, as of year end snapshot.

DISTRIBUTION OF THE INCARCERATED FEDERAL WOMEN OFFENDER POPULATION - 2002-2006, BY REGION

Date	Atlantic		Québec		Ontario		Prairies		Pacific		Total
March 31, 2003	49	14%	71	20%	81	23%	121	34%	34	10%	356
Sept. 30, 2003	40	11%	75	20%	97	26%	117	31%	46	12%	375
March 31, 2004	60	16%	76	20%	88	23%	116	31%	39	10%	379
Sept. 30, 2004	44	12%	74	20%	92	24%	121	32%	46	12%	377
March 31, 2005	34	9%	73	20%	100	27%	114	31%	47	13%	368
Sept. 30, 2005	57	14%	68	17%	96	24%	133	33%	45	11%	399
March 31, 2006	47	12%	76	19%	101	25%	137	34%	47	12%	408
Sept. 30, 2006	57	13%	71	16%	113	25%	156	35%	55	12%	452
March 31, 2007	65	14%	72	15%	125	26%	154	32%	60	13%	476

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above.

- The total number of incarcerated federal women has increased (34%) since September 30, 2003;
- The Prairie Region has the highest proportion of incarcerated women offenders. This has been consistent since March 1999. As of March 31, 2007 the Prairie Region accounts for 32% of the total incarcerated women offender population;
- The Ontario and the Prairie Regions have consistently larger proportions of women compared to other regions, however the Atlantic and Pacific populations have been increasing since 2003.

Incarcerated population: federal offenders housed in a penitentiary or a provincial prison with an incarcerated status and federal offenders on Temporary Absences

Community population: federal offenders on Day Parole with daily return, federal offenders in temporary detention, federal offenders on Day Parole, Full Parole, Statutory Release, and federal offenders that have been deported.

DISTRIBUTION OF THE INCARCERATED FEDERAL ABORIGINAL WOMEN OFFENDER POPULATION

Date	Atlantic		Québec		Ontario		Prairies		Pacific		Total
March 31, 2003	5	5%	6	6%	13	13%	67	64%	13	13%	104
Sept. 30, 2003	4	4%	5	5%	17	16%	62	60%	16	15%	104
March 31, 2004	6	6%	5	5%	11	10%	66	61%	20	19%	108
Sept. 30, 2004	6	6%	3	3%	10	9%	71	66%	17	16%	107
March 31, 2005	4	4%	4	4%	11	11%	67	67%	14	14%	100
Sept. 30, 2005	7	6%	3	2%	10	8%	88	71%	16	13%	124
March 31, 2006	9	7%	3	2%	12	9%	85	66%	19	15%	128
Sept. 30, 2006	8	5%	4	3%	15	10%	103	68%	22	14%	152
March 31, 2007	7	5%	6	4%	24	16%	93	63%	18	12%	148

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above.

- Since 2003, the actual number of Aboriginal women has increased 42%.

DISTRIBUTION OF THE INCARCERATED FEDERAL NON-ABORIGINAL WOMEN OFFENDER POPULATION

Date	Atlantic		Québec		Ontario		Prairies		Pacific		Total
March 31, 2003	44	17%	65	26%	68	27%	54	21%	21	8%	252
Sept. 30, 2003	36	13%	70	26%	80	30%	55	20%	30	11%	271
March 31, 2004	54	20%	71	26%	77	28%	50	18%	19	7%	271
Sept. 30, 2004	38	14%	71	26%	82	30%	50	19%	29	11%	270
March 31, 2005	30	11%	69	26%	89	33%	47	18%	33	12%	268
Sept. 30, 2005	50	18%	65	24%	86	31%	45	16%	29	11%	275
March 31, 2006	38	14%	73	26%	89	32%	52	19%	28	10%	280
Sept. 30, 2006	49	16%	67	22%	98	33%	53	18%	33	11%	300
March 31, 2007	58	18%	66	20%	101	31%	61	19%	42	13%	328

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above.

Incarcerated population: federal offenders housed in a penitentiary or a provincial prison with an incarcerated status and federal offenders on Temporary Absences

Community population: federal offenders on Day Parole with daily return, federal offenders in temporary detention, federal offenders on Day Parole, Full Parole, Statutory Release, and federal offenders that have been deported.

DISTRIBUTION OF THE INCARCERATED FEDERAL WOMEN OFFENDER POPULATION BY ETHNIC ORIGIN

Race Profile	March 31, 2003		March 31, 2004		March 31, 2005		March 31, 2006		March 31, 2007	
	Women	%	Women	%	Women	%	Women	%	Women	%
Aboriginal	104	29%	108	28%	100	27%	128	31%	148	31%
Asiatic	9	3%	13	3%	9	2%	11	3%	9	2%
Black	26	7%	24	6%	29	8%	22	5%	31	7%
Caucasian	207	58%	219	58%	214	58%	232	57%	259	54%
Other	10	3%	15	4%	16	4%	15	4%	29	6%
Total	356	100%	379	100%	368	100%	408	100%	476	100%

Source: OMS, as of year end snapshot.

- As of March 31, 2007, 54% of the incarcerated women offender population was Caucasian;
- Other includes: Not specified, unknown, blank.

INCARCERATED WOMEN OFFENDERS - SECURITY CLASSIFICATION PROFILE FEDERAL INSTITUTIONS

	Maximum	%	Medium	%	Minimum	%	At Intake	%	Total
Nova	9	14%	24	37%	22	34%	10	15%	65
Joliette	10	14%	40	56%	20	28%	2	3%	72
GVI	9	7%	31	26%	63	52%	18	15%	121
IMH		0%		0%	4	100%		0%	4
OOHL		0%	13	54%	9	38%	2	8%	24
RPC Prairies	3	33%	5	56%		0%	1	11%	9
EIFW	17	14%	49	41%	25	21%	28	24%	119
FVI	2	3%	32	54%	18	31%	7	12%	59
Other		0%	1	33%	1	33%	1	33%	3
Grand Total	50	11%	195	41%	162	34%	69	14%	476

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 08, 2007

- On April 8, 2007 the majority of the woman offender population were classified at a medium-security level (195) followed by minimum security (162);
- 11% (50) women were classified as maximum-security;
- Nova Secure Unit opened in January 2003;
- Joliette Institution Secure Unit Opened in June 2003.
- Grand Valley Institution for Women Secure unit opened in October 2004;
- Edmonton Institution for Women Secure Unit opened in March 2003;
- Fraser Valley Institution Secure Unit opened in March 2006.
- Okimaw Ohci Healing Lodge has no maximum security capacity.

INCARCERATED ABORIGINAL - SECURITY CLASSIFICATION PROFILE FEDERAL INSTITUTIONS

	Maximum	%	Medium	%	Minimum	%	At Intake	%	Total
Nova	2	29%	2	29%	2	29%	1	14%	7
Joliette		0%	6	100%	0	0%		0%	6
GVI	3	13%	11	46%	7	29%	3	13%	24
IMH									
OOHL		0%	12	60%	6	30%	2	10%	20
RPC Prairies	2	40%	3	60%		0%			5
EIFW	14	21%	31	46%	10	15%	12	18%	67
FVI	1	6%	13	72%	4	22%			18
Other		0%	1	100%		0%			1
Grand Total	22	15%	79	53%	29	20%	18	12%	148

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 8, 2007

INCARCERATED NON-ABORIGINAL - SECURITY CLASSIFICATION PROFILE FEDERAL INSTITUTIONS

	Maximum	%	Medium	%	Minimum	%	At Intake	%	Total
Nova	7	12%	22	38%	20	34%	9	16%	58
Joliette	10	15%	34	52%	20	30%	2	3%	66
GVI	6	6%	20	21%	56	58%	15	15%	97
IMH					4	100%			4
OOHL	0	0%	1	25%	3	75%	0	0%	4
RPC Prairies	1	25%	2	50%	0	0%	1	25%	4
EIFW	3	6%	18	35%	15	29%	16	31%	52
FVI	1	2%	19	46%	14	34%	7	17%	41
Other	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	2
Grand Total	28	9%	116	35%	133	41%	51	16%	328

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 8, 2007

OFFENCE PROFILE - INCARCERATED WOMEN OFFENDERS - BY REGION

Region	One day snapshot	First Degree Murder	Second Degree Murder	Sched. I	Sched. II	Non Sched.	Total
Atlantic	2002-2003	1	9	26	4	9	49
	2003-2004		9	29	7	15	60
	2004-2005		6	16	1	11	34
	2005-2006		5	27	1	14	47
	2006-2007	1	4	35	9	16	65
Quebec	2002-2003	7	7	36	13	8	71
	2003-2004	9	8	41	13	5	76
	2004-2005	10	7	39	12	8	76
	2005-2006	10	8	34	13	11	76
	2006-2007	10	8	39	12	3	72
Ontario	2002-2003	3	12	32	24	10	81
	2003-2004	3	11	41	25	8	88
	2004-2005	3	12	43	34	8	100
	2005-2006	4	15	44	27	11	101
	2006-2007	6	20	52	33	14	125
Prairies	2002-2003	4	11	72	22	12	121
	2003-2004	3	12	75	14	12	116
	2004-2005	5	11	63	19	14	112
	2005-2006	5	11	68	36	17	137
	2006-2007	5	10	88	34	17	154
Pacific	2002-2003	1	10	19		4	34
	2003-2004	1	10	22	2	4	39
	2004-2005	1	10	23	7	5	46
	2005-2006	1	11	27	4	4	47
	2006-2007	1	11	30	6	12	60
Total	2002-2003	16	49	185	63	43	356
	2003-2004	16	50	208	61	44	379
	2004-2005	19	46	184	73	46	368
	2005-2006	20	50	200	81	57	408
	2006-2007	23	53	244	94	62	476

Source: CRS, April 2007

- On March 31, 2007, 320 women (67%) of the incarcerated federal women offender population serving time for a violent crime;

Violent offences include first degree murder, second degree murder and offences listed under Schedule 1.
--

OFFENCE PROFILE - INCARCERATED ABORIGINAL - BY REGION

Region	Year end snapshot	First Degree Murder	Second Degree Murder	Sched. I	Sched. II	Non Sched.	Total
Atlantic	2002-2003		1	3		1	5
	2003-2004		2	1		3	6
	2004-2005		1	3			4
	2005-2006			8		1	9
	2006-2007			6	1		7
Quebec	2002-2003		1	4	1		6
	2003-2004			5			5
	2004-2005		1	2		1	4
	2005-2006			3			3
	2006-2007	1	1	4			6
Ontario	2002-2003		2	9		2	13
	2003-2004		3	6	2		11
	2004-2005		2	8	1		11
	2005-2006		3	8		1	12
	2006-2007		6	14	2	2	24
Prairies	2002-2003	1	5	50	8	3	67
	2003-2004	1	6	52	5	2	66
	2004-2005	2	4	48	9	4	67
	2005-2006	2	7	56	15	5	85
	2006-2007	2	7	64	14	6	93
Pacific	2002-2003		3	9		1	13
	2003-2004		3	15	1	1	20
	2004-2005		4	9		1	14
	2005-2006		5	13	1		19
	2006-2007		2	12	1	3	18
Total	2002-2003	1	12	75	9	7	104
	2003-2004	1	14	79	8	6	108
	2004-2005	2	12	70	10	6	100
	2005-2006	2	15	88	16	7	128
	2006-2007	3	16	100	18	11	148

Source: CRS, April 2007

- On March 31, 2007, 119 women (80%) of the incarcerated federal Aboriginal women offender population serving time for a violent crime;

OFFENCE PROFILE - NON-ABORIGINAL - BY REGION

Region	Year end snapshot	First Degree Murder	Second Degree Murder	Sched. I	Sched. II	Non Sched.	Total
Atlantic	2002-2003	1	8	23	4	8	44
	2003-2004		7	28	7	12	54
	2004-2005		5	13	1	11	30
	2005-2006		5	19	1	13	38
	2006-2007	1	4	29	8	16	58
Quebec	2002-2003	7	6	32	12	8	65
	2003-2004	9	8	36	13	5	71
	2004-2005	10	6	37	12	7	72
	2005-2006	10	8	31	13	11	73
	2006-2007	9	7	35	12	3	66
Ontario	2002-2003	3	10	23	24	8	68
	2003-2004	3	8	35	23	8	77
	2004-2005	3	10	35	33	8	89
	2005-2006	4	12	36	27	10	89
	2006-2007	6	14	38	31	12	101
Prairies	2002-2003	3	6	22	14	9	54
	2003-2004	2	6	23	9	10	50
	2004-2005	3	7	15	10	10	45
	2005-2006	3	4	12	21	12	52
	2006-2007	3	3	24	20	11	61
Pacific	2002-2003	1	7	10		3	21
	2003-2004	1	7	7	1	3	19
	2004-2005	1	6	14	7	4	32
	2005-2006	1	6	14	3	4	28
	2006-2007	1	9	18	5	9	42
Total	2002-2003	15	37	110	54	36	252
	2003-2004	15	36	129	53	38	271
	2004-2005	17	34	114	63	40	268
	2005-2006	18	35	112	65	50	280
	2006-2007	20	37	144	76	51	328

Source: CRS, April 2007

ADMISSIONS TO THE REGIONAL FACILITIES WARRANT OF COMMITTAL PER FISCAL YEAR

		2002-2003	2003-2004	2004-2005	2005-2006	2006-2007
Nova	WOC	16	23	12	34	25
	Repeat WOC	5	7	3	7	16
Joliette	WOC	24	30	31	34	35
	Repeat WOC	4	6	6	5	1
GVI (I. McNeill incl.)	WOC	64	64	70	66	80
	Repeat WOC	7	10	4	6	13
OOHL	WOC				2	4
	Repeat WOC					2
EIFW	WOC	45	63	76	77	98
	Repeat WOC	8	7	5	14	7
FVI	WOC			21	18	26
	Repeat WOC			2	3	3
TOTAL	WOC	149	180	210	231	268
	Repeat WOC	24	30	20	35	42

Source: OMS, as of April 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007

- The number of *Initial* warrant of committal admissions increased 80% since 2002-2003;
- The number of *Repeat* warrant of committal admissions has increased of 75% since fiscal year 2002-2003;

Initial Warrant of Committal means that the offender has been admitted for the first time to federal jurisdiction. *Repeat* Warrant of Committal means that the offender has been admitted for the second time or more to federal jurisdiction.

Data included in the table consists of admissions into federal jurisdiction either as an initial warrant of committal (WOC) or a repeat warrant of committal (Repeat WOC).

ADMISSIONS TO THE REGIONAL FACILITIES WARRANT OF COMMITMENT PER FISCAL YEAR
ABORIGINAL

		2002-2003	2003-2004	2004-2005	2005-2006	2006-2007
Nova	WOC	1	6	1	6	3
	Repeat WOC			1		
Joliette	WOC	1	1	1	1	3
	Repeat WOC	0	0	0	0	0
GVI (I. McNeill incl.)	WOC	9	2	6	3	11
	Repeat WOC	1	1	1	3	4
OOHL	WOC				1	1
	Repeat WOC					1
EIFW	WOC	19	30	46	39	51
	Repeat WOC	5	5	3	9	5
FVI	WOC			5	8	6
	Repeat WOC			1		2
TOTAL	WOC	30	39	59	58	75
	Repeat WOC	6	6	6	12	12

Source: OMS, as of April 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007

ADMISSIONS TO THE REGIONAL FACILITIES WARRANT OF COMMITMENT PER FISCAL YEAR
NON-ABORIGINAL

		2002-2003	2003-2004	2004-2005	2005-2006	2006-2007
Nova	WOC	15	17	11	28	22
	Repeat WOC	5	7	2	7	16
Joliette	WOC	23	29	30	33	32
	Repeat WOC	4	6	6	5	1
GVI (I. McNeill incl.)	WOC	55	62	64	63	69
	Repeat WOC	6	9	3	3	9
OOHL	WOC	0	0	0	1	3
	Repeat WOC	0	0	0	0	1
EIFW	WOC	26	33	30	38	47
	Repeat WOC	3	2	2	5	2
FVI	WOC	0	0	16	10	20
	Repeat WOC	0	0	1	3	1
TOTAL	WOC	119	141	151	173	193
	Repeat WOC	18	24	14	23	30

Source: OMS, as of April 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007

Data included in the table consists of admissions into federal jurisdiction either as an initial warrant of committal (WOC) or a repeat warrant of committal (Repeat WOC).

OFFENCE PROFILE - ALL ADMISSION TYPES BY INSTITUTION

	Fiscal Year	First Degree Murder	Second Degree Murder	Sched. I	Sched. II	Non Sched.	Total
Nova	2002-2003		1	23	6	10	40
	2003-2004		1	21	11	19	52
	2004-2005		1	15	2	12	30
	2005-2006		2	31	6	23	62
	2006-2007	1		33	10	28	72
Joliette	2002-2003		1	17	19	9	46
	2003-2004		1	24	17	6	48
	2004-2005	2		27	20	13	62
	2005-2006	1	3	27	21	17	69
	2006-2007		3	30	17	8	58
GVI (I. McNeill incl.)	2002-2003			38	55	9	102
	2003-2004			36	43	17	96
	2004-2005		1	37	53	24	115
	2005-2006	1	3	38	46	24	112
	2006-2007	3	6	39	47	23	118
OOHL	2002-2003			7			7
	2003-2004			4	1	1	6
	2004-2005			2	1	3	6
	2005-2006			10	2	2	14
	2006-2007			11	6	3	20
RPC	2002-2003			1			1
	2003-2004			1			1
	2004-2005					1	1
	2005-2006					1	1
	2006-2007						
EIFW	2002-2003			41	40	14	95
	2003-2004		3	64	38	25	130
	2004-2005	2		78	40	19	139
	2005-2006		3	62	51	25	141
	2006-2007		2	77	52	18	149
FVI	2002-2003						
	2003-2004			1			1
	2004-2005			20	7	6	33
	2005-2006		3	18	4	8	33
	2006-2007		2	25	7	10	44
Total	2002-2003	0	2	127	120	42	291
	2003-2004	0	5	151	110	68	334
	2004-2005	4	2	179	123	78	386
	2005-2006	2	14	186	130	100	432
	2006-2007	4	13	215	139	90	461

Source: OMS, as of April 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007

- Of the 291 admissions during the 2002/2003 fiscal year, 129 were for a violent offence (1st degree murder, 2nd degree murder and Schedule 1), accounting for 44% of all admissions.
- Of the 461 admissions during the 2006/2007 fiscal year, 232 were for a violent offence, accounting for 50% of all admissions, and increase of 3% from fiscal year 2005-2006.

OFFENCE PROFILE - ALL ADMISSION TYPES - ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	First Degree Murder	Second Degree Murder	Sched. I	Sched. II	Non Sched.	Total
Nova	2002-2003		1	2			3
	2003-2004		1	3		4	8
	2004-2005			1		2	3
	2005-2006			7		3	10
	2006-2007			3	1	1	5
Joliette	2002-2003			2	2		4
	2003-2004			1			1
	2004-2005					1	1
	2005-2006			2			2
	2006-2007			4			4
GVI (I. McNeill incl.)	2002-2003			10	1	1	12
	2003-2004			4	2	1	7
	2004-2005			9	2	1	12
	2005-2006			5	1	2	8
	2006-2007		2	14	3	1	20
OOHL	2002-2003			7			7
	2003-2004			3	1		4
	2004-2005			2		3	5
	2005-2006			9	2	1	12
	2006-2007			11	1	2	14
RPC	2002-2003			1			1
	2003-2004			1			1
	2004-2005					1	1
	2005-2006						
	2006-2007						
EIFW	2002-2003			26	16	3	45
	2003-2004		3	46	13	5	67
	2004-2005	1		64	20	3	88
	2005-2006		3	47	22	6	78
	2006-2007		1	54	24	5	84
FVI	2002-2003						
	2003-2004			1			1
	2004-2005			9		1	10
	2005-2006		1	9	1	1	12
	2006-2007			11	1	2	14
Total	2002-2003	0	1	48	19	4	72
	2003-2004	0	4	59	16	10	89
	2004-2005	1	0	85	22	12	120
	2005-2006	0	4	79	26	13	122
	2006-2007	0	3	97	30	11	141

Source: OMS, as of April 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007

OFFENCE PROFILE - ALL ADMISSION TYPES - NON-ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	First Degree Murder	Second Degree Murder	Sched. I	Sched. II	Non Sched.	Total
Nova	2002-2003	0	0	21	6	10	37
	2003-2004	0	0	18	11	15	44
	2004-2005	0	1	14	2	10	27
	2005-2006	0	2	24	6	20	52
	2006-2007	1	0	30	9	27	67
Joliette	2002-2003	0	1	15	17	9	42
	2003-2004	0	1	23	17	6	47
	2004-2005	2	0	27	20	12	61
	2005-2006	1	3	25	21	17	67
	2006-2007	0	3	26	17	8	54
GVI (I. McNeill incl.)	2002-2003	0	0	28	54	8	90
	2003-2004	0	0	32	41	16	89
	2004-2005	0	1	28	51	23	103
	2005-2006	1	3	33	45	22	104
	2006-2007	3	4	25	44	22	98
OOHL	2002-2003	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2003-2004	0	0	1	0	1	2
	2004-2005	0	0	0	1	0	1
	2005-2006	0	0	1	0	1	2
	2006-2007	0	0	0	5	1	6
RPC	2002-2003	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2003-2004	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2004-2005	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2005-2006	0	0	0	0	1	1
	2006-2007	0	0	0	0	0	0
EIFW	2002-2003	0	0	15	24	11	50
	2003-2004	0	0	18	25	20	63
	2004-2005	1	0	14	20	16	51
	2005-2006	0	0	15	29	19	63
	2006-2007	0	1	23	28	13	65
FVI	2002-2003	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2003-2004	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2004-2005	0	0	11	7	5	23
	2005-2006	0	2	9	3	7	21
	2006-2007	0	2	14	6	8	30
Total	2002-2003	0	1	79	101	38	219
	2003-2004	0	1	92	94	58	245
	2004-2005	3	2	94	101	66	266
	2005-2006	2	10	107	104	87	310
	2006-2007	4	10	118	109	79	320

Source: OMS, as of April 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

ADMISSIONS FOR REVOCATION AT THE REGIONAL FACILITIES PER FISCAL YEAR

		2002-2003	2003-2004	2004-2005	2005-2006	2006-2007
Nova	With offence	1	2		2	5
	Without Offence	15	19	14	16	26
Joliette	With offence	2	2	4	4	4
	Without Offence	11	7	16	23	17
GVI	With offence	6	2	11	8	2
	Without Offence	23	14	23	26	18
OOHL	With offence	3	1	2	3	3
	Without Offence	4	4	3	8	11
RPC	With offence				1	
	Without Offence					
EIFW	With offence	4	10	11	12	16
	Without Offence	38	49	46	38	26
FVI	With offence				1	1
	Without Offence		1	9	11	12
Total	With offence	16	17	28	31	31
	Without Offence	91	94	111	122	110

Source: OMS, as of April 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007

- The majority of revocations are without offence;
- The number of admissions for revocation with offence has increased since fiscal year 2002-2003 to fiscal year 2006-2007 (16-31); Revocations without an offence has decreased since fiscal year 2005-2006 (122 to 110);

ADMISSIONS FOR REVOCATION AT THE REGIONAL FACILITIES PER FISCAL YEAR
ABORIGINAL

		2002-2003	2003-2004	2004-2005	2005-2006	2006-2007
Nova	With offence				1	
	Without Offence	1	2	1	3	2
Joliette	With offence	1				
	Without Offence	2			1	
GVI	With offence					
	Without Offence	2	4	5	2	5
OOHL	With offence	3		1	3	3
	Without Offence	4	3	3	7	9
RPC	With offence					
	Without Offence					
EIFW	With offence	2	4	8	6	12
	Without Offence	19	28	31	24	15
FVI	With offence					
	Without Offence		1	4	4	6
Total	With offence	6	4	9	10	15
	Without Offence	28	38	44	41	37

Source: OMS, as of April 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007

- As EIFW has the highest number of Aboriginal women, they also have the highest number of aboriginal women being revoked.

ADMISSIONS FOR REVOCATION AT THE REGIONAL FACILITIES PER FISCAL YEAR
NON-ABORIGINAL

		2002-2003	2003-2004	2004-2005	2005-2006	2006-2007
Nova	With offence	1	2	0	1	5
	Without Offence	14	17	13	13	24
Joliette	With offence	1	2	4	4	4
	Without Offence	9	7	16	22	17
GVI	With offence	6	2	11	8	2
	Without Offence	21	10	18	24	13
OOHL	With offence	0	1	1	0	0
	Without Offence	0	1	0	1	2
RPC	With offence	0	0	0	1	0
	Without Offence	0	0	0	0	0
EIFW	With offence	2	6	3	6	4
	Without Offence	19	21	15	14	11
FVI	With offence	0	0	0	1	1
	Without Offence	0	0	5	7	6
Total	With offence	10	13	19	21	16
	Without Offence	63	56	67	81	73

Source: OMS, as of April 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

ADMISSIONS FOR REVOCATIONS WITH OUTSTANDING CHARGES AT THE REGIONAL
FACILITIES PER FISCAL YEAR

- As of June 2000, a new temporary admission type has been added to the Offender Management System, "revocation with outstanding charges". When the charges are resolved, this admission type was modified to show revocation with offence or revocation without offence;
- As there are too few admissions with outstanding charges at the regional facilities, the data is not included in a table and has not been included in the total number of revocation admissions;
- In 2006-2007 there were three admissions for revocations with outstanding charges: Edmonton Institution for Women - 2, Fraser Valley Institution -1.

REVOCATIONS WITH OFFENCE BY TYPE OF RELEASE

	Fiscal Year	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release
Nova	2002-2003	1		
	2003-2004	1		1
	2004-2005			
	2005-2006		1	1
	2006-2007	1	2	2
Joliette	2002-2003		1	1
	2003-2004			2
	2004-2005	1		3
	2005-2006	1	1	2
	2006-2007	2		2
GVI	2002-2003		4	2
	2003-2004	1		1
	2004-2005	3	2	6
	2005-2006	3	3	2
	2006-2007			2
OOHL	2002-2003	1		2
	2003-2004		1	
	2004-2005	1		1
	2005-2006	2		1
	2006-2007	1	1	1
EIFW	2002-2003	1	2	1
	2003-2004	1	1	8
	2004-2005	2	3	6
	2005-2006	5	1	6
	2006-2007	7	3	6
FVI	2002-2003			
	2003-2004			
	2004-2005			
	2005-2006		1	
	2006-2007			1
Total	2002-2003	3	7	6
	2003-2004	3	2	12
	2004-2005	7	5	16
	2005-2006	11	7	12
	2006-2007	11	6	14

Source: OMS, as of April 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007

- When compared to previous fiscal years the number of revocations with offence has increased for both Day Parole (3 to 11) and Statutory Release from (6 to 14). Full Parole Revocations with offence has decreased from 7 to 6.

REVOCATION WITH OFFENCE BY TYPE OF RELEASE -ABORIGINALS

	Fiscal Year	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release
Nova	2002-2003			
	2003-2004			
	2004-2005			
	2005-2006			1
	2006-2007			
Joliette	2002-2003			1
	2003-2004			
	2004-2005			
	2005-2006			
	2006-2007			
GVI	2002-2003			
	2003-2004			
	2004-2005			
	2005-2006			
	2006-2007			
OOHL	2002-2003	1		2
	2003-2004			
	2004-2005	1		
	2005-2006	2		1
	2006-2007	1	1	1
EIFW	2002-2003	1		1
	2003-2004			4
	2004-2005	1	1	6
	2005-2006	2	1	3
	2006-2007	6	2	4
FVI	2002-2003			
	2003-2004			
	2004-2005			
	2005-2006			
	2006-2007			
Total	2002-2003	2	0	4
	2003-2004	0	0	4
	2004-2005	2	1	6
	2005-2006	4	1	5
	2006-2007	7	3	5

Source: OMS, as of April 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007

REVOCATION WITH OFFENCE BY TYPE OF RELEASE - NON-ABORIGINALS

	Fiscal Year	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release
Nova	2002-2003	1		
	2003-2004	1		1
	2004-2005			
	2005-2006		1	
	2006-2007	1	2	2
Joliette	2002-2003		1	
	2003-2004			2
	2004-2005	1		3
	2005-2006	1	1	2
	2006-2007	2		2
GVI	2002-2003		4	2
	2003-2004	1		1
	2004-2005	3	2	6
	2005-2006	3	3	2
	2006-2007			2
OOHL	2002-2003			
	2003-2004		1	
	2004-2005			1
	2005-2006			
	2006-2007			
EIFW	2002-2003		2	
	2003-2004	1	1	4
	2004-2005	1	2	
	2005-2006	3		3
	2006-2007	1	1	2
FVI	2002-2003			
	2003-2004			
	2004-2005			
	2005-2006		1	
	2006-2007			1
Total	2002-2003	1	7	2
	2003-2004	3	2	8
	2004-2005	5	4	10
	2005-2006	7	6	7
	2006-2007	4	3	9

Source: OMS, as of April 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007

REVOCATIONS WITHOUT OFFENCE BY TYPE OF RELEASE

	Fiscal Year	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release
Nova	2002-2003	8	2	5
	2003-2004	12	1	6
	2004-2005	9		5
	2005-2006	9	2	5
	2006-2007	5	9	12
Joliette	2002-2003	4	3	4
	2003-2004	4	1	2
	2004-2005	3	7	6
	2005-2006	6	2	15
	2006-2007	6	5	6
GVI	2002-2003	4	7	12
	2003-2004	6	3	5
	2004-2005	7	5	11
	2005-2006	7	6	13
	2006-2007	7	5	6
OOHL	2002-2003	2		2
	2003-2004	2	1	1
	2004-2005			3
	2005-2006	3	1	4
	2006-2007	3	4	4
EIFW	2002-2003	12	8	18
	2003-2004	17	11	21
	2004-2005	17	8	21
	2005-2006	14	8	16
	2006-2007	5	7	14
FVI	2002-2003			
	2003-2004			1
	2004-2005	2	4	3
	2005-2006	3	1	7
	2006-2007	4	2	6
Total	2002-2003	30	20	41
	2003-2004	41	17	36
	2004-2005	38	24	49
	2005-2006	42	20	60
	2006-2007	30	32	48

Source: OMS, as of April 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007

- Women offenders are more likely to be revoked without a new offence while on Statutory Release.

REVOCATION WITHOUT OFFENCE BY TYPE OF RELEASE ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release
Nova	2002-2003	1		
	2003-2004	1	1	
	2004-2005	1		
	2005-2006	1	1	1
	2006-2007		1	1
Joliette	2002-2003			2
	2003-2004			
	2004-2005			
	2005-2006			1
	2006-2007			
GVI	2002-2003		1	1
	2003-2004	2		2
	2004-2005	1		4
	2005-2006		1	1
	2006-2007	1		4
OOHL	2002-2003	2		2
	2003-2004	1	1	1
	2004-2005			3
	2005-2006	2	1	4
	2006-2007	3	2	4
EIFW	2002-2003	5	4	10
	2003-2004	10	5	13
	2004-2005	12	5	14
	2005-2006	11	1	12
	2006-2007	4	2	9
FVI	2002-2003			
	2003-2004			1
	2004-2005	1	2	1
	2005-2006	2		2
	2006-2007	2		4
Total	2002-2003	8	5	15
	2003-2004	14	7	17
	2004-2005	15	7	22
	2005-2006	16	4	21
	2006-2007	10	5	22

Source: OMS, as of April 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007

REVOCATION WITHOUT OFFENCE BY TYPE OF RELEASE NON-ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release
Nova	2002-2003	7	2	5
	2003-2004	11		6
	2004-2005	8		5
	2005-2006	8	1	4
	2006-2007	5	8	11
Joliette	2002-2003	4	3	2
	2003-2004	4	1	2
	2004-2005	3	7	6
	2005-2006	6	2	14
	2006-2007	6	5	6
GVI	2002-2003	4	6	11
	2003-2004	4	3	3
	2004-2005	6	5	7
	2005-2006	7	5	12
	2006-2007	6	5	2
OOHL	2002-2003			
	2003-2004	1		
	2004-2005			
	2005-2006	1		
	2006-2007		2	
EIFW	2002-2003	7	4	8
	2003-2004	7	6	8
	2004-2005	5	3	7
	2005-2006	3	7	4
	2006-2007	1	5	5
FVI	2002-2003			
	2003-2004			
	2004-2005	1	2	2
	2005-2006	1	1	5
	2006-2007	2	2	2
Total	2002-2003	22	15	26
	2003-2004	27	10	19
	2004-2005	23	17	27
	2005-2006	26	16	39
	2006-2007	20	27	26

Source: OMS, as of April 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007

CORRECTIONAL PLANS

Fiscal Year	Completed Correctional Plan	Completed On Time		Complete Late		Not Completed	
2002-2003	185	146	79%	39	21%		0%
2003-2004	241	229	95%	11	5%	1	0%
2004-2005	219	201	92%	18	8%		0%
2005-2006	265	260	98%	5	2%		0%
2006-2007	294	270	92%	22	7%	2	1%

Source: OMS, as of July 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007

ABORIGINAL

Fiscal Year	Completed Correctional Plan	Completed On Time		Complete Late		Not Completed	
2002-2003	45	32	71%	13	29%	0	0%
2003-2004	55	51	93%	4	7%	0	0%
2004-2005	62	59	95%	3	5%	0	0%
2005-2006	80	80	100%		0%	0	0%
2006-2007	80	76	95%	4	5%	0	0%

Source: OMS, as of July 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007

NON-ABORIGINAL

Fiscal Year	Completed Correctional Plan	Completed On Time		Complete Late		Not Completed	
2002-2003	140	114	81%	26	19%		0%
2003-2004	186	178	96%	7	4%	1	1%
2004-2005	157	142	90%	15	10%		0%
2005-2006	185	180	97%	5	3%		0%
2006-2007	214	194	91%	18	8%	2	1%

Source: OMS, as of July 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007

- The time requirements for the completion of the Correctional Plan vary dependant upon the length of sentence. There is a 70-day requirement for sentences of 4 years or less and a 90-day requirement for sentences greater than 4 years (including indeterminate sentences). In both cases, the time frame is measured from the offender's admission date to the date the Correctional Plan is locked. The tables above show the results based upon this standard.

COURT FINDINGS FOR MINOR CHARGES PER INSTITUTION

	Fiscal Year	Guilty	Not Guilty	Withdrawn	Dismissed	Not Stated	Total
Nova	2002-2003	126	3	43			172
	2003-2004	225	20	27			272
	2004-2005	211	16	32		2	261
	2005-2006	215	5	35	27	1	283
	2006-2007	306		24	52	8	390
Joliette	2002-2003	116	5	56			177
	2003-2004	199	8	135			342
	2004-2005	249	3	90	2	4	348
	2005-2006	165	1	71	9		246
	2006-2007	172		49	11	4	236
GVI (incl. IMH)	2002-2003	124	2	74		1	201
	2003-2004	138	6	130			274
	2004-2005	138	5	63			206
	2005-2006	135		101	11	3	250
	2006-2007	100		62	23	5	190
OOHL	2002-2003	23					23
	2003-2004	49		1			50
	2004-2005	33		6		1	40
	2005-2006	54		1	1		56
	2006-2007	22		2			24
RPC Prairies	2002-2003	23	6	22	4		55
	2003-2004	38		4	1	2	45
	2004-2005	7		1			8
	2005-2006	32		4			36
	2006-2007	50		6		3	59
EIFW	2002-2003	351	16	47	1	16	431
	2003-2004	229	6	11			246
	2004-2005	127	10	29			166
	2005-2006	223		24	37	6	290
	2006-2007	449		31	67	2	549
FVI	2002-2003						
	2003-2004						
	2004-2005	38	1	26			65
	2005-2006	19	1	12			32
	2006-2007	68		13	12		93
Total	2002-2003	763	32	242	5	17	1059
	2003-2004	878	40	308	1	2	1229
	2004-2005	803	35	247	2	7	1094
	2005-2006	843	7	248	85	10	1193
	2006-2007	1167		187	165	22	1541

Source: OMS, as of July 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

- Of the 1059 minor charges laid during 2002/2003, 763 (72%) resulted in a guilty verdict. The majority of all minor charges laid occurred at Edmonton Institution for Women (431);
- Of the 1541 minor charges laid during 2006/2007, 1167 (76%) resulted in a guilty verdict. The majority of all minor charges occurred at Edmonton Institution for Women (549) which 82% (449) resulted in a guilty verdict;

Minor Offence: is where an inmate exhibits negative or non-productive behaviour towards institutional rules governing the conduct of inmates. Minor offences are dealt within institutional "minor court" as per Commissioner's Directive 580 Discipline of Inmates.

COURT FINDINGS FOR MINOR CHARGES PER INSTITUTION- ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Guilty	Not Guilty	Withdrawn	Dismissed	Not Stated	Total
Nova	2002-2003	8		6			14
	2003-2004	16	2	1			19
	2004-2005	28	2	5		1	36
	2005-2006	21		3	1		25
	2006-2007	23		1	5	2	31
Joliette	2002-2003	7		1			8
	2003-2004	15		22			37
	2004-2005	33		17			50
	2005-2006	16		11	2		29
	2006-2007	24		9	1		34
GVI (incl. IMH)	2002-2003	21	1	14			36
	2003-2004	40		38			78
	2004-2005	19		13			32
	2005-2006	24		23	3	1	51
	2006-2007	24		13	2		39
OOHL	2002-2003	23					23
	2003-2004	46		1			47
	2004-2005	33		6		1	40
	2005-2006	53		1	1		55
	2006-2007	19		2			21
RPC Prairies	2002-2003	22	2	18			42
	2003-2004	36		4		2	42
	2004-2005	3					3
	2005-2006	28		3			31
	2006-2007	44		3			47
EIFW	2002-2003	183	9	36		6	234
	2003-2004	133	4	5			142
	2004-2005	83	1	17			101
	2005-2006	149		20	26	5	200
	2006-2007	339		18	47	2	406
FVI	2002-2003						
	2003-2004						
	2004-2005	23	1	13			37
	2005-2006	9	1	6			16
	2006-2007	39		11	7		57
Total	2002-2003	264	12	75	0	6	357
	2003-2004	286	6	71	0	2	365
	2004-2005	222	4	71	0	2	299
	2005-2006	300	1	67	33	6	407
	2006-2007	512	0	57	62	4	635

Source: OMS, as of July 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007

COURT FINDINGS FOR MINOR CHARGES PER INSTITUTION NON-ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Guilty	Not Guilty	Withdrawn	Dismissed	Not Stated	Total
Nova	2002-2003	118	3	37	0	0	158
	2003-2004	209	18	26	0	0	253
	2004-2005	183	14	27	0	1	225
	2005-2006	194	5	32	26	1	258
	2006-2007	283	0	23	47	6	359
Joliette	2002-2003	109	5	55	0	0	169
	2003-2004	184	8	113	0	0	305
	2004-2005	216	3	73	2	4	298
	2005-2006	149	1	60	7	0	217
	2006-2007	148	0	40	10	4	202
GVI (incl. IMH)	2002-2003	103	1	60	0	1	165
	2003-2004	98	6	92	0	0	196
	2004-2005	119	5	50	0	0	174
	2005-2006	111	0	78	8	2	199
	2006-2007	76	0	49	21	5	151
OOHL	2002-2003	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2003-2004	3	0	0	0	0	3
	2004-2005	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2005-2006	1	0	0	0	0	1
	2006-2007	3	0	0	0	0	3
RPC Prairies	2002-2003	1	4	4	4	0	13
	2003-2004	2	0	0	1	0	3
	2004-2005	4	0	1	0	0	5
	2005-2006	4	0	1	0	0	5
	2006-2007	6	0	3	0	3	12
EIFW	2002-2003	168	7	11	1	10	197
	2003-2004	96	2	6	0	0	104
	2004-2005	44	9	12	0	0	65
	2005-2006	74	0	4	11	1	90
	2006-2007	110	0	13	20	0	143
FVI	2002-2003	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2003-2004	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2004-2005	15	0	13	0	0	28
	2005-2006	10	0	6	0	0	16
	2006-2007	29	0	2	5	0	36
Total	2002-2003	499	20	167	5	11	702
	2003-2004	592	34	237	1		864
	2004-2005	581	31	176	2	5	795
	2005-2006	543	6	181	52	4	786
	2006-2007	655		130	103	18	906

Source: OMS, as of July 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007

COURT FINDINGS FOR SERIOUS CHARGES PER INSTITUTION

	Fiscal Year	Guilty	Not Guilty	Withdrawn	Dismissed	Not Stated	Total
Nova	2002-2003	51	11	19			81
	2003-2004	171	23	21		2	217
	2004-2005	63	5	7			75
	2005-2006	75		9	19		103
	2006-2007	77		1	20	3	101
Joliette	2002-2003	29	5	11			45
	2003-2004	62	4	17			83
	2004-2005	35	2	22	1		60
	2005-2006	48		16	1		65
	2006-2007	140		21	2	2	165
GVI (incl. IMH)	2002-2003	44	1	95		2	142
	2003-2004	39	2	46		1	88
	2004-2005	62	2	68			132
	2005-2006	43		72	26		141
	2006-2007	62		46	7	3	118
OOHL	2002-2003	4		1			5
	2003-2004						
	2004-2005						
	2005-2006	1					1
	2006-2007						
RPC Prairies	2002-2003	7		3		2	12
	2003-2004	3		2			5
	2004-2005	1		1			2
	2005-2006	6			1		7
	2006-2007	15		1		3	19
EIFW	2002-2003	69	13	34		17	133
	2003-2004	94	12	46		15	167
	2004-2005	55		34			89
	2005-2006	46		20	6		72
	2006-2007	82		22	11	2	117
FVI	2002-2003						
	2003-2004						
	2004-2005	11		5		1	17
	2005-2006	9		6	2		17
	2006-2007	31		2	7	4	44
Total	2002-2003	204	30	163		21	418
	2003-2004	369	41	132		18	560
	2004-2005	227	9	137	1	1	375
	2005-2006	228		123	55		406
	2006-2007	407		93	47	17	564

Source: OMS, as of July 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

- Of the 418 serious charges guilty during 2002/2003, 204 (49%) resulted in a guilty verdict. The majority of all serious charges occurred at Grand Valley Institution;
- Of the 564 serious charges during 2006/2007, 407 (72%) resulted in a guilty verdict. The majority of all serious charges occurred at Joliette (165) and 85% (140) resulted in a guilty verdict.

Serious Offence: where an inmate commits a serious breach of security, exhibits violent behaviour, commits or attempts to commit an act that could generate such behaviour on the part of others, or could cause harmful consequences to staff members or inmates. Serious offences are dealt with in institutional "disciplinary court" as per Commissioner's Directive 580 *Discipline of Inmates*.

COURT FINDINGS FOR SERIOUS CHARGES PER INSTITUTION ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Guilty	Not Guilty	Withdrawn	Dismissed	Not Stated	Total
Nova	2002-2003	3	2				5
	2003-2004	9	1	2			12
	2004-2005						
	2005-2006	13			4		17
	2006-2007	3		1	1		5
Joliette	2002-2003	4		1			5
	2003-2004	1		1			2
	2004-2005	13		1			14
	2005-2006	7		2			9
	2006-2007	17				1	18
GVI (incl. IMH)	2002-2003	11	1	20			32
	2003-2004	14		10		1	25
	2004-2005	10		24			34
	2005-2006	11		15	2		28
	2006-2007	10		8	3		21
OOHL	2002-2003	4		1			5
	2003-2004						
	2004-2005						
	2005-2006						
	2006-2007						
RPC Prairies	2002-2003	4		2			6
	2003-2004	2		2			4
	2004-2005			1			1
	2005-2006	4			1		5
	2006-2007	7					7
EIFW	2002-2003	39	6	31		7	83
	2003-2004	58	7	31		5	101
	2004-2005	32		20			52
	2005-2006	34		9	4		47
	2006-2007	68		14	8	2	92
FVI	2002-2003						
	2003-2004						
	2004-2005	6		4			10
	2005-2006	5		5			10
	2006-2007	14		2	4	1	21
Total	2002-2003	65	9	55	0	7	136
	2003-2004	84	8	46	0	6	144
	2004-2005	61	0	50	0	0	111
	2005-2006	74	0	31	11	0	116
	2006-2007	119	0	25	16	4	164

Source: OMS, as of July 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

- Aboriginal women account for 29% of all guilty verdicts in fiscal year 2006-2007.

COURT FINDINGS FOR SERIOUS CHARGES PER INSTITUTION NON-ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Guilty	Not Guilty	Withdrawn	Dismissed	Not Stated	Total
Nova	2002-2003	48	9	19			76
	2003-2004	162	22	19		2	205
	2004-2005	63	5	7			75
	2005-2006	62		9	15		86
	2006-2007	74			19	3	96
Joliette	2002-2003	25	5	10			40
	2003-2004	61	4	16			81
	2004-2005	22	2	21	1		46
	2005-2006	41		14	1		56
	2006-2007	123		21	2	1	147
GVI (incl. IMH)	2002-2003	33		75		2	110
	2003-2004	25	2	36			63
	2004-2005	52	2	44			98
	2005-2006	32		57	24		113
	2006-2007	52		38	4	3	97
OOHL	2002-2003						
	2003-2004						
	2004-2005						
	2005-2006	1					1
	2006-2007						
RPC Prairies	2002-2003	3		1		2	6
	2003-2004	1					1
	2004-2005	1					1
	2005-2006	2					2
	2006-2007	8		1		3	12
EIFW	2002-2003	30	7	3		10	50
	2003-2004	36	5	15		10	66
	2004-2005	23		14			37
	2005-2006	12		11	2		25
	2006-2007	14		8	3		25
FVI	2002-2003						
	2003-2004						
	2004-2005	5		1		1	7
	2005-2006	4		1	2		7
	2006-2007	17			3	3	23
Total	2002-2003	139	21	108		14	282
	2003-2004	285	33	86		12	416
	2004-2005	166	9	87	1	1	264
	2005-2006	154		92	44		290
	2006-2007	288		68	31	13	400

Source: OMS, as of July 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

ADMISSIONS INTO ADMINISTRATIVE SEGREGATION

	Fiscal Year	Involuntary	Voluntary	Disciplinary	Total
Nova	2002-2003	15	5		20
	2003-2004	63	31	2	96
	2004-2005	45	11	1	57
	2005-2006	72	8		80
	2006-2007	81	6		87
Joliette	2002-2003	15	7		22
	2003-2004	64	3		67
	2004-2005	74	3	11	88
	2005-2006	52	12	10	74
	2006-2007	54	12	11	77
GVI	2002-2003	18		2	20
	2003-2004	58	3	1	62
	2004-2005	56	3	2	61
	2005-2006	74	9		83
	2006-2007	46	3	2	51
EIFW	2002-2003	31	1		32
	2003-2004	57	3	1	61
	2004-2005	50	4		54
	2005-2006	66	4		70
	2006-2007	62	2		64
FVI	2002-2003				
	2003-2004				
	2004-2005	21	4		25
	2005-2006	21	2	1	24
	2006-2007	44	5	6	55
Total	2002-2003	79	13	2	94
	2003-2004	242	40	4	286
	2004-2005	246	25	14	285
	2005-2006	285	35	11	331
	2006-2007	287	28	19	334

Source: OMS, as of July 29, 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

- In 2006-2007, the majority of involuntary admissions to administrative segregation occurred at Nova (81), Edmonton Institution for Women (62) and Joliette (54);

ADMISSIONS INTO ADMINISTRATIVE SEGREGATION - ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Involuntary	Voluntary	Disciplinary	Total
Nova	2002-2003	1			1
	2003-2004	5			5
	2004-2005	5			5
	2005-2006	13	3		16
	2006-2007	10			10
Joliette	2002-2003	1			1
	2003-2004	4			4
	2004-2005	4		5	9
	2005-2006	10	1	6	17
	2006-2007	8		1	9
GVI	2002-2003	5		1	6
	2003-2004	17	2		19
	2004-2005	9			9
	2005-2006	11			11
	2006-2007	12			12
EIFW	2002-2003	18	1		19
	2003-2004	38	2	1	41
	2004-2005	34	4		38
	2005-2006	45	2		47
	2006-2007	48	1		49
FVI	2002-2003				
	2003-2004				
	2004-2005	15	1		16
	2005-2006	8		1	9
	2006-2007	26	1	2	29
Total	2002-2003	25	1	1	27
	2003-2004	64	4	1	69
	2004-2005	67	5	5	77
	2005-2006	87	6	7	100
	2006-2007	104	2	3	109

Source: OMS, as of July 29, 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

- Aboriginal women offenders account for 33% of all admissions to segregation and 36% of all involuntary admissions to segregation in 2006-2007.

ADMISSIONS INTO ADMINISTRATIVE SEGREGATION - NON-ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Involuntary	Voluntary	Disciplinary	Total
Nova	2002-2003	14	5		19
	2003-2004	58	31	2	91
	2004-2005	40	11	1	52
	2005-2006	59	5		64
	2006-2007	71	6		77
Joliette	2002-2003	14	7		21
	2003-2004	60	3		63
	2004-2005	70	3	6	79
	2005-2006	42	11	4	57
	2006-2007	46	12	10	68
GVI	2002-2003	13		1	14
	2003-2004	41	1	1	43
	2004-2005	47	3	2	52
	2005-2006	63	9		72
	2006-2007	34	3	2	39
EIFW	2002-2003	13			13
	2003-2004	19	1		20
	2004-2005	16			16
	2005-2006	21	2		23
	2006-2007	14	1		15
FVI	2002-2003				
	2003-2004				
	2004-2005	6	3		9
	2005-2006	13	2		15
	2006-2007	18	4	4	26
Total	2002-2003	54	12	1	67
	2003-2004	178	36	3	217
	2004-2005	179	20	9	208
	2005-2006	198	29	4	231
	2006-2007	183	26	16	225

Source: OMS, as of July 29, 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

**NUMBER OF DAYS SPENT IN SEGREGATION FOR ALL TYPES OF ADMISSIONS
INTO SEGREGATION**

	Fiscal Year	2 days or less	3-5 days	6-10 days	Over 10 days	Total
Nova	2002-2003	3	8	7	2	20
	2003-2004	43	24	10	19	96
	2004-2005	8	19	15	15	57
	2005-2006	12	22	21	25	80
	2006-2007	8	21	25	33	87
Joliette	2002-2003		4	12	6	22
	2003-2004	6	12	27	22	67
	2004-2005	5	32	36	15	88
	2005-2006	8	26	20	20	74
	2006-2007	13	15	28	21	77
GVI	2002-2003	6	6	7	1	20
	2003-2004	10	20	16	16	62
	2004-2005	6	25	12	18	61
	2005-2006	13	28	30	12	83
	2006-2007	7	15	13	16	51
EIFW	2002-2003	9	10	4	9	32
	2003-2004	8	16	20	17	61
	2004-2005	4	13	17	20	54
	2005-2006	7	8	22	33	70
	2006-2007	6	6	22	30	64
FVI	2002-2003					
	2003-2004					
	2004-2005	3	3	4	15	25
	2005-2006	3	2	12	7	24
	2006-2007	10	7	20	18	55
Total	2002-2003	18	28	30	18	94
	2003-2004	67	72	73	74	286
	2004-2005	26	92	84	83	285
	2005-2006	43	86	105	97	331
	2006-2007	44	64	108	118	334

Source: OMS, as of July 29, 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

- During 2006-2007 the majority of placement in administrative segregation was for over 10 days (118 placements), followed by 6 to 10 days (108 placements);

NUMBER OF DAYS SPENT IN SEGREGATION FOR ALL TYPES OF ADMISSIONS INTO
SEGREGATION - ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	2 days or less	3-5 days	6-10 days	Over 10 days	Total
Nova	2002-2003		1			1
	2003-2004	1			4	5
	2004-2005		3	2		5
	2005-2006	2	5	3	6	16
	2006-2007		1	4	5	10
Joliette	2002-2003			1		1
	2003-2004	2	1		1	4
	2004-2005		5	3	1	9
	2005-2006	1	10		6	17
	2006-2007	1		5	3	9
GVI	2002-2003	1	3	2		6
	2003-2004	5	7	3	4	19
	2004-2005		3	1	5	9
	2005-2006	1	5	4	1	11
	2006-2007	2	2	2	6	12
EIFW	2002-2003	4	4	3	8	19
	2003-2004	5	10	13	13	41
	2004-2005	4	7	14	13	38
	2005-2006	4	7	12	24	47
	2006-2007	4	5	17	23	49
FVI	2002-2003					
	2003-2004					
	2004-2005	2	2	2	10	16
	2005-2006		2	3	4	9
	2006-2007	2	4	12	11	29
Totals	2002-2003	5	8	6	8	27
	2003-2004	13	18	16	22	69
	2004-2005	6	20	22	29	77
	2005-2006	8	29	22	41	100
	2006-2007	9	12	40	48	109

Source: OMS, as of July 29, 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

NUMBER OF DAYS SPENT IN SEGREGATION FOR ALL TYPES OF ADMISSIONS INTO
SEGREGATION - NON-ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	2 days or less	3-5 days	6-10 days	Over 10 days	Total
Nova	2002-2003	3	7	7	2	19
	2003-2004	42	24	10	15	91
	2004-2005	8	16	13	15	52
	2005-2006	10	17	18	19	64
	2006-2007	8	20	21	28	77
Joliette	2002-2003		4	11	6	21
	2003-2004	4	11	27	21	63
	2004-2005	5	27	33	14	79
	2005-2006	7	16	20	14	57
	2006-2007	12	15	23	18	68
GVI	2002-2003	5	3	5	1	14
	2003-2004	5	13	13	12	43
	2004-2005	6	22	11	13	52
	2005-2006	12	23	26	11	72
	2006-2007	5	13	11	10	39
EIFW	2002-2003	5	6	1	1	13
	2003-2004	3	6	7	4	20
	2004-2005		6	3	7	16
	2005-2006	3	1	10	9	23
	2006-2007	2	1	5	7	15
FVI	2002-2003					
	2003-2004					
	2004-2005	1	1	2	5	9
	2005-2006	3		9	3	15
	2006-2007	8	3	8	7	26
Totals	2002-2003	13	20	24	10	67
	2003-2004	54	54	57	52	217
	2004-2005	20	72	62	54	208
	2005-2006	35	57	83	56	231
	2006-2007	35	52	68	70	225

Source: OMS, as of July 29, 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

ESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES

	Fiscal Year	Regular and Admin.	Program	Communi-ty Services	Parental, Family contact and compassionate	Medical	Total
Nova	2002-2003	3	58		42	279	382
	2003-2004		36		41	313	390
	2004-2005	1	26	4	49	325	405
	2005-2006	3	31	10	45	331	420
	2006-2007	1	34	4	42	355	436
Joliette	2002-2003	3	47	8	86	216	360
	2003-2004	4	54	3	92	236	389
	2004-2005		60	4	42	254	360
	2005-2006	2	18	6	60	204	290
	2006-2007		55	30	78	215	378
GVI (incl. IMH)	2002-2003	115	498	71	101	491	1276
	2003-2004	156	539	36	132	454	1317
	2004-2005	164	374	55	92	500	1185
	2005-2006	124	387	77	99	481	1168
	2006-2007	73	508	60	149	446	1236
OOHL	2002-2003	3	191		5	195	394
	2003-2004	8	177		19	238	442
	2004-2005	17	92		44	254	407
	2005-2006		235		24	271	530
	2006-2007	6	295		22	312	635
RPC Prairies	2002-2003	1	3		9	217	230
	2003-2004		2		4	208	214
	2004-2005		8		4	205	217
	2005-2006	2	11		8	259	280
	2006-2007		7		6	284	297
EIFW	2002-2003	1	359	7	26	294	687
	2003-2004	1	218	4	59	280	562
	2004-2005	3	269	5	37	329	643
	2005-2006	5	307	1	37	248	598
	2006-2007	3	123		57	331	514
FVI	2002-2003						
	2003-2004					4	4
	2004-2005	6	449		91	239	785
	2005-2006	26	670		39	212	947
	2006-2007	1	616		68	210	895
Totals	2002-2003	126	1156	86	269	1692	3329
	2003-2004	169	1026	43	347	1733	3318
	2004-2005	191	1278	68	359	2106	4002
	2005-2006	162	1659	94	312	2006	4233
	2006-2007	84	1638	94	422	2153	4391

Source: OMS, as of July 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

- The number of program ETA has increased since 2002-2003.

Successful Temporary Absences include those where an offender has not gone unlawfully at large nor have they been detained by the police. Data represents the total number of permits and not the number of offenders.

ESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES - ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Regular and Admin.	Program	Communi-ty Services	Parental, Family contact and compassionate	Medical	Total
Nova	2002-2003		10		12	29	51
	2003-2004		2			15	17
	2004-2005		12		14	66	92
	2005-2006		13	7	4	67	91
	2006-2007		5		1	44	50
Joliette	2002-2003		1		1	13	15
	2003-2004		1		2	22	25
	2004-2005		8		4	23	35
	2005-2006					28	28
	2006-2007			3		28	31
GVI (incl. IMH)	2002-2003	2	11	8	7	67	95
	2003-2004	4	79	11	18	71	183
	2004-2005	9	74	7	2	96	188
	2005-2006	3	74	10	1	89	177
	2006-2007	2	57	4	1	65	129
OOHL	2002-2003	2	143		3	171	319
	2003-2004	5	171		15	223	414
	2004-2005	15	81		40	231	367
	2005-2006		217		23	237	477
	2006-2007	5	245		19	238	507
RPC Prairies	2002-2003	1	3		9	94	107
	2003-2004		1		3	97	101
	2004-2005				2	111	113
	2005-2006	1	10		5	84	100
	2006-2007		2		6	112	120
EIFW	2002-2003	1	205	5	12	142	365
	2003-2004	1	106	1	21	168	297
	2004-2005	3	176	1	12	207	399
	2005-2006	5	182		15	149	351
	2006-2007	3	62		9	174	248
FVI	2002-2003						
	2003-2004					2	2
	2004-2005	1	138		18	95	252
	2005-2006	1	149		9	77	236
	2006-2007		258		23	94	375
Totals	2002-2003	6	373	13	44	516	952
	2003-2004	10	360	12	59	598	1039
	2004-2005	28	489	8	92	829	1446
	2005-2006	10	645	17	57	731	1460
	2006-2007	10	629	7	59	755	1460

Source: OMS, as of July 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

ESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES - NON-ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Regular and Admin.	Program	Communi-ty Services	Parental, Family contact and compassionate	Medical	Total
Nova	2002-2003	3	48		30	250	331
	2003-2004		34		41	298	373
	2004-2005	1	14	4	35	259	313
	2005-2006	3	18	3	41	264	329
	2006-2007	1	29	4	41	311	386
Joliette	2002-2003	3	46	8	85	203	345
	2003-2004	4	53	3	90	214	364
	2004-2005		52	4	38	231	325
	2005-2006	2	18	6	60	176	262
	2006-2007		55	27	78	187	347
GVI (incl. IMH)	2002-2003	113	487	63	94	424	1181
	2003-2004	152	460	25	114	383	1134
	2004-2005	155	300	48	90	404	997
	2005-2006	121	313	67	98	392	991
	2006-2007	71	451	56	148	381	1107
OOHL	2002-2003	1	48		2	24	75
	2003-2004	3	6		4	15	28
	2004-2005	2	11		4	23	40
	2005-2006		18		1	34	53
	2006-2007	1	50		3	74	128
RPC Prairies	2002-2003					123	123
	2003-2004		1		1	111	113
	2004-2005		8		2	94	104
	2005-2006	1	1		3	175	180
	2006-2007		5			172	177
EIFW	2002-2003		154	2	14	152	322
	2003-2004		112	3	38	112	265
	2004-2005		93	4	25	122	244
	2005-2006		125	1	22	99	247
	2006-2007		61		48	157	266
FVI	2002-2003						
	2003-2004					2	2
	2004-2005	5	311		73	144	533
	2005-2006	25	521		30	135	711
	2006-2007	1	358		45	116	520
Totals	2002-2003	120	783	73	225	1176	2377
	2003-2004	159	666	31	288	1135	2279
	2004-2005	163	789	60	267	1277	2556
	2005-2006	152	1014	77	255	1275	2773
	2006-2007	74	1009	87	363	1398	2931

Source: OMS, as of July 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

UNESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES

	Fiscal Year	Regular and Admin.	Program	Communi-ty Services	Parental, Family contact and compassionate	Medical	Total
Nova	2002-2003	2	4		8		14
	2003-2004		48		1		49
	2004-2005		3		5		8
	2005-2006	1	9		4		14
	2006-2007	3	8		7		18
Joliette	2002-2003	2	4		12	3	21
	2003-2004		6	2	34	3	45
	2004-2005		23	2	32	2	59
	2005-2006		10	1	30	5	46
	2006-2007	17	9	3	30	2	61
GVI (incl. IMH)	2002-2003	6	11		18		35
	2003-2004	22	19		13		54
	2004-2005	29	5		28		62
	2005-2006	14	1		11		26
	2006-2007	19	1		13		33
OOHL	2002-2003		7		10		17
	2003-2004	1	4		6		11
	2004-2005		1		13		14
	2005-2006				11		11
	2006-2007				3		3
RPC Prairies	2002-2003						
	2003-2004						
	2004-2005						
	2005-2006						
	2006-2007					1	1
EIFW	2002-2003		54	2	19	7	82
	2003-2004	1	14		33	1	49
	2004-2005	3	72	8	22		105
	2005-2006		87		12		99
	2006-2007		68	1	27		96
FVI	2002-2003						
	2003-2004						
	2004-2005		18				18
	2005-2006		20		5		25
	2006-2007		9		18		27
Totals	2002-2003	10	80	2	67	10	169
	2003-2004	24	91	2	87	4	208
	2004-2005	32	122	10	100	2	266
	2005-2006	15	127	1	73	5	221
	2006-2007	39	95	4	98	3	239

Source: OMS, as of July 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

- The number of program UTA has decreased since 2005-2006.

UNESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES - ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Regular and Admin.	Program	Communi-ty Services	Parental, Family contact and compassionate	Medical	Total
Nova	2002-2003	2			1		3
	2003-2004						
	2004-2005		1		3		4
	2005-2006	1	3		3		7
	2006-2007		1		2		3
Joliette	2002-2003						
	2003-2004						
	2004-2005			1	2		3
	2005-2006						
	2006-2007						
GVI (incl. IMH)	2002-2003		4				4
	2003-2004		4				4
	2004-2005	11			2		13
	2005-2006	2	1				3
	2006-2007	3					3
OOHL	2002-2003		3		7		10
	2003-2004	1	4		6		11
	2004-2005		1		10		11
	2005-2006				11		11
	2006-2007				2		2
RPC Prairies	2002-2003		24		2	7	33
	2003-2004		4		8		12
	2004-2005		33		2		35
	2005-2006		36		2		38
	2006-2007		29	1	9		39
EIFW	2002-2003						
	2003-2004						
	2004-2005						
	2005-2006		1		2		3
	2006-2007		4		11		15
FVI	2002-2003	2			1		3
	2003-2004						
	2004-2005		1		3		4
	2005-2006	1	3		3		7
	2006-2007		1		2		3
Totals	2002-2003	2	31		10	7	50
	2003-2004	1	12		14		27
	2004-2005	11	35	1	19		66
	2005-2006	3	41		18		62
	2006-2007	3	34	1	24		62

Source: OMS, as of July 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

UNESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES - NON-ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Regular and Admin.	Program	Communi-ty Services	Parental, Family contact and compassionate	Medical	Total
Nova	2002-2003		4		7		11
	2003-2004		48		1		49
	2004-2005		2		2		4
	2005-2006		6		1		7
	2006-2007	3	7		5		15
Joliette	2002-2003	2	4		12	3	21
	2003-2004		6	2	34	3	45
	2004-2005		23	1	30	2	56
	2005-2006		10	1	30	5	46
	2006-2007	17	9	3	30	2	61
GVI (incl. IMH)	2002-2003	6	7		18		31
	2003-2004	22	15		13		50
	2004-2005	18	5		26		49
	2005-2006	12			11		23
	2006-2007	16	1		13		30
OOHL	2002-2003		4		3		7
	2003-2004						
	2004-2005				3		3
	2005-2006						
	2006-2007				1		1
RPC Prairies	2002-2003						
	2003-2004						
	2004-2005						
	2005-2006						
	2006-2007					1	1
EIFW	2002-2003		30	2	17		49
	2003-2004	1	10		25	1	37
	2004-2005	3	39	8	20		70
	2005-2006		51		10		61
	2006-2007		39		18		57
FVI	2002-2003						
	2003-2004						
	2004-2005		18				18
	2005-2006		19		3		22
	2006-2007		5		7		12
Totals	2002-2003	8	49	2	57	3	119
	2003-2004	23	79	2	73	4	181
	2004-2005	21	87	9	81	2	200
	2005-2006	12	86	1	55	5	159
	2006-2007	36	61	3	74	3	177

Source: OMS, as of July 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

WORK RELEASES

	Fiscal Year	Program
Nova	2002-2003	
	2003-2004	
	2004-2005	5
	2005-2006	3
	2006-2007	7
Joliette	2002-2003	12
	2003-2004	6
	2004-2005	4
	2005-2006	7
	2006-2007	7
GVI (incl. IMH)	2002-2003	34
	2003-2004	
	2004-2005	13
	2005-2006	75
	2006-2007	105
OOHL	2002-2003	3
	2003-2004	
	2004-2005	
	2005-2006	
	2006-2007	
EIFW	2002-2003	8
	2003-2004	7
	2004-2005	1
	2005-2006	44
	2006-2007	7
FVI	2002-2003	
	2003-2004	
	2004-2005	3
	2005-2006	4
	2006-2007	
Totals	2002-2003	57
	2003-2004	13
	2004-2005	26
	2005-2006	133
	2006-2007	126

Source: OMS, as of July 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

- The number of work releases increased since 2002-2003.

Successful Work Releases include those where an offender has not gone unlawfully at large nor have they been detained by the police. Data represents the total number of permits and not the number of offenders.

WORK RELEASES - ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Program
Nova	2002-2003	
	2003-2004	
	2004-2005	1
	2005-2006	
	2006-2007	
Joliette	2002-2003	
	2003-2004	
	2004-2005	
	2005-2006	
	2006-2007	
GVI (incl. IMH)	2002-2003	
	2003-2004	
	2004-2005	1
	2005-2006	17
	2006-2007	29
OOHL	2002-2003	
	2003-2004	
	2004-2005	
	2005-2006	
	2006-2007	
EIFW	2002-2003	1
	2003-2004	2
	2004-2005	
	2005-2006	13
	2006-2007	
FVI	2002-2003	
	2003-2004	
	2004-2005	
	2005-2006	
	2006-2007	
Totals	2002-2003	1
	2003-2004	2
	2004-2005	2
	2005-2006	30
	2006-2007	29

Source: OMS, as of July 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

WORK RELEASES - NON-ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Program
Nova	2002-2003	
	2003-2004	
	2004-2005	4
	2005-2006	3
	2006-2007	7
Joliette	2002-2003	12
	2003-2004	6
	2004-2005	4
	2005-2006	7
	2006-2007	7
GVI (incl. IMH)	2002-2003	34
	2003-2004	
	2004-2005	12
	2005-2006	58
	2006-2007	76
OOHL	2002-2003	3
	2003-2004	
	2004-2005	
	2005-2006	
	2006-2007	
EIFW	2002-2003	7
	2003-2004	5
	2004-2005	1
	2005-2006	31
	2006-2007	7
FVI	2002-2003	
	2003-2004	
	2004-2005	3
	2005-2006	4
	2006-2007	
Totals	2002-2003	56
	2003-2004	11
	2004-2005	24
	2005-2006	103
	2006-2007	97

Source: OMS, as of July 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

OFFENDERS INCARCERATED PAST DPED AND PRIOR TO FPED POPULATION AS OF APRIL 1, 2007

	Total	%
Total Women Offenders who are past DPED but prior to FPED (In the community and incarcerated)	112	100.0%
Total women offenders that are incarcerated past their DPED and Prior to FPED	50	44.6%
Of the 50 women offenders, 2 had a prior supervision period	2	1.8%
❖ Remaining	48	42.9%
Of the 48 left, 5 had a prior day or full parole decisions	5	4.5%
❖ Remaining	43	38.4%
Of the 43 left, 30 had a prior day or full parole waivers, postponements or withdrawals	30	26.8%
❖ Women who did not get a file review by NPB	13	11.6%

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 1, 2007.

- There were 13 (11.6%) women offenders who were incarcerated past their Day Parole eligibility date but prior to their Full Parole eligibility date who had not had their file reviewed by the National Parole Board;

Only federal offenders are considered for the analysis. Incarcerated is defined as only those offenders with an offender status of "incarcerated".

**ABORIGINAL OFFENDERS INCARCERATED PAST DPED AND PRIOR TO FPED
POPULATION AS OF APRIL 1, 2007**

	Total	%
Total Women Offenders who are past DPED but prior to FPED (In the community and incarcerated)	25	100.0%
Total women offenders that are incarcerated past their DPED and Prior to FPED	13	52.0%
Of the 13 women offenders, 1 had a prior supervision period	1	4.0%
❖ Remaining	12	48.0%
Of the 12 left, none had a prior day or full parole decisions	0	0.0%
❖ Remaining	12	48.0%
Of the 12 left, 11 had a prior day or full parole waivers, postponements or withdrawals	11	44.0%
❖ Women who did not get a file review by NPB	1	4.0%

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 1, 2007

NON-ABORIGINAL

	Total	%
Total Women Offenders who are past DPED but prior to FPED (In the community and incarcerated)	87	100.0%
Total women offenders that are incarcerated past their DPED and Prior to FPED	37	42.5%
Of the 37 women offenders, none had a prior supervision period	1	1.1%
❖ Remaining	36	41.4%
Of the 36 left, 5 had a prior day or full parole decisions	5	5.7%
❖ Remaining	31	35.6%
Of the 31 left, 19 had a prior day or full parole waivers, postponements or withdrawals	19	21.8%
❖ Women who did not get a file review by NPB	12	13.8%

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 1, 2007

OFFENDER INCARCERATED PAST FPED AND PRIOR TO SRD POPULATION AS OF APRIL 1, 2007

	Total	%
Total Women Offenders who are past FPED but prior to DPED (In the community and incarcerated)	434	100.0%
Total women offenders that are incarcerated past their FPED and Prior to Statutory Release	192	44.2%
Of the 192 women offenders, 69 had a prior supervision period	69	15.9%
❖ Remaining	123	28.3%
Of the 123 left, 48 had a prior day or full parole decisions	48	11.1%
❖ Remaining	75	17.3%
Of the 75 left, 73 had a prior day or full parole waivers, postponements or withdrawals	73	16.8%
❖ Women who did not get a file review by NPB	2	0.5%

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 1, 2007

- There were 2 (0.5%) women offenders who were incarcerated past their Full Parole eligibility who had not had their file reviewed by the National Parole Board;

Only federal offenders are considered for the analysis. Incarcerated is defined as only those offenders with an offender status of "incarcerated".

ABORIGINAL OFFENDER INCARCERATED PAST FPED AND PRIOR TO SRD POPULATION AS OF APRIL 1, 2007

	Total	%
Total Women Offenders who are past FPED but prior to DPED (In the community and incarcerated)	120	100.0%
Total women offenders that are incarcerated past their FPED and Prior to Statutory Release	73	60.8%
Of the 73 women offenders, 22 had a prior supervision period	22	18.3%
❖ Remaining	51	42.5%
Of the 51 left, 17 had a prior day or full parole decisions	17	14.2%
❖ Remaining	34	28.3%
Of the 34 left, 34 had a prior day or full parole waivers, postponements or withdrawals	34	28.3%
❖ Women who did not get a file review by NPB	0	0.0%

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 1, 2007

NON-ABORIGINAL

	Total	%
Total Women Offenders who are past DPED but prior to FPED (In the community and incarcerated)	314	100.0%
Total women offenders that are incarcerated past their DPED and Prior to FPED	119	37.9%
Of the 119 women offenders, 47 had a prior supervision period	47	15.0%
❖ Remaining	72	22.9%
Of the 72 left, 31 had a prior day or full parole decisions	31	9.9%
❖ Remaining	41	13.1%
Of the 41 left, 39 had a prior day or full parole waivers, postponements or withdrawals	39	12.4%
❖ Women who did not get a file review by NPB	2	0.6%

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 1, 2007

DAY PAROLE WAIVERS, POSTPONEMENTS AND ADJOURNMENTS FISCAL YEAR 2006/2007

Region	Review Scheduled	Withdrawn	Postponements	Adjournments
Atlantic	65	9	17	
Québec	48	1	4	1
Ontario	141	13	20	
Prairies	172	10	27	8
Pacific	67	5	8	3
Total	493	38 (2%)	76 (15%)	12 (2%)

Source: OMS, as of April 8, 2007. Data from April 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007.

ABORIGINAL

Region	Review Scheduled	Withdrawn	Postponements	Adjournments
Atlantic	8	0	2	
Québec	1	0		
Ontario	12	3	2	
Prairies	109	9	20	6
Pacific	24	1	6	1
Total	154	13 (8%)	30 (19%)	7 (5%)

Source: OMS, as of April 8, 2007. Data from April 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007.

NON-ABORIGINAL

Region	Review Scheduled	Withdrawn	Postponements	Adjournments
Atlantic	57	9	15	
Québec	47	1	4	1
Ontario	129	10	18	
Prairies	63	1	7	2
Pacific	43	4	2	2
Total	339	25 (3.5%)	46 (14%)	5 (1%)

Source: OMS, as of April 8, 2007. Data from April 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007.

The numbers presented are calculated as the percentage of Day Parole and Full Parole reviews scheduled during the fiscal year that resulted in a withdrawal, postponement or adjournment. Reviews cancelled or entered in error are not included. Adjournments include recorded decisions where the final decision is "Adjourned" and "Administratively Adjourned".

FULL PAROLE WAIVERS, POSTPONEMENTS AND ADJOURNMENTS - FISCAL YEAR 2006/2007

Region	Review Scheduled	Waivers	Postponements	Adjournments
Atlantic	68	17	10	
Québec	93	8	23	3
Ontario	144	44	8	
Prairies	186	41	24	8
Pacific	57	15	14	1
Total	548	125 (23%)	79 (14%)	12 (2%)

Source: OMS, as of April 8, 2007. Data from April 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007

ABORIGINAL

Region	Review Scheduled	Waivers	Postponements	Adjournments
Atlantic	9	1	3	
Québec	5	1	1	
Ontario	14	9		
Prairies	120	32	19	6
Pacific	18	6	5	1
Total	166	49 (30%)	28 (17%)	7 (4%)

Source: OMS, as of April 8, 2007. Data from April 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007.

NON-ABORIGINAL

Region	Review Scheduled	Waivers	Postponements	Adjournments
Atlantic	59	16	7	
Québec	88	7	22	3
Ontario	130	35	8	
Prairies	66	9	5	2
Pacific	39	9	9	
Total	382	76 (20%)	51 (13%)	5 (1%)

Source: OMS, as of April 8, 2007. Data from April 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007.

The numbers presented are calculated as the percentage of Day Parole and Full Parole reviews scheduled during the fiscal year that resulted in a waiver, postponement or adjournment. Reviews cancelled or entered in error are not included. Adjournments include recorded decisions where the final decision is "Adjourned" and "Administratively Adjourned".

COMMUNITY TEMPORARY DETENTION AND DEPORTATION

Date	Temporary Detained	Deportation
March 31, 2002	43	33
March 31, 2003	39	29
March 31, 2004	40	21
March 31, 2005	56	24
March 31, 2006	52	21
March 31, 2007	41	19

Source: CRS, as of April, 2007.

- The number of women offenders temporarily detained at the time of the snapshot has decreased since March 2005;
- The number of women offenders who deported at the time of the snapshot has decreased since March 2002.

ABORIGINALS

Date	Temporary Detained	Deportation
March 31, 2002	13	0
March 31, 2003	11	0
March 31, 2004	14	0
March 31, 2005	17	0
March 31, 2006	16	0
March 31, 2007	15	0

Source: CRS, data as of April, 2007

NON-ABORIGINALS

Date	Temporary Detained	Deportation
March 31, 2002	30	33
March 31, 2003	28	29
March 31, 2004	26	21
March 31, 2005	39	24
March 31, 2006	36	21
March 31, 2007	26	19

Source: CRS, data as of April, 2007

RELEASES BY INSTITUTION

	Fiscal Year	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Total
Nova	2002-2003	18	2	15	35
	2003-2004	20	2	14	36
	2004-2005	29	3	21	53
	2005-2006	24	3	27	54
	2006-2007	24	1	33	58
Joliette	2002-2003	25	4	19	48
	2003-2004	26	3	20	49
	2004-2005	26	6	32	64
	2005-2006	28	3	31	62
	2006-2007	30	3	28	61
GVI (incl. Isabel McNeil)	2002-2003	58	5	39	102
	2003-2004	50	13	27	90
	2004-2005	55	9	34	98
	2005-2006	65	10	43	118
	2006-2007	55	5	24	84
OOHL	2002-2003	10	3	4	17
	2003-2004	10	2	9	21
	2004-2005	16	2	11	29
	2005-2006	18	1	14	33
	2006-2007	26	1	15	42
RPC	2002-2003	1		5	6
	2003-2004			2	2
	2004-2005			1	1
	2005-2006			6	6
	2006-2007	3		1	4
EIFW	2002-2003	38	3	45	86
	2003-2004	49	4	57	110
	2004-2005	49	7	55	111
	2005-2006	49	1	46	96
	2006-2007	54	1	51	106
FVI	2002-2003				
	2003-2004				
	2004-2005	14	6	17	37
	2005-2006	19	5	14	38
	2006-2007	22	2	18	42
Totals	2002-2003	150	17	127	294
	2003-2004	155	24	129	308
	2004-2005	189	33	171	393
	2005-2006	203	23	181	407
	2006-2007	214	13	170	397

Source: OMS, as of April 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

- There was an increase of 35% in releases since fiscal year 2002-2003.

Definition: Releases refer to the offenders' releases. These releases reflect federal jurisdiction offenders only. It does not include those who move from one type of release to another in the community.

Note: The majority of women offenders are released from a federal facility on Day Parole and convert over to Full Parole once in the community. Other forms of release including warrant expiry and bail are not included in the above table. Day Parole and Full Parole releases are at the discretion of the National Parole Board. Statutory Release is a mandatory form of release unless there is reason to believe that a person will commit a violent offence prior to their Warrant Expiry Date.

ABORIGINAL RELEASES BY INSTITUTION

	Fiscal Year	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Total
Nova	2002-2003	3		1	4
	2003-2004	3		1	4
	2004-2005	3		2	5
	2005-2006	4	1	4	9
	2006-2007	3		4	7
Joliette	2002-2003			3	3
	2003-2004	1		1	2
	2004-2005	1		1	2
	2005-2006	1		1	2
	2006-2007	1			1
GVI (incl. Isabel McNeil)	2002-2003	2	1	2	5
	2003-2004	4	1	9	14
	2004-2005	4		7	11
	2005-2006	3		6	9
	2006-2007	4		5	9
OOHL	2002-2003	7	2	3	12
	2003-2004	8	1	8	17
	2004-2005	12	2	10	24
	2005-2006	15	1	13	29
	2006-2007	15		15	30
RPC	2002-2003	1		1	2
	2003-2004			2	2
	2004-2005				
	2005-2006			2	2
	2006-2007	3			3
EIFW	2002-2003	17	1	24	42
	2003-2004	16	1	32	49
	2004-2005	25	3	32	60
	2005-2006	24		30	54
	2006-2007	22		31	53
FVI	2002-2003				
	2003-2004				
	2004-2005	7		10	17
	2005-2006	3		5	8
	2006-2007	6		10	16
Totals	2002-2003	30	4	34	68
	2003-2004	32	3	53	88
	2004-2005	52	5	62	119
	2005-2006	50	2	61	113
	2006-2007	54		65	119

Source: OMS, as of April 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

NON-ABORIGINAL RELEASES BY INSTITUTION

	Fiscal Year	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Total
Nova	2002-2003	15	2	14	31
	2003-2004	17	2	13	32
	2004-2005	26	3	19	48
	2005-2006	20	2	23	45
	2006-2007	21	1	29	51
Joliette	2002-2003	25	4	16	45
	2003-2004	25	3	19	47
	2004-2005	25	6	31	62
	2005-2006	27	3	30	60
	2006-2007	29	3	28	60
GVI (incl. Isabel McNeil)	2002-2003	56	4	37	97
	2003-2004	46	12	18	76
	2004-2005	51	9	27	87
	2005-2006	62	10	37	109
	2006-2007	51	5	19	75
OOHL	2002-2003	3	1	1	5
	2003-2004	2	1	1	4
	2004-2005	4		1	5
	2005-2006	3		1	4
	2006-2007	11	1		12
RPC	2002-2003			4	4
	2003-2004				
	2004-2005			1	1
	2005-2006			4	4
	2006-2007			1	1
EIFW	2002-2003	21	2	21	44
	2003-2004	33	3	25	61
	2004-2005	24	4	23	51
	2005-2006	25	1	16	42
	2006-2007	32	1	20	53
FVI	2002-2003				
	2003-2004				
	2004-2005	7	6	7	20
	2005-2006	16	5	9	30
	2006-2007	16	2	8	26
Totals	2002-2003	120	13	93	226
	2003-2004	123	21	76	220
	2004-2005	137	28	109	274
	2005-2006	153	21	120	294
	2006-2007	160	13	105	278

Source: OMS, as of April 2007. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2007.

OFFENCE PROFILE BY REGION – COMMUNITY

	Fiscal Year	First Degree Murder	Second Degree Murder	Sched. I	Sched. II	Non Sched.	Total
Atlantic	2002-2003		2	14	4	7	27
	2003-2004		1	12	5	5	23
	2004-2005		4	19	10	7	40
	2005-2006		6	23	7	11	47
	2006-2007		8	20	5	17	50
Quebec	2002-2003	2	11	23	37	12	83
	2003-2004	2	11	25	30	10	76
	2004-2005	1	12	30	32	12	86
	2005-2006	1	10	21	36	14	81
	2006-2007	1	12	26	36	17	92
Ontario	2002-2003	1	27	36	93	18	174
	2003-2004	1	28	34	89	22	173
	2004-2005	1	28	41	64	27	160
	2005-2006	2	30	37	87	23	177
	2006-2007	3	29	39	78	21	170
Prairies	2002-2003	1	9	46	52	15	122
	2003-2004	2	6	44	38	14	102
	2004-2005	2	7	56	35	19	117
	2005-2006	2	8	57	33	21	119
	2006-2007	2	9	48	55	22	136
Pacific	2002-2003		14	19	12	5	50
	2003-2004		16	18	13	7	54
	2004-2005		16	26	9	7	58
	2005-2006		19	26	13	13	71
	2006-2007		21	21	14	9	65
Total	2002-2003	4	63	138	198	57	456
	2003-2004	5	62	133	175	58	428
	2004-2005	4	67	172	150	72	461
	2005-2006	5	73	164	176	82	495
	2006-2007	6	79	154	188	86	513

Source: OMS, as of year end snapshot.

- On March 31, 2007, 47% (239) women offenders in the community had been convicted of a violent offence.

Violent offences include first degree murder, second degree murder and offences listed under Schedule 1.
--

OFFENCE PROFILE - COMMUNITY ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	First Degree Murder	Second Degree Murder	Sched. I	Sched. II	Non Sched.	Total
Atlantic	2002-2003		1	1			2
	2003-2004			1			1
	2004-2005		1	1		3	5
	2005-2006		3	3		2	8
	2006-2007		3	5			8
Quebec	2002-2003						0
	2003-2004			1	1		2
	2004-2005			2			2
	2005-2006			2		1	3
	2006-2007					1	1
Ontario	2002-2003		2	4	2	2	10
	2003-2004		2	7		1	10
	2004-2005		2	3	3	2	10
	2005-2006		2	3	4		9
	2006-2007		2	5	5		12
Prairies	2002-2003		6	30	17	1	54
	2003-2004		3	30	13	2	48
	2004-2005		4	40	10	3	57
	2005-2006		5	43	14	4	66
	2006-2007		5	36	21	6	68
Pacific	2002-2003		2	4			6
	2003-2004		3	5	1	1	10
	2004-2005		3	13	1		17
	2005-2006		3	10		1	14
	2006-2007		6	8			14
Total	2002-2003		11	39	19	3	72
	2003-2004		8	44	15	4	71
	2004-2005		10	59	14	8	91
	2005-2006		13	61	18	8	100
	2006-2007		16	54	26	7	103

Source: OMS, as of year end snapshot.

OFFENCE PROFILE - COMMUNITY NON-ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	First Degree Murder	Second Degree Murder	Sched. I	Sched. II	Non Sched.	Total
Atlantic	2002-2003	0	1	13	4	7	25
	2003-2004	0	1	11	5	5	22
	2004-2005	0	3	18	10	4	35
	2005-2006	0	3	20	7	9	39
	2006-2007	0	5	15	5	17	42
Quebec	2002-2003	2	11	23	37	12	83
	2003-2004	2	11	24	29	10	74
	2004-2005	1	12	28	32	12	84
	2005-2006	1	10	19	36	13	78
	2006-2007	1	12	26	36	16	91
Ontario	2002-2003	1	25	32	91	16	164
	2003-2004	1	26	27	89	21	163
	2004-2005	1	26	38	61	25	150
	2005-2006	2	28	34	83	23	168
	2006-2007	3	27	34	73	21	158
Prairies	2002-2003	1	3	16	35	14	68
	2003-2004	2	3	14	25	12	54
	2004-2005	2	3	16	25	16	60
	2005-2006	2	3	14	19	17	53
	2006-2007	2	4	12	34	16	68
Pacific	2002-2003	0	12	15	12	5	44
	2003-2004	0	13	13	12	6	44
	2004-2005	0	13	13	8	7	41
	2005-2006	0	16	16	13	12	57
	2006-2007	0	15	13	14	9	51
Total	2002-2003	4	52	99	179	54	384
	2003-2004	5	54	89	160	54	357
	2004-2005	4	57	113	136	64	370
	2005-2006	5	60	103	158	74	395
	2006-2007	6	63	100	162	79	410

Source: OMS, as of year end snapshot.

COMMUNITY SUPERVISION POPULATION BY ETHNIC ORIGIN

Race	Fiscal Year	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Total
Aboriginal	2002-2003	15	38	8	61
	2003-2004	11	35	11	57
	2004-2005	22	35	17	74
	2005-2006	18	46	19	83
	2006-2007	22	46	20	88
Asiatic	2002-2003	3	17	3	23
	2003-2004	1	14	1	16
	2004-2005	5	11	2	18
	2005-2006	3	10	3	16
	2006-2007	3	15	2	20
Black	2002-2003	7	39	5	51
	2003-2004	6	42	1	49
	2004-2005	3	31	3	37
	2005-2006	10	31	4	45
	2006-2007	12	30	2	44
Latin American	2002-2003	1	3	2	6
	2003-2004	0			0
	2004-2005	0			0
	2005-2006	0			0
	2006-2007	0			0
Caucasian	2002-2003	41	155	35	231
	2003-2004	47	151	27	225
	2004-2005	53	154	45	252
	2005-2006	43	177	37	257
	2006-2007	55	181	39	275
Others	2002-2003	4	15	1	20
	2003-2004	2	17	2	21
	2004-2005	7	18	2	27
	2005-2006	1	21	1	23
	2006-2007	5	17	1	23
Total	2002-2003	71	267	54	392
	2003-2004	67	259	42	368
	2004-2005	90	249	69	408
	2005-2006	75	285	64	424
	2006-2007	97	289	64	450

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above. Women Offenders temporary detained have been excluded.

- As of March 31, 2007, 61% (275) of federal women offenders currently under supervision were Caucasian;
- Aboriginal women currently account for 20% of the federal women offenders under community supervision;

Others include: Not specified, unknown, blank.

CONDITIONAL RELEASE TYPE IN THE COMMUNITY

Date	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Other	Total
2002-2003	71	267	54	68	460
2003-2004	67	259	42	65	433
2004-2005	90	249	69	57	465
2005-2006	75	285	64	76	500
2006-2007	97	289	64	63	513

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above.

- The number of women offenders on Day Parole has increased of 37% since March 31, 2003.
- The number of women offenders on Full Parole has increased of 8% since March 31, 2003
- The number of women offenders on Statutory Release has increased of 20% since March 31, 2003.

CONDITIONAL RELEASE TYPE - ABORIGINAL

Date	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Other	Total
2002-2003	15	38	8	11	72
2003-2004	11	35	11	14	71
2004-2005	22	35	17	17	91
2005-2006	18	46	19	17	100
2006-2007	22	46	20	15	103

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above.

CONDITIONAL RELEASE TYPE - NON-ABORIGINAL

Date	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Other	Total
2002-2003	56	229	46	57	388
2003-2004	56	224	31	51	362
2004-2005	68	214	52	40	374
2005-2006	57	239	45	59	400
2006-2007	75	243	44	48	410

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above.

Definition: Conditional Release includes: Federal women offenders on active day parole, full parole or statutory release only, actively under supervision (i.e. not suspended) in the community on the day of the snapshot.

ATLANTIC REGION UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE

Supervision Office	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Suspended TD	LTSP	Deported	Total
Bathurst Area Office		1		1	0	0	2
Charlottetown Area Office		1	1	0	0	0	2
Dartmouth Parole Office		4	1	0	0	0	5
Fredericton Area Office		3		0	0	0	3
Halifax Area Parole Office	2	6	1	0	0	0	9
Moncton Area Office	1	3	4	2	0	0	10
Nova Institution for Women			1	0	0	0	1
Saint John Area Office	2		1	2	0	0	5
St. John's Area Office	1	4		0	1	0	6
Sydney Area Office	3	1		0	0	0	4
Truro Area Office		3		0	0	0	3
Grand Total	9	26	9	5	1	0	50

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 8, 2007

- Of these, 8 women are Aboriginal, 2 DP, 1 from Halifax Area Parole Office and 1 from Sidney Area Office; 5 FP, 1 from Dartmouth Parole Office, 2 from Fredericton Area Office, 2 from Halifax Area Parole Office and 1 SR from Moncton Area Office;

QUEBEC REGION UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE

Supervision Office	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Suspended TD	LTSP	Deported	Total
Lanaudière Area Parole Office		2	3				5
Laurentian Area Parole Office		3	1				4
Laval Area Parole Office		1		1			2
Longueuil Area Parole Office		6					6
Maisonneuve Area Parole Office		1					1
Martineau CCC			3				3
Montreal East		1					1
Québec Area Parole Office	1	10		1			12
Rimouski Area Parole Office		1					1
Rouyn-Noranda Area Parole Office		2					2
Trois Rivières Area Parole Office		3					3
Ville Marie Area Parole Office	9	29	11	2		1	52
Grand Total	10	59	18	4	0	1	92

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 8, 2007

- Of these, 1 is an Aboriginal woman on full parole, in Quebec Area Parole Office.

ONTARIO REGION UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE

Supervision Office	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Suspended TD	LTSP	Deported	Total
Barrie Area Parole Office		7	1		0	0	8
Brantford Area Parole Office				1	0	0	1
Guelph Area Parole Office	3	2	1		0	0	6
Hamilton Parole Office	9	10		2	0	0	21
Kingston Case Prep (Closed)					0	3	3
Kingston Area Parole Office		10			0	1	11
London Area Parole Office		2	1	2	0	0	5
North Bay		2			0	0	2
Ottawa Parole Office	5	7			0	0	12
Peterborough Parole Office		4			0	7	11
Portsmouth Comm. Center	3	2			0	0	5
Sault Ste. Marie Area Parole		2			0	0	2
St. Catharines Area Parole Office		2			0	0	2
Sudbury Area Parole Office		1			0	0	1
Timmins Area Parole Office		1			0	0	1
Windsor Area Parole Office		2	1	1	0	0	4
Women's Supervision Unit	19	48	5	1	1	1	75
Total	39	102	9	7	1	12	170

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 8, 2007

- Of these, 12 are Aboriginal women; 1 DP in Hamilton Parole Office; 8 FP, 1 in Barrie Area Parole Office, 1 in London Area Parole Office, 1 in North Bay, 2 in Ottawa Parole Office, 1 in Sault-Ste-Marie Area Parole Office and 2 in Women's Supervision Unit; 1 SR, 1 in London Area Parole Office; 2 are TD, 1 in Hamilton and 1 in London Area Parole Office;

PRAIRIE REGION UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE

Supervision Office	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Suspended TD	LTSP	Deported	Total
Brandon Parole		2					2
Calgary Area Parole Office	5	13	1	2			21
Driftpile Area Parole Office		1					1
Edmonton Area Parole Office	12	17	5	8		1	43
La Ronge Parole		1					1
Lethbridge Parole	1	3		0			4
Medicine Hat Parole Office		1					1
NWT parole Office		3					3
Prince Albert Parole			1	1			2
Red Deer Parole	1	3		2			6
Regina Parole Office	1	5	4	0		0	10
Saskatoon Parole	4	6	3	4			17
The Pas Area Parole Office		1	2				3
Thunder Bay Parole		2					2
Wetaskiwin Parole Office		1					1
Wpg Area Parole Office	7	8	4				19
Grand Total	31	67	20	17	0	1	136

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 8, 2007

PRAIRIE REGION - ABORIGINAL - UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE

Supervision Office	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Suspended TD	LTSP	Deported	Total
Brandon Parole		2					2
Calgary Area Parole Office	3	3		2			8
Edmonton Area Parole Office	6	6	2	5			19
La Ronge Parole		1					1
Lethbridge Parole	1	1					2
NWT Parole Office		3					3
Prince Albert Parole			1	1			2
Red Deer Parole		1					1
Regina Parole Office		3	4				7
Saskatoon Parole	3	3	2	2			10
The Pas Parole Area Office		1	2				3
Wpg Area Parole Office	2	3	3	1			9
Driftpile Area Parole Office		1					1
Grand Total	15	28	14	11			68

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 8, 2007

PACIFIC REGION UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE

Supervision Office	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Suspended TD	LTSP	Deported	Total
Kamloops Area Parole Office		4	2	0	0	0	6
Kelowna Parole Office		1	1	0	0	0	2
Nanaimo Parole Office		3		0	0	0	3
New Westminister Area Parole	11	26	5	2	1	5	50
Prince George Parole Office		2	1	0	0	0	3
Vernon Parole Office		1		0	0	0	1
Grand Total	11	37	9	2	1	5	65

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 8, 2007

- Of these, 14 are Aboriginal women; 4 DP, all in New Westminister Parole, 4 are FP, 1 in Kamloops Parole Office, 1 in Nanaimo Parole Office and 2 in New Westminister Parole; 4 on SR, 1 in Kamloops Parole Office, 2 in New Westminister Parole and 1 in Prince George Parole Office; 2 are TD, both in New Westminister.

ANNEX I - LIST OF SCHEDULED OFFENCES - SCHEDULE I

SCHEDULE I

(Subsections 107(1), 125(1) and 126(7) and sections 129 and 130)

1. An offence under any of the following provisions of the *Criminal Code*, that was prosecuted by way of indictment:

- (a) paragraph 81(2)(a) (causing injury with intent);
- (b) subsection 85(1) (using firearm in commission of offence);
- (b.1) subsection 85(2) (using imitation firearm in commission of offence);
- (c) subsection 86(1) (pointing a firearm);
- (d) section 144 (prison breach);
- (e) section 151 (sexual interference);
- (f) section 152 (invitation to sexual touching);
- (g) section 153 (sexual exploitation);
- (h) section 155 (incest);
- (i) section 159 (anal intercourse);
- (j) section 160 (bestiality, compelling, in presence of or by child);
- (k) section 170 (parent or guardian procuring sexual activity by child);
- (l) section 171 (householder permitting sexual activity by or in presence of child);
- (m) section 172 (corrupting children);
- (n) subsection 212(2) (living off the avails of prostitution by a child);
- (o) subsection 212(4) (obtaining sexual services of a child);
- (o.1) section 220 (causing death by criminal negligence);
- (o.2) section 221 (causing bodily harm by criminal negligence);
- (p) section 236 (manslaughter);
- (q) section 239 (attempt to commit murder);
- (r) section 244 (causing bodily harm with intent);
- (s) section 246 (overcoming resistance to commission of offence);
- (s.1) subsections 249(3) and (4) (dangerous operation causing bodily harm and dangerous operation causing death);
- (s.2) subsections 255(2) and (3) (impaired driving causing bodily harm and impaired driving causing death);
- (s.3) section 264 (criminal harassment);
- (t) section 266 (assault);
- (u) section 267 (assault with a weapon or causing bodily harm);
- (v) section 268 (aggravated assault);
- (w) section 269 (unlawfully causing bodily harm);
- (x) section 270 (assaulting a peace officer);
- (y) section 271 (sexual assault);
- (z) section 272 (sexual assault with a weapon, threats to a third party or causing bodily harm);
- (z.1) section 273 (aggravated sexual assault);
- (z.2) section 279 (kidnapping);
- (z.3) section 344 (robbery);
- (z.4) section 433 (arson -- disregard for human life);
- (z.5) section 434.1 (arson -- own property);
- (z.6) section 436 (arson by negligence); and
- (z.7) paragraph 465(1)(a) (conspiracy to commit murder).

2. An offence under any of the following provisions of the *Criminal Code*, as they read immediately before July 1, 1990, that was prosecuted by way of indictment:

- (a) section 433 (arson);
- (b) section 434 (setting fire to other substance); and
- (c) section 436 (setting fire by negligence).

3. An offence under any of the following provisions of the *Criminal Code*, chapter C-34 of the Revised Statutes of Canada, 1970, as they read immediately before January 4, 1983, that was prosecuted by way of indictment:

- (a) section 144 (rape);

- (b) section 145 (attempt to commit rape);
- (c) section 149 (indecent assault on female);
- (d) section 156 (indecent assault on male);
- (e) section 245 (common assault);
- (f) section 246 (assault with intent).

4. An offence under any of the following provisions of the *Criminal Code*, chapter C-34 of the Revised Statutes of Canada, 1970, as they read immediately before January 1, 1988, that was prosecuted by way of indictment:

- (a) section 146 (sexual intercourse with a female under 14);
- (b) section 151 (seduction of a female between 16 and 18);
- (c) section 153 (sexual intercourse with step-daughter);
- (d) section 155 (buggery or bestiality);
- (e) section 157 (gross indecency);
- (f) section 166 (parent or guardian procuring defilement); and
- (g) section 167 (householder permitting defilement).

5. The offence of breaking and entering a place and committing an indictable offence therein, as provided for by paragraph 348(1)(b) of the *Criminal Code*, where the indictable offence is an offence set out in sections 1 to 4 of this Schedule and its commission

- (a) is specified in the warrant of committal;
- (b) is specified in the Summons, Information or Indictment on which the conviction has been registered;
- (c) is found in the reasons for judgment of the trial judge; or
- (d) is found in a statement of facts admitted into evidence pursuant to section 655 of the *Criminal Code*.

6. An offence under any of the following provisions of the *Crimes Against Humanity and War Crimes Act*:

- (a) section 4 (genocide, etc., committed in Canada);
- (b) section 5 (breach of responsibility committed in Canada by military commanders or other superiors);
- (c) section 6 (genocide, etc., committed outside Canada); and
- (d) section 7 (breach of responsibility committed outside Canada by military commanders or other superiors).

1992, c. 20, Sch. I; 1995, c. 39, s. 165, c. 42, ss. 64 to 67; 2000, c. 24, s. 41.

ANNEX I - LIST OF SCHEDULED OFFENCES - SCHEDULE II

(Subsections 107(1) and 125(1) and sections 129, 130 and 132)

1. An offence under any of the following provisions of the *Narcotic Control Act*, as it read immediately before the day on which section 64 of the *Controlled Drugs and Substances Act* came into force, that was prosecuted by way of indictment:

- (a) section 4 (trafficking);
- (b) section 5 (importing and exporting);
- (c) section 6 (cultivation);
- (d) section 19.1 (possession of property obtained by certain offences); and
- (e) section 19.2 (laundering proceeds of certain offences).

2. An offence under any of the following provisions of the *Food and Drugs Act*, as it read immediately before the day on which section 64 of the *Controlled Drugs and Substances Act* came into force, that was prosecuted by way of indictment:

- (a) section 39 (trafficking in controlled drugs);
- (b) section 44.2 (possession of property obtained by trafficking in controlled drugs);
- (c) section 44.3 (laundering proceeds of trafficking in controlled drugs);
- (d) section 48 (trafficking in restricted drugs);
- (e) section 50.2 (possession of property obtained by trafficking in restricted drugs); and
- (f) section 50.3 (laundering proceeds of trafficking in restricted drugs).

3. An offence under any of the following provisions of the *Controlled Drugs and Substances Act* that was prosecuted by way of indictment:

- (a) section 5 (trafficking);
- (b) section 6 (importing and exporting);
- (c) section 7 (production);
- (d) section 8 (possession of property obtained by certain offences); and
- (e) section 9 (laundering proceeds of certain offences).

4. The offence of conspiring, as provided by paragraph 465(1)(c) of the *Criminal Code*, to commit any of the offences referred to in items 1 to 3 of this schedule.

1992, c. 20, Sch. II; 1995, c. 42, s. 68; 1996, c. 19, s. 64.