



ARCHIVED - Archiving Content

Archived Content

Information identified as archived is provided for reference, research or recordkeeping purposes. It is not subject to the Government of Canada Web Standards and has not been altered or updated since it was archived. Please contact us to request a format other than those available.

ARCHIVÉE - Contenu archivé

Contenu archivé

L'information dont il est indiqué qu'elle est archivée est fournie à des fins de référence, de recherche ou de tenue de documents. Elle n'est pas assujettie aux normes Web du gouvernement du Canada et elle n'a pas été modifiée ou mise à jour depuis son archivage. Pour obtenir cette information dans un autre format, veuillez communiquer avec nous.

This document is archival in nature and is intended for those who wish to consult archival documents made available from the collection of Public Safety Canada.

Some of these documents are available in only one official language. Translation, to be provided by Public Safety Canada, is available upon request.

Le présent document a une valeur archivistique et fait partie des documents d'archives rendus disponibles par Sécurité publique Canada à ceux qui souhaitent consulter ces documents issus de sa collection.

Certains de ces documents ne sont disponibles que dans une langue officielle. Sécurité publique Canada fournira une traduction sur demande.



Correctional Service of Canada

Women Offender Statistical Overview 2003

Introduction

The majority of the tables in this document focus on the regional institutions (Nova, Joliette, Grand Valley, Okimaw Ohci Healing Lodge, Edmonton Institution for Women and Burnaby Correctional Center for Women). However, where appropriate, data is also included from Isabel McNeil (Ontario), Springhill Institution, Saskatchewan Penitentiary, Regional Reception Center, (Quebec) and Regional Psychiatric Centre, (Prairies).

Here are a few key points of interest in the following report:

- The last inmate left Prison for Women in May 2000;
- The Secure Unit at Nova Institution opened in January 2003;
- The Secure Unit at Edmonton Institution for Women opened in March 2003;
- The Secure Unit at Joliette Institution opened in May 2003;
- The maximum security unit at Saskatchewan Penitentiary closed in March 2003;
- The maximum security unit at Springhill Institution closed in June 2003;
- There has been a decrease in the federal women offender population since March 31, 2002;
- The Prairie Region has the highest proportion of incarcerated women offenders. This has been consistent since March 1999. As of March 31, 2003 the Prairie Region accounts for 34.0% of the total incarcerated women offender population;
- On April 13, 2003 the majority of the women offender incarcerated population were classified at a medium-security level (159) followed by minimum security (120);
- On March 31, 2003 there were 250 women incarcerated for a violent offence, accounting for 70.2% of the incarcerated federal women offender population;
- Incarcerated Aboriginal women offender (104) account for 29.2% of the total incarcerated federal women offender population (356). Aboriginal peoples account for 3.3% of the total Canadian population;
- The community population of federal women offenders continues to decline since March 31, 2000;

LEGEND	
BCCW	Burnaby Correctional Centre for Women
CRS	Corporate Reporting System
EIFW	Edmonton Institution for Women
GVI	Grand Valley Institution for Women
IMH	Isabel McNeil House
Joliette	Joliette Institution
Nova	Nova Institution for Women
OMS	Offender Management System
OOHL	Okimaw Ochi Healing Lodge
RPC Prairies	Regional Psychiatric Centre Prairies
RRC Quebec	Regional Reception Centre (Quebec)
Sask. Pen.	Saskatchewan Penitentiary
WOC	Warrant of Committal

Definitions

The statistics in this document are presented in two different formats: 1) at a point in time, and 2) over a period of time.

1) at a point in time

This measure is designed to illustrate a situation on a specific date. Each Sunday, CSC takes a "snapshot" of the data in OMS relating to the offenders in each institution across the country. This information is then stored separately from OMS and labeled as having been extracted from OMS on that Sunday's date. These "snapshots" can then be used to compare one institution or region to another at the same point in time. By comparing "snapshots", one point in time can be compared to other points in time on an institutional, regional or national level. These types of figures are dynamic in that the numbers will change with each new "snapshot". Incarcerated and community populations are often presented this way.

2) over a period of time

This measure is designed to illustrate an accumulation between two dates. When the OMS data from each institution (as well as the archives) is amalgamated it is possible to use these types of measures to make comparisons and identify trends between one institution or region and another. By adjusting the date parameters, it is possible to use these measures to compare an institutional, a regional, or the national view from one time period to another. These types of figures are generally static in that they rarely change from one "snapshot" amalgamation to the next. Offender admissions and releases are regularly presented this way.

Other:

Most of the tables provide information on the total population and then a more specific breakdown of the Aboriginal vs Non-Aboriginal populations.

Generally, the numbers and percentages of the specific Aboriginal/Non-Aboriginal tables add up to the total provided for the total population.

Table of contents

DISTRIBUTION OF THE TOTAL FEDERAL WOMEN OFFENDER POPULATION INCARCERATED AND IN THE COMMUNITY - 1998-2003	6
ABORIGINAL	6
NON ABORIGINAL.....	6
DISTRIBUTION OF THE FEDERAL WOMEN OFFENDER POPULATION - INCARCERATED AND IN THE COMMUNITY,1998-2003, BY REGION.....	7
ABORIGINAL - INCARCERATED AND IN THE COMMUNITY	7
NON-ABORIGINAL - INCARCERATED AND IN THE COMMUNITY	7
DISTRIBUTION OF THE INCARCERATED FEDERAL WOMEN OFFENDER POPULATION - 1997-2003, BY REGION	8
DISTRIBUTION OF THE INCARCERATED FEDERAL ABORIGINAL WOMEN OFFENDER POPULATION	9
DISTRIBUTION OF THE INCARCERATED FEDERAL NON-ABORIGINAL WOMEN OFFENDER POPULATION	9
DISTRIBUTION OF THE INCARCERATED FEDERAL WOMEN OFFENDER POPULATION BY ETHNIC ORIGIN	10
OFFENCE PROFILE - INCARCERATED WOMEN OFFENDERS - BY REGION	11
OFFENCE PROFILE - INCARCERATED ABORIGINAL - BY REGION	12
OFFENCE PROFILE - NON-ABORIGINAL - BY REGION.....	12
INCARCERATED WOMEN OFFENDERS - SECURITY CLASSIFICATION PROFILE FEDERAL INSTITUTIONS..	13
INCARCERATED ABORIGINAL - SECURITY CLASSIFICATION PROFILE FEDERAL INSTITUTIONS.....	14
INCARCERATED NON-ABORIGINAL - SECURITY CLASSIFICATION PROFILE FEDERAL INSTITUTIONS.....	14
ADMISSIONS TO THE REGIONAL FACILITIES WARRANT OF COMMITTAL PER FISCAL YEAR	15
ADMISSIONS TO THE REGIONAL FACILITIES WARRANT OF COMMITTAL PER FISCAL YEAR ABORIGINAL.....	16
ADMISSIONS TO THE REGIONAL FACILITIES WARRANT OF COMMITTAL PER FISCAL YEAR NON-ABORIGINAL	16
OFFENCE PROFILE - ALL ADMISSION TYPES BY INSTITUTION	17
OFFENCE PROFILE - ALL ADMISSION TYPES - ABORIGINAL.....	18
OFFENCE PROFILE - ALL ADMISSION TYPES - NON-ABORIGINAL.....	19
ADMISSIONS FOR REVOCATION AT THE REGIONAL FACILITIES PER FISCAL YEAR	20
ADMISSIONS FOR REVOCATION AT THE REGIONAL FACILITIES PER FISCAL YEAR ABORIGINAL	21
ADMISSIONS FOR REVOCATION AT THE REGIONAL FACILITIES PER FISCAL YEAR NON-ABORIGINAL	22
ADMISSIONS FOR REVOCATIONS WITH OUTSTANDING CHARGES AT THE REGIONAL FACILITIES PER FISCAL YEAR	22
REVOCATIONS WITH OFFENCE BY TYPE OF RELEASE.....	23
REVOCATION WITH OFFENCE BY TYPE OF RELEASE -ABORIGINALS.....	24
REVOCATIONS WITHOUT OFFENCE BY TYPE OF RELEASE	25
REVOCATION WITHOUT OFFENCE BY TYPE OF RELEASE ABORIGINAL	26
CORRECTIONAL PLANS	27
ABORIGINAL	27
NON-ABORIGINAL	27
COURT FINDINGS FOR MINOR CHARGES PER INSTITUTION	28
COURT FINDINGS FOR MINOR CHARGES PER INSTITUTION- ABORIGINAL	29
COURT FINDINGS FOR MINOR CHARGES PER INSTITUTION NON-ABORIGINAL	30
COURT FINDINGS FOR SERIOUS CHARGES PER INSTITUTION	31
COURT FINDINGS FOR SERIOUS CHARGES PER INSTITUTION ABORIGINAL	32
COURT FINDINGS FOR SERIOUS CHARGES PER INSTITUTION NON-ABORIGINAL.....	33

ADMISSIONS INTO ADMINISTRATIVE SEGREGATION.....	34
ADMISSIONS INTO ADMINISTRATIVE SEGREGATION - ABORIGINAL.....	35
ADMISSIONS INTO ADMINISTRATIVE SEGREGATION - NON-ABORIGINAL	36
NUMBER OF DAYS SPENT IN SEGREGATION FOR ALL TYPES OF ADMISSIONS INTO SEGREGATION	37
NUMBER OF DAYS SPENT IN SEGREGATION FOR ALL TYPES OF ADMISSIONS INTO SEGREGATION - ABORIGINAL	38
NUMBER OF DAYS SPENT IN SEGREGATION FOR ALL TYPES OF ADMISSIONS INTO SEGREGATION - NON-ABORIGINAL	39
SUCCESSFUL ESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES	40
SUCCESSFUL ESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES - ABORIGINAL	41
SUCCESSFUL ESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES - NON-ABORIGINAL	42
SUCCESSFUL UNESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES	43
SUCCESSFUL UNESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES - ABORIGINAL	44
SUCCESSFUL UNESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES - NON-ABORIGINAL	45
SUCCESSFUL WORK RELEASES	46
SUCCESSFUL WORK RELEASES - ABORIGINAL.....	47
SUCCESSFUL WORK RELEASES - NON-ABORIGINAL	47
OFFENDERS INCARCERATED PAST DPED AND PRIOR TO FPED POPULATION AS OF APRIL 13, 2003	48
ABORIGINAL	49
NON-ABORIGINAL	49
OFFENDERS INCARCERATED PAST FPED AND PRIOR TO SRD POPULATION AS OF APRIL 13, 2003	50
ABORIGINAL	51
NON-ABORIGINAL	51
DAY PAROLE WAIVERS, POSTPONEMENTS AND ADJOURNMENTS FISCAL YEAR 2002/2003.....	52
ABORIGINAL	52
NON-ABORIGINAL	52
FULL PAROLE WAIVERS, POSTPONEMENTS AND ADJOURNMENTS - FISCAL YEAR 2002/2003.....	53
ABORIGINAL	53
NON-ABORIGINAL	53
COMMUNITY TEMPORARY DETENTION AND DEPORTATION	54
ABORIGINALS	54
NON-ABORIGINALS	54
RELEASES BY INSTITUTION.....	55
ABORIGINAL RELEASES BY INSTITUTION	56
NON-ABORIGINAL RELEASES BY INSTITUTION	57
OFFENCE PROFILE BY REGION - COMMUNITY	58
OFFENCE PROFILE - COMMUNITY ABORIGINAL	59
OFFENCE PROFILE - COMMUNITY NON-ABORIGINAL.....	59
COMMUNITY SUPERVISION POPULATION BY ETHNIC ORIGIN.....	60
CONDITIONAL RELEASE TYPE IN THE COMMUNITY	61
CONDITIONAL RELEASE TYPE - ABORIGINAL	61
CONDITIONAL RELEASE TYPE - NON-ABORIGINAL.....	61
ATLANTIC REGION UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE	62
QUEBEC REGION UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE	63
ONTARIO REGION WOMEN OFFENDERS UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE	64
PRAIRIE REGION UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE	65
PRAIRIE REGION - ABORIGINAL - UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE	66
PRAIRIE REGION - NON-ABORIGINAL UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE.....	66
PACIFIC REGION WOMEN OFFENDERS UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE.....	67
ANNEX I - LIST OF SCHEDULED OFFENCES - SCHEDULE I.....	68
ANNEX I - LIST OF SCHEDULED OFFENCES - SCHEDULE II	70

DISTRIBUTION OF THE TOTAL FEDERAL WOMEN OFFENDER POPULATION INCARCERATED AND IN THE COMMUNITY - 1998-2003

Fiscal Year	Incarcerated	%	Community	%	Total
1998-1999	355	43%	470	57%	825
1999-2000	342	40%	507	60%	849
2000-2001	375	43%	501	57%	876
2001-2002	358	42%	485	58%	843
2002-2003	356	44%	460	56%	816

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above.

ABORIGINAL

Fiscal Year	Incarcerated	%	Community	%	Total
1998-1999	71	20%	59	13%	130
1999-2000	84	25%	68	13%	152
2000-2001	88	23%	74	15%	162
2001-2002	98	27%	78	16%	176
2002-2003	104	29%	72	16%	176

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above.

NON ABORIGINAL

Fiscal Year	Incarcerated	%	Community	%	Total
1998-1999	284	80%	411	87%	695
1999-2000	258	75%	439	87%	697
2000-2001	287	77%	427	85%	714
2001-2002	260	73%	407	84%	667
2002-2003	252	71%	388	84%	640

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above.

- There has been a decrease in the federal women offender population since March 31, 2001; however, the proportion of federal women offenders in the community is consistently higher than the proportion of the incarcerated women offender population.

Incarcerated population: federal offenders housed in a penitentiary or a provincial prison with an incarcerated status and federal offenders on Temporary Absences

Community population: federal offenders on Day Parole with daily return, federal offenders in temporary detention, federal offenders on Day Parole, Full Parole, Statutory Release, and federal offenders that have been deported.

DISTRIBUTION OF THE FEDERAL WOMEN OFFENDER POPULATION - INCARCERATED AND IN THE COMMUNITY, 1998-2003, BY REGION

Fiscal Year	Status	Atlantic		Québec		Ontario		Prairies		Pacific		Total	
1998-1999	Incarcerated	26	39%	78	45%	100	34%	117	57%	34	41%	355	43%
	Community	40	61%	97	55%	198	66%	87	43%	48	59%	470	57%
1999-2000	Incarcerated	41	51%	54	36%	88	30%	128	54%	31	37%	339	40%
	Community	39	49%	96	64%	209	70%	111	46%	52	63%	507	60%
2000-2001	Incarcerated	51	59%	72	45%	89	32%	132	49%	31	40%	375	43%
	Community	36	41%	89	55%	190	68%	139	51%	47	60%	501	57%
2001-2002	Incarcerated	42	55%	72	44%	84	32%	130	50%	30	38%	358	42%
	Community	34	45%	90	56%	182	68%	131	50%	48	62%	485	58%
2002-2003	Incarcerated	49	64%	71	46%	81	32%	121	50%	34	40%	356	44%
	Community	27	36%	85	54%	175	68%	123	50%	50	60%	460	56%

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above.

- In 2002-2003 the Atlantic region is the only region to have more women incarcerated than in the community.

ABORIGINAL - INCARCERATED AND IN THE COMMUNITY

Fiscal Year	Status	Atlantic		Québec		Ontario		Prairies		Pacific		Total	
1998-1999	Incarcerated	2	40%	1	33%	7	41%	56	62%	5	33%	71	55%
	Community	3	60%	2	67%	10	59%	34	38%	10	67%	59	45%
1999-2000	Incarcerated	2	50%	0	0%	14	58%	62	57%	6	43%	81	54%
	Community	2	50%	1	100%	10	42%	47	43%	8	57%	68	46%
2000-2001	Incarcerated	5	71%	4	100%	8	47%	66	53%	5	56%	88	54%
	Community	2	29%	0	0%	9	53%	59	47%	4	44%	74	46%
2001-2002	Incarcerated	5	63%	6	100%	6	35%	73	56%	8	57%	98	56%
	Community	3	38%	0	0%	11	65%	58	44%	6	43%	78	44%
2002-2003	Incarcerated	5	71%	6	100%	13	57%	67	55%	13	68%	104	59%
	Community	2	29%	0	0%	10	43%	54	45%	6	32%	72	41%

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above.

- In 2002-2003, Aboriginal women tended to be incarcerated rather than on conditional release.

NON-ABORIGINAL - INCARCERATED AND IN THE COMMUNITY

Fiscal Year	Status	Atlantic		Quebec		Ontario		Prairies		Pacific		Total	
1998-1999	Incarcerated	24	39%	77	45%	93	33%	61	54%	29	43%	284	41%
	Community	37	61%	95	55%	188	67%	53	46%	38	57%	411	59%
1999-2000	Incarcerated	39	51%	54	36%	74	27%	66	51%	25	36%	258	37%
	Community	37	49%	95	64%	199	73%	64	49%	44	64%	439	63%
2000-2001	Incarcerated	46	58%	68	43%	81	31%	66	45%	26	38%	287	40%
	Community	34	43%	89	57%	181	69%	80	55%	43	62%	427	60%
2001-2002	Incarcerated	37	54%	66	42%	78	31%	57	44%	22	34%	260	39%
	Community	31	46%	90	58%	171	69%	73	56%	42	66%	407	61%
2002-2003	Incarcerated	44	64%	65	43%	68	29%	54	44%	21	32%	252	39%
	Community	25	36%	85	57%	165	71%	69	56%	44	68%	388	61%

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above.

DISTRIBUTION OF THE INCARCERATED FEDERAL WOMEN OFFENDER POPULATION - 1997-2003, BY REGION

Date	Atlantic		Québec		Ontario		Prairies		Pacific		Total
March 31, 1997	36	11%	54	16%	129	39%	78	24%	32	10%	329
Sept. 30, 1997	35	11%	66	21%	99	32%	81	26%	33	11%	314
March 31, 1998	35	11%	61	19%	112	35%	79	25%	31	10%	318
Sept. 30, 1998	32	10%	63	19%	119	36%	83	25%	32	10%	329
March 31, 1999	26	7%	78	22%	100	28%	117	33%	34	10%	355
Sept. 30, 1999	32	9%	66	19%	103	29%	120	34%	32	9%	353
March 31, 2000	41	12%	54	16%	88	26%	128	37%	31	9%	342
Sept. 30, 2000	54	15%	61	17%	93	25%	125	34%	34	9%	367
March 31, 2001	51	14%	72	19%	89	24%	132	35%	31	8%	375
Sept. 30, 2001	42	11%	63	17%	93	25%	138	37%	34	9%	370
March 31, 2002	42	12%	72	20%	84	23%	130	36%	30	8%	358
Sept. 30, 2002	42	12%	66	18%	91	25%	127	35%	39	11%	365
March 31, 2003	49	14%	71	20%	81	23%	121	34%	34	10%	356

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above.

- The total number of incarcerated federal women has decreased since March 2001;
- The Prairie Region has the highest proportion of incarcerated women offenders. This has been consistent since March 1999. As of March 31, 2003 the Prairie Region accounts for 34.0% of the total incarcerated women offender population;
- The Ontario and the Prairie Regions have consistently larger proportions of women compared to the other regions, however the Atlantic and Quebec populations have been increasing since 1997.

Incarcerated population: federal offenders housed in a penitentiary or a provincial prison with an incarcerated status and federal offenders on Temporary Absences.

DISTRIBUTION OF THE INCARCERATED FEDERAL ABORIGINAL WOMEN OFFENDER POPULATION

Date	Atlantic		Québec		Ontario		Prairies		Pacific		Total
March 31, 1997	4	6%	1	2%	13	21%	39	63%	5	8%	62
Sept. 30, 1997	4	6%	2	3%	11	17%	42	65%	6	9%	65
March 31, 1998	5	8%	1	2%	8	14%	39	66%	6	10%	59
Sept. 30, 1998	4	6%	2	3%	8	12%	46	70%	6	9%	66
March 31, 1999	2	3%	1	1%	7	10%	56	79%	5	7%	71
Sept. 30, 1999	3	4%	0	0%	6	8%	62	83%	4	5%	75
March 31, 2000	2	2%	0	0%	14	17%	62	74%	6	7%	84
Sept. 30, 2000	6	7%	1	1%	9	10%	70	76%	6	7%	92
March 31, 2001	5	6%	4	5%	8	9%	66	75%	5	6%	88
Sept 30, 2001	4	4%	2	2%	10	11%	69	73%	9	10%	94
March 31, 2002	5	5%	6	6%	6	6%	73	74%	8	8%	98
Sept. 30, 2002	6	6%	4	4%	7	8%	65	70%	11	12%	93
March 31, 2003	5	5%	6	6%	13	13%	67	64%	13	13%	104

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above.

- Since 1997, the actual number of Aboriginal women has almost doubled though the percentage and has remained fairly consistent.

DISTRIBUTION OF THE INCARCERATED FEDERAL NON-ABORIGINAL WOMEN OFFENDER POPULATION

Date	Atlantic		Québec		Ontario		Prairies		Pacific		Total
March 31, 1997	32	12%	53	20%	116	43%	39	15%	27	10%	267
Sept. 30, 1997	31	12%	64	26%	88	35%	39	16%	27	11%	249
March 31, 1998	30	12%	60	23%	104	40%	40	15%	25	10%	259
Sept. 30, 1998	28	11%	61	23%	111	42%	37	14%	26	10%	263
March 31, 1999	24	8%	77	27%	93	33%	61	21%	29	10%	284
Sept. 30, 1999	29	10%	66	24%	97	35%	58	21%	28	10%	278
March 31, 2000	39	15%	54	21%	74	29%	66	26%	25	10%	258
Sept. 30, 2000	48	17%	60	22%	84	31%	55	20%	28	10%	275
March 31, 2001	46	16%	68	24%	81	28%	66	23%	26	9%	287
Sept 30, 2001	38	14%	61	22%	83	30%	69	25%	25	9%	276
March 31, 2002	37	14%	66	25%	78	30%	57	22%	22	8%	260
Sept. 30, 2002	36	13%	62	23%	84	31%	62	23%	28	10%	272
March 31, 2003	44	17%	65	26%	68	27%	54	21%	21	8%	252

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above.

- The percentage of Non-Aboriginal women in the Ontario Region has dropped 16% since 1997.

Incarcerated population: federal offenders housed in a penitentiary or a provincial prison with an incarcerated status and federal offenders on Temporary Absences

Community population: federal offenders on Day Parole with daily return, federal offenders in temporary detention, federal offenders on Day Parole, Full Parole, Statutory Release, and federal offenders that have been deported.

DISTRIBUTION OF THE INCARCERATED FEDERAL WOMEN OFFENDER POPULATION BY ETHNIC ORIGIN

Race Profile	March 31, 2001		March 31, 2002		March 31, 2003	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Aboriginal	88	23.5%	98	27.4%	104	29.2%
Asiatic	13	3.5%	8	2.2%	9	2.5%
Black	25	6.7%	19	5.3%	26	7.3%
Caucasian	226	60.3%	216	60.3%	207	58.1%
Other	23	6.1%	17	4.7%	10	2.8%
Total	375	100%	358	100%	356	100%

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above.

- As of March 31, 2003, 58.1% of the incarcerated women offender population was Caucasian;
- Other includes: Not specified, unknown, blank.

OFFENCE PROFILE - INCARCERATED WOMEN OFFENDERS - BY REGION

Region	Fiscal Year	First Degree Murder	Second Degree Murder	Sched. I	Sched. II	Non Sched.	Total
Atlantic	2000-2001	0	8	25	7	11	51
	2001-2002	0	9	22	3	8	42
	2002-2003	1	9	26	4	9	49
Quebec	2000-2001	7	9	33	16	7	72
	2001-2002	7	7	35	11	12	72
	2002-2003	7	7	36	13	8	71
Ontario	2000-2001	3	15	37	27	7	89
	2001-2002	3	12	36	24	9	84
	2002-2003	3	12	32	24	10	81
Prairies	2000-2001	4	12	64	38	14	132
	2001-2002	4	14	76	29	7	130
	2002-2003	4	11	72	22	12	121
Pacific	2000-2001	1	11	13	3	3	31
	2001-2002	1	11	16	0	2	30
	2002-2003	1	10	19	0	4	34
Total	2000-2001	15	55	172	91	42	375
	2001-2002	15	53	185	67	38	358
	2002-2003	16	49	185	63	43	356

Source: CRS, April 2003

- On March 31, 2001, 242 women (64.5%) of the incarcerated federal women offender population were serving time for a violent crime; 26.4% were Aboriginal;
- On March 31, 2002, 253 women (70.7%) of the incarcerated federal women offender population were serving time for a violent crime; 31.6% were Aboriginal;
- On March 31, 2003, 250 women (70.2%) of the incarcerated federal women offender population were serving time for a violent crime; 35.2% were Aboriginal;

Violent offences include first degree murder, second degree murder and offences listed under Schedule 1.

OFFENCE PROFILE - INCARCERATED ABORIGINAL - BY REGION

Region	Fiscal Year	First Degree Murder	Second Degree Murder	Sched. I	Sched. II	Non Sched.	Total
Atlantic	2000-2001	0	1	4	0	0	5
	2001-2002	0	2	3	0	0	5
	2002-2003	0	1	3	0	1	5
Quebec	2000-2001	0	1	1	2	0	4
	2001-2002	0	0	4	1	1	6
	2002-2003	0	1	4	1	0	6
Ontario	2000-2001	0	1	6	1	0	8
	2001-2002	0	0	4	2	0	6
	2002-2003	0	2	9	0	2	13
Prairies	2000-2001	1	5	39	17	4	66
	2001-2002	1	7	51	12	2	73
	2002-2003	1	5	50	8	3	67
Pacific	2000-2001	0	2	3	0	0	5
	2001-2002	0	3	5	0	0	8
	2002-2003	0	3	9	0	1	13
Total	2000-2001	1	10	53	20	4	88
	2001-2002	1	12	67	15	3	98
	2002-2003	1	12	75	9	7	104

Source: CRS, April 2003

OFFENCE PROFILE - NON-ABORIGINAL - BY REGION

Region	Fiscal Year	First Degree Murder	Second Degree Murder	Sched. I	Sched. II	Non Sched.	Total
Atlantic	2000-2001	0	7	21	7	11	46
	2001-2002	0	7	19	3	8	37
	2002-2003	1	8	23	4	8	44
Quebec	2000-2001	7	8	32	14	7	68
	2001-2002	7	7	31	10	11	66
	2002-2003	7	6	32	12	8	65
Ontario	2000-2001	3	14	31	26	7	81
	2001-2002	3	12	32	22	9	78
	2002-2003	3	10	23	24	8	68
Prairies	2000-2001	3	7	25	21	10	66
	2001-2002	3	7	25	17	5	57
	2002-2003	3	6	22	14	9	54
Pacific	2000-2001	1	9	10	3	3	26
	2001-2002	1	8	11	0	2	22
	2002-2003	1	7	10	0	3	21
Total	2000-2001	14	45	119	71	38	287
	2001-2002	14	41	118	52	35	260
	2002-2003	15	37	110	54	36	252

Source: CRS, April 2003

INCARCERATED WOMEN OFFENDERS - SECURITY CLASSIFICATION PROFILE FEDERAL INSTITUTIONS

	Maximum	Medium	Minimum	Total
Nova	6	19	8	33
Joliette	0	39	22	61
GVI	0	19	44	63
IMH	0	0	9	9
EIFW	12	48	22	82
OOHL	0	12	10	22
BCCW	3	17	5	25
Sub Total	21	154	120	295
Springhill	8	0	0	8
RRC Quebec	4	2	0	6
Sask. Pen.	1	1	0	2
RPC Prairies	4	2	0	6
Sub Total	17	5	0	22
Grand Total	38	159	120	317

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 13, 2003

- On April 13, 2003 the majority of the woman offender population were classified at a medium-security level (159) followed by minimum security (120);
- 12.0% (38) women were classified as maximum-security;
- Blank security levels are excluded;
- Nova Secure Unit opened in January 2003;
- Edmonton Institution for Women Secure Unit opened in March 2003;
- Joliette Institution Secure Unit Opened in June 2003.
- Okimaw Ohci Healing Lodge has no maximum security capacity.

INCARCERATED ABORIGINAL - SECURITY CLASSIFICATION PROFILE FEDERAL INSTITUTIONS

	Maximum	Medium	Minimum	Total
Nova	1	2	0	3
Joliette	0	4	0	4
GVI	0	6	6	12
IMH	0	0	0	0
EIFW	7	26	6	39
OOHL	0	11	7	18
BCCW	2	5	1	8
Sub Total	10	54	20	84
Springhill	1	0	0	1
RRC Quebec	1	1	0	2
Sask. Pen.	1	1	0	2
RPC Prairies	2	2	0	4
Sub Total	5	4	0	9
Grand Total	15	58	20	93

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 13, 2003

INCARCERATED NON-ABORIGINAL - SECURITY CLASSIFICATION PROFILE FEDERAL INSTITUTIONS

	Maximum	Medium	Minimum	Total
Nova	5	17	8	30
Joliette	0	35	22	57
GVI	0	13	38	51
IMH	0	0	9	9
EIFW	5	22	16	43
OOHL	0	1	3	4
BCCW	1	12	4	17
Sub Total	11	100	100	211
Springhill	7	0	0	7
RRC Quebec	3	1	0	4
Sask. Pen.	0	0	0	0
RPC Prairie	2	0	0	2
Sub Total	12	1	0	13
Grand Total	23	101	100	224

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 13, 2003

ADMISSIONS TO THE REGIONAL FACILITIES WARRANT OF COMMITTAL PER FISCAL YEAR

		1997-1998	1998-1999	1999-2000	2000-2001	2001-2002	2002-2003
Nova	WOC	17	20	25	14	9	16
	Repeat WOC	1	1	5	0	2	5
Joliette	WOC	11	32	18	33	31	23
	Repeat WOC	3	4	0	5	0	4
GVI	WOC	59	65	59	59	57	62
	Repeat WOC	6	2	5	4	3	5
EIFW	WOC	10	52	71	59	57	45
	Repeat WOC	1	5	0	4	4	8
OOHL	WOC	3	4	4	12	4	0
	Repeat WOC	0	0	0	2	0	0
BCCW	WOC	18	11	11	12	12	14
	Repeat WOC	1	1	2	0	2	4
Total	WOC	118	184	188	189	170	160
	Repeat WOC	12	13	12	15	11	26

Source: OMS, as of April 2003. Data from April 1, 1997 to March 31, 2003

- The number of *Initial* warrant of committal admissions decreased between 2000/2001 and 2002-2003;
- The number of *Repeat* warrant of committal admissions has increased since fiscal year 2001-2002;
Grand Valley Institution (67 Initial and Repeat) and Edmonton Institution for Women (53 Initial and Repeat) had the greatest number of WOC admissions in 2002/2003;

Initial Warrant of Committal means that the offender has been admitted for the first time to federal jurisdiction. *Repeat* Warrant of Committal means that the offender has been admitted for the second time or more to federal jurisdiction.

Data included in the table consists of admissions into federal jurisdiction either as an initial warrant of committal (WOC) or a repeat warrant of committal (Repeat WOC).

ADMISSIONS TO THE REGIONAL FACILITIES WARRANT OF COMMITMENT PER FISCAL YEAR
ABORIGINAL

		1997-1998	1998-1999	1999-2000	2000-2001	2001-2002	2002-2003
Nova	WOC	2	0	0	2	1	1
	Repeat WOC	0	0	0	0	0	0
Joliette	WOC	0	0	1	1	1	1
	Repeat WOC	0	0	0	0	0	0
GVI	WOC	3	1	8	3	5	6
	Repeat WOC	1	0	0	1	0	0
EIFW	WOC	4	26	31	17	28	18
	Repeat WOC	0	5	0	2	1	5
OOHL	WOC	3	3	4	10	3	0
	Repeat WOC	0	0	0	2	0	0
BCCW	WOC	4	1	1	2	3	4
	Repeat WOC	1	0	0	0	0	2
Total	WOC	16	31	45	35	41	30
	Repeat WOC	2	5	0	5	1	7

Source: OMS, as of April 2003. Data from April 1, 1997 to March 31, 2003

ADMISSIONS TO THE REGIONAL FACILITIES WARRANT OF COMMITMENT PER FISCAL YEAR
NON-ABORIGINAL

		1997-1998	1998-1999	1999-2000	2000-2001	2001-2002	2002-2003
Nova	WOC	15	20	25	12	8	15
	Repeat WOC	1	1	5	0	2	5
Joliette	WOC	11	32	17	32	30	22
	Repeat WOC	3	4	0	5	0	4
GVI	WOC	56	64	51	57	52	56
	Repeat WOC	5	2	5	3	3	5
EIFW	WOC	6	26	40	42	29	27
	Repeat WOC	1	0	0	2	3	3
OOHL	WOC	0	1	0	2	1	0
	Repeat WOC	0	0	0	0	0	0
BCCW	WOC	14	10	10	10	9	10
	Repeat WOC	0	1	2	0	2	2
Total	WOC	102	153	143	155	129	130
	Repeat WOC	10	8	12	10	10	19

Source: OMS, as of April 2003. Data from April 1, 1997 to March 31, 2003

Data included in the table consists of admissions into federal jurisdiction either as an initial warrant of committal (WOC) or a repeat warrant of committal (Repeat WOC).

OFFENCE PROFILE - ALL ADMISSION TYPES BY INSTITUTION

	Fiscal Year	First Degree Murder	Second Degree Murder	Sched. I	Sched. II	Non Sched.	Total
Nova	2000-2001	0	1	13	5	13	32
	2001-2002	0	0	13	5	10	28
	2002-2003	0	1	23	6	10	40
Joliette	2000-2001	1	0	18	21	14	54
	2001-2002	0	0	20	20	9	49
	2002-2003	0	0	15	18	11	44
GVI (incl. IMN)	2000-2001	0	0	32	55	10	97
	2001-2002	0	0	25	44	12	81
	2002-2003	0	0	36	55	7	98
OOHL	2000-2001	0	0	12	2	3	17
	2001-2002	0	1	9	0	0	10
	2002-2003	0	0	7	0	0	7
BCCW	2000-2001	0	1	18	5	7	31
	2001-2002	0	2	22	2	5	31
	2002-2003	0	0	20	3	10	33
EIFW	2000-2001	1	1	19	57	14	92
	2001-2002	0	1	28	54	17	100
	2002-2003	0	0	39	36	13	88
Sub Total	2000-2001	2	3	112	145	61	323
	2001-2002	0	4	117	125	53	299
	2002-2003	0	1	140	118	51	310
Maximum Security Units							
Springhill	2000-2001	0	0	8	3	7	18
	2001-2002	0	0	4	0	1	5
	2002-2003	1	0	4	0	4	9
RRC Quebec	2000-2001	0	0	4	0	0	4
	2001-2002	0	1	3	0	0	4
	2002-2003	0	2	0	0	1	3
Sask. Pen	2000-2001	0	1	7	1	2	11
	2001-2002	0	0	12	1	1	14
	2002-2003	0	1	6	1	1	9
RPC Prairies	2000-2001	0	0	0	1	0	1
	2001-2002	0	1	0	0	0	1
	2002-2003	0	0	1	0	0	1
Others	2000-2001	0	2	20	7	8	37
	2001-2002	0	2	9	5	4	20
	2002-2003	0	1	5	5	2	13
Sub Total	2000-2001	0	3	39	12	17	71
	2001-2002	0	4	28	6	6	44
	2002-2003	1	4	16	6	8	35
Totals	2000-2001	2	6	151	157	78	394
	2001-2002	0	8	145	131	59	343
	2002-2003	1	5	156	124	59	345

Source: OMS, as of April 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003

- Of the 394 admissions during the 2000/2001 fiscal year, 159 were for a violent offence (1st degree murder, 2nd degree murder and Schedule 1), accounting for 40.4% of all admissions.
- Of the 343 admissions during the 2001/2002 fiscal year, 153 were for a violent offence, accounting for 44.6% of all admissions.
- Of the 345 admissions during the 2002/2003 fiscal year, 162 were for a violent, accounting for 47.0% of all admissions, an increase of 2.4% from the previous fiscal years.

OFFENCE PROFILE - ALL ADMISSION TYPES - ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	First Degree Murder	Second Degree Murder	Sched. I	Sched. II	Non Sched.	Total
Nova	2000-2001	0	0	2	0	0	2
	2001-2002	0	0	2	0	0	2
	2002-2003	0	1	2	0	0	3
Joliette	2000-2001	0	0	0	1	0	1
	2001-2002	0	0	1	1	0	2
	2002-2003	0	0	1	2	0	3
GVI (incl. IMN)	2000-2001	0	0	5	3	0	8
	2001-2002	0	0	3	2	2	7
	2002-2003	0	0	7	0	0	7
OOHL	2000-2001	0	0	11	2	2	15
	2001-2002	0	1	7	0	0	8
	2002-2003	0	0	7	0	0	7
BCCW	2000-2001	0	0	9	0	0	9
	2001-2002	0	0	9	0	0	9
	2002-2003	0	0	9	1	1	11
EIFW	2000-2001	0	0	8	22	2	32
	2001-2002	0	1	21	25	4	51
	2002-2003	0	0	25	15	2	42
Sub Total	2000-2001	0	0	35	28	4	67
	2001-2002	0	2	43	28	6	79
	2002-2003	0	1	51	18	3	73
Maximum Security Units							
Springhill	2000-2001	0	0	0	0	1	1
	2001-2002	0	0	1	0	0	1
	2002-2003	0	0	1	0	1	2
RRC Quebec	2000-2001	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sask. Pen	2000-2001	0	0	5	1	2	8
	2001-2002	0	0	8	0	0	8
	2002-2003	0	0	3	0	1	4
RPC Prairies	2000-2001	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	1	0	0	1
Others	2000-2001	0	1	11	4	1	17
	2001-2002	0	0	6	3	0	9
	2002-2003	0	1	3	1	2	7
Sub Total	2000-2001	0	1	16	5	4	26
	2001-2002	0	0	15	3	0	18
	2002-2003	0	1	8	1	4	14
Totals	2000-2001	0	1	51	33	8	93
	2001-2002	0	2	58	31	6	97
	2002-2003	0	2	59	19	7	87

Source: OMS, as of April 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003

OFFENCE PROFILE - ALL ADMISSION TYPES - NON-ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	First Degree Murder	Second Degree Murder	Sched. I	Sched. II	Non Sched.	Total
Nova	2000-2001	0	1	11	5	13	30
	2001-2002	0	0	11	5	10	26
	2002-2003	0	0	21	6	10	37
Joliette	2000-2001	1	0	18	20	14	53
	2001-2002	0	0	19	19	9	47
	2002-2003	0	0	14	16	11	41
GVI (incl. IMN)	2000-2001	0	0	27	52	10	89
	2001-2002	0	0	22	42	10	74
	2002-2003	0	0	29	55	7	91
OOHL	2000-2001	0	0	1	0	1	2
	2001-2002	0	0	2	0	0	2
	2002-2003	0	0	0	0	0	0
BCCW	2000-2001	0	1	9	5	7	22
	2001-2002	0	2	13	2	5	22
	2002-2003	0	0	11	2	9	22
EIFW	2000-2001	1	1	11	35	12	60
	2001-2002	0	0	7	29	13	49
	2002-2003	0	0	14	21	11	46
Sub Total	2000-2001	2	3	77	117	57	256
	2001-2002	0	2	74	97	47	220
	2002-2003	0	0	89	100	48	237
Maximum Security Units							
Springhill	2000-2001	0	0	8	3	6	17
	2001-2002	0	0	3	0	1	4
	2002-2003	1	0	3	0	3	7
RRC Quebec	2000-2001	0	0	4	0	0	4
	2001-2002	0	1	3	0	0	4
	2002-2003	0	2	0	0	1	3
Sask. Pen	2000-2001	0	1	2	0	0	3
	2001-2002	0	0	4	1	1	6
	2002-2003	0	1	3	1	0	5
RPC Prairies	2000-2001	0	0	0	1	0	1
	2001-2002	0	1	0	0	0	1
	2002-2003	0	0	0	0	0	0
Others	2000-2001	0	1	9	3	7	20
	2001-2002	0	2	3	2	4	11
	2002-2003	0	0	2	4	0	6
Sub Total	2000-2001	0	2	23	7	13	45
	2001-2002	0	4	13	3	6	26
	2002-2003	1	3	8	5	4	21
Totals	2000-2001	2	5	100	124	70	301
	2001-2002	0	6	87	100	53	246
	2002-2003	1	3	97	105	52	258

Source: OMS, as of April 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003

Others includes: Burnaby Youth Custody Centre, Calgary Remand Centre, Edmonton Remand Centre, Elgin-Middlesex DC, Fort Sask. Correctional Centre, Halifax Correctional Centre, Hamilton Parole Office, Lethbridge Correctional Centre, Metro Toronto West Detention Centre, NFLD/Labrador Correctional Centre for Women, Ottawa-Carleton Detention Centre, Pinegrove Correctional Centre, Portage Correctional Centre for Women, Prince George Youth Cust. Centre, Quinte Detention Centre, Regina Correctional Centre, Windsor Jail, Winnipeg Remand Centre, Women's Supervision Unit, Winnipeg Area Parole Office.

ADMISSIONS FOR REVOCATION AT THE REGIONAL FACILITIES PER FISCAL YEAR

		1997-1998	1998-1999	1999-2000	2000-2001	2001-2002	2002-2003
Nova	With offence	2	3	3	3	5	1
	Without Offence	6	7	11	15	12	15
Joliette	With offence	1	4	6	4	2	1
	Without Offence	9	19	11	7	9	11
GVI	With offence	2	1	0	1	4	6
	Without Offence	13	9	10	16	12	21
EIFW	With offence	5	3	8	5	8	4
	Without Offence	5	6	6	24	31	31
OOHL	With offence	0	1	0	1	2	3
	Without Offence	0	1	0	2	4	4
BCCW	With offence	2	5	3	6	2	2
	Without Offence	6	4	9	12	14	12
Total	With offence	12	17	20	20	23	16
	Without Offence	39	46	47	76	82	95

Source: OMS, as of April 2003. Data from April 1, 1997 to March 31, 2003

- In fiscal year 2002-2003 there were 2 additional revocations with offence not included in the chart, 1 at Springhill Institution and 1 at Saskatchewan Penitentiary for a total of 18 revocations with offence, however, the majority of revocations are without offence;
- The number of admissions for revocation with offence remained relatively consistent in fiscal year 1999-2000 to 2001-2002 (20-23); It decreased in fiscal year 2002-2003 (16);
- Revocations without offence for the last three fiscal years are consistently higher than they were previously.

ADMISSIONS FOR REVOCATION AT THE REGIONAL FACILITIES PER FISCAL YEAR
ABORIGINAL

		1997-1998	1998-1999	1999-2000	2000-2001	2001-2002	2002-2003
Nova	With offence	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Without Offence	1	1	1	0	1	1
Joliette	With offence	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Without Offence	0	2	0	0	1	2
GVI	With offence	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Without Offence	1	2	2	3	1	1
EIFW	With offence	2	1	6	1	3	1
	Without Offence	2	1	2	12	19	18
OOHL	With offence	0	1	0	1	2	3
	Without Offence	0	1	0	2	3	4
BCCW	With offence	1	2	1	2	1	0
	Without Offence	0	2	4	5	4	4
Total	With offence	3	4	7	4	6	4
	Without Offence	4	9	9	22	29	30

Source: OMS, as of April 2003. Data from April 1, 1997 to March 31, 2003

- As EIFW has the highest number of Aboriginal women, they also have the highest number of admissions for Aboriginal women for revocation.

ADMISSIONS FOR REVOCATION AT THE REGIONAL FACILITIES PER FISCAL YEAR
NON-ABORIGINAL

		1997-1998	1998-1999	1999-2000	2000-2001	2001-2002	2002-2003
Nova	With offence	2	3	3	3	5	0
	Without Offence	5	6	10	15	11	15
Joliette	With offence	1	4	6	4	2	1
	Without Offence	9	17	11	7	8	9
GVI	With offence	2	1	0	1	4	6
	Without Offence	12	7	8	13	11	20
EIFW	With offence	3	2	2	4	5	3
	Without Offence	3	5	4	12	12	13
OOHL	With offence	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Without Offence	0	0	0	0	1	0
BCCW	With offence	1	3	2	4	1	2
	Without Offence	6	2	5	7	10	8
Total	With offence	9	13	13	16	17	12
	Without Offence	35	37	38	54	53	65

Source: OMS, as of April 2003. Data from April 1, 1997 to March 31, 2003

ADMISSIONS FOR REVOCATIONS WITH OUTSTANDING CHARGES AT THE REGIONAL
FACILITIES PER FISCAL YEAR

- As of June 2000, a new temporary admission type has been added to the Offender Management System, "revocation with outstanding charges". When the charges are resolved, this admission type was modified to show revocation with offence or revocation without offence;
- As there are too few admissions with outstanding charges at the regional facilities, the data is not included in a table and has not been included in the total number of revocation admissions;
- In 2002-2003 there were six admissions for revocations with outstanding charges: Nova Institution - 3, Grand Valley Institution -1, Metro West Detention Centre - 1 and Saskatchewan Penitentiary - 1.

REVOCATIONS WITH OFFENCE BY TYPE OF RELEASE

	Fiscal Year	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release
Nova	2000-2001	2	1	0
	2001-2002	0	4	1
	2002-2003	0	0	0
Joliette	2000-2001	1	1	2
	2001-2002	1	1	0
	2002-2003	0	1	0
GVI	2000-2001	1	0	0
	2001-2002	2	1	1
	2002-2003	0	3	3
OOHL	2000-2001	1	0	0
	2001-2002	1	1	0
	2002-2003	1	0	2
EIFW	2000-2001	3	2	0
	2001-2002	3	3	2
	2002-2003	0	3	1
BCCW	2000-2001	1	2	3
	2001-2002	1	0	1
	2002-2003	1	1	0
Total	2000-2001	9	6	5
	2001-2002	8	10	5
	2002-2003	2	8	6

Source: OMS, as of April 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003

- When compared to previous fiscal years the number of revocations with offence has decreased slightly for both Day Parole (8 to 2) and Full Parole (10 to 8).
- In fiscal year 2002-2003 there were 2 additional revocations with offence Springhill Institution - 1 and Saskatchewan Penitentiary - 1 (not included in the tables), for a total of 18 revocations with offences.

REVOCATION WITH OFFENCE BY TYPE OF RELEASE -ABORIGINALS

	Fiscal Year	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release
Nova	2000-2001	0	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0
Joliette	2000-2001	0	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0
GVI	2000-2001	0	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0
OOHL	2000-2001	1	0	0
	2001-2002	1	1	0
	2002-2003	1	0	2
EIFW	2000-2001	1	0	0
	2001-2002	1	0	2
	2002-2003	0	0	1
BCCW	2000-2001	0	0	2
	2001-2002	0	0	1
	2002-2003	0	0	0
Total	2000-2001	2	0	2
	2001-2002	2	1	3
	2002-2003	1	0	3

Source: OMS, as of April 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003

	Fiscal Year	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release
Nova	2000-2001	2	1	0
	2001-2002	0	4	1
	2002-2003	0	0	0
Joliette	2000-2001	1	1	2
	2001-2002	1	1	0
	2002-2003	0	1	0
GVI	2000-2001	1	0	0
	2001-2002	2	1	1
	2002-2003	0	3	3
OOHL	2000-2001	0	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0
EIFW	2000-2001	2	2	0
	2001-2002	2	3	0
	2002-2003	0	3	0
BCCW	2000-2001	1	2	1
	2001-2002	1	0	0
	2002-2003	1	1	0
Total	2000-2001	7	6	3
	2001-2002	6	9	2
	2002-2003	1	8	3

Source: OMS, as of April 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003

REVOCATIONS WITHOUT OFFENCE BY TYPE OF RELEASE

	Fiscal Year	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release
Nova	2000-2001	9	4	2
	2001-2002	3	2	7
	2002-2003	9	2	5
Joliette	2000-2001	3	0	4
	2001-2002	1	4	4
	2002-2003	4	3	4
GVI	2000-2001	4	5	7
	2001-2002	4	2	6
	2002-2003	4	6	11
OOHL	2000-2001	0	2	0
	2001-2002	4	0	0
	2002-2003	2	0	2
EIFW	2000-2001	11	5	8
	2001-2002	10	12	9
	2002-2003	10	6	15
BCCW	2000-2001	4	3	5
	2001-2002	5	5	4
	2002-2003	5	2	5
Total	2000-2001	31	19	26
	2001-2002	27	25	30
	2002-2003	34	19	42

Source: OMS, as of April 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003

- Women offenders are more likely to be revoked without a new offence while on Statutory Release.

REVOCATION WITHOUT OFFENCE BY TYPE OF RELEASE ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release
Nova	2000-2001	0	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	1
	2002-2003	1	0	0
Joliette	2000-2001	0	0	0
	2001-2002	0	1	0
	2002-2003	0	0	2
GVI	2000-2001	0	1	2
	2001-2002	1	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	1
OOHL	2000-2001	0	2	0
	2001-2002	3	0	0
	2002-2003	2	0	2
EIFW	2000-2001	7	1	4
	2001-2002	5	6	8
	2002-2003	5	3	10
BCCW	2000-2001	1	2	2
	2001-2002	1	1	2
	2002-2003	1	1	2
Total	2000-2001	8	6	8
	2001-2002	10	8	11
	2002-2003	9	4	17

Source: OMS, as of April 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003

	Fiscal Year	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release
Nova	2000-2001	9	4	2
	2001-2002	3	2	6
	2002-2003	8	2	5
Joliette	2000-2001	3	0	4
	2001-2002	1	3	4
	2002-2003	4	3	2
GVI	2000-2001	4	4	5
	2001-2002	3	2	6
	2002-2003	4	6	10
OOHL	2000-2001	0	0	0
	2001-2002	1	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0
EIFW	2000-2001	4	4	4
	2001-2002	5	6	1
	2002-2003	5	3	5
BCCW	2000-2001	3	1	3
	2001-2002	4	4	2
	2002-2003	4	1	3
Total	2000-2001	23	13	18
	2001-2002	17	17	19
	2002-2003	25	15	25

Source: OMS, as of April 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003

CORRECTIONAL PLANS

Fiscal Year	Completed Correctional Plan	Completed On Time		Complete Late		Not Completed	
		Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
2000-2001	205	140	68.3%	60	29.3%	5	2.4%
2001-2002	205	102	49.8%	101	49.3%	2	1.0%
2002-2003	175	136	77.7%	38	21.7%	1	0.6%

Source: OMS, as of April 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003

ABORIGINAL

Fiscal Year	Completed Correctional Plan	Completed On Time		Complete Late		Not Completed	
		Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
2000-2001	48	36	75.0%	12	25.0%	0	0.0%
2001-2002	46	19	41.3%	27	58.7%	0	0.0%
2002-2003	36	25	69.4%	11	30.6%	0	0.0%

Source: OMS, as of April 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003

NON-ABORIGINAL

Fiscal Year	Completed Correctional Plan	Completed On Time		Complete Late		Not Completed	
		Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
2000-2001	157	104	66.2%	48	30.6%	5	3.2%
2001-2002	159	83	52.2%	74	46.5%	2	1.3%
2002-2003	139	111	79.9%	27	19.4%	1	0.6%

Source: OMS, as of April 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003

- The time requirements for the completion of the Correctional Plan vary dependant upon the length of sentence. There is a 70-day requirement for sentences of 4 years or less and a 90-day requirement for sentences greater than 4 years (including indeterminate sentences). In both cases, the time frame is measured from the offender's admission date to the date the Correctional Plan is locked. The tables above show the results based upon this standard.

COURT FINDINGS FOR MINOR CHARGES PER INSTITUTION

	Fiscal Year	Guilty	Not Guilty	Withdrawn	Not Stated	Total
Nova	2001-2002	111	13	42	2	168
	2002-2003	125	3	42	1	171
Joliette	2001-2002	286	6	64	13	369
	2002-2003	114	5	40	18	177
GVI (incl. IMH)	2001-2002	166	22	80	6	274
	2002-2003	123	2	74	1	200
OOHL	2001-2002	16	0	4	3	23
	2002-2003	23	0	0	0	23
EIFW	2001-2002	364	24	19	0	407
	2002-2003	350	15	31	33	429
Sub Total	2001-2002	943	65	209	24	1241
	2002-2003	735	25	187	53	1000
Maximum Security Units						
Springhill	2001-2002	28	1	140	0	169
	2002-2003	56	0	164	4	224
RRC Quebec	2001-2002	13	0	7	0	20
	2002-2003	2	0	1	0	3
Sask. Pen	2001-2002	70	12	4	0	86
	2002-2003	80	0	34	0	114
RPC Prairies	2001-2002	44	2	10	0	56
	2002-2003	22	6	18	8	54
Sub-Total	2001-2002	155	15	161	0	331
	2002-2003	160	6	217	12	395
Total	2001-2002	1098	80	370	24	1572
	2002-2003	895	31	404	65	1395

Source: OMS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2001 to March 31, 2003

- Of the 1572 minor charges laid during 2001/2002, 1098 (69.8%) resulted in a guilty verdict. The majority of all minor charges laid occurred at Edmonton Institution for Women (407);
- Of the 1395 minor charges laid during 2002/2003, 895 (64.2%) resulted in a guilty verdict. The majority of all minor charges occurred at Edmonton Institution for Women (429);
- During both these fiscal years, GVI and EIFW had the highest population of all the women's institutions.

Minor Offence: is where an inmate exhibits negative or non-productive behaviour towards institutional rules governing the conduct of inmates. Minor offences are dealt within institutional "minor court" as per Commissioner's Directive 580 Discipline of Inmates.

COURT FINDINGS FOR MINOR CHARGES PER INSTITUTION- ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Guilty	Not Guilty	Withdrawn	Not Stated	Total
Nova	2001-2002	1	0	7	0	8
	2002-2003	8	0	6	0	14
Joliette	2001-2002	24	0	3	0	27
	2002-2003	7	0	1	0	8
GVI (incl. IMH)	2001-2002	26	5	18	1	50
	2002-2003	19	0	14	0	33
OOHL	2001-2002	15	0	4	2	21
	2002-2003	20	0	0	0	20
EIFW	2001-2002	141	12	11	0	164
	2002-2003	176	8	24	17	225
Sub Total	2001-2002	207	17	43	3	270
	2002-2003	230	8	45	17	300
Maximum Security Units						
Springhill	2001-2002	0	0	18	0	18
	2002-2003	10	0	19	1	30
RRC Quebec	2001-2002	1	0	0	0	1
	2002-2003	2	0	0	0	2
Sask. Pen	2001-2002	45	11	4	0	60
	2002-2003	63	0	26	0	89
RPC Prairies	2001-2002	31	1	6	0	38
	2002-2003	19	2	14	4	39
Sub-Total	2001-2002	77	12	28	0	117
	2002-2003	94	2	59	5	160
Total	2001-2002	284	29	71	3	387
	2002-2003	324	10	104	22	460
%	2001-2002	26%	36%	19%	13%	25%
	2002-2003	36%	32%	26%	34%	33%

Source: OMS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2001 to March 31, 2003

COURT FINDINGS FOR MINOR CHARGES PER INSTITUTION NON-ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Guilty	Not Guilty	Withdrawn	Not Stated	Total
Nova	2001-2002	110	13	35	2	160
	2002-2003	117	3	36	1	157
Joliette	2001-2002	262	6	61	13	342
	2002-2003	107	5	39	18	169
GVI (incl. IMH)	2001-2002	140	17	62	5	224
	2002-2003	104	2	60	1	167
OOHL	2001-2002	1	0	0	1	2
	2002-2003	3	0	0	0	3
EIFW	2001-2002	223	12	8	0	243
	2002-2003	174	7	7	16	204
Sub Total	2001-2002	736	48	166	21	971
	2002-2003	505	17	142	36	700
Maximum Security Units						
Springhill	2001-2002	28	1	122	0	151
	2002-2003	46	0	145	3	194
RRC Quebec	2001-2002	12	0	7	0	19
	2002-2003	0	0	1	0	1
Sask. Pen	2001-2002	25	1	0	0	26
	2002-2003	17	0	8	0	25
RPC Prairies	2001-2002	13	1	4	0	18
	2002-2003	3	4	4	4	15
Sub-Total	2001-2002	78	3	133	0	214
	2002-2003	66	4	158	7	235
Total	2001-2002	814	51	299	21	1185
	2002-2003	571	21	300	43	935
%	2001-2002	74%	64%	81%	88%	75%
	2002-2003	64%	68%	74%	66%	67%

Source: OMS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2001 to March 31, 2003

COURT FINDINGS FOR SERIOUS CHARGES PER INSTITUTION

	Fiscal Year	Guilty	Not Guilty	Withdrawn	Not Stated	Total
Nova	2001-2002	36	10	10	0	56
	2002-2003	51	11	16	3	81
Joliette	2001-2002	83	10	47	8	148
	2002-2003	27	4	11	3	45
GVI (incl. IMH)	2001-2002	86	3	78	5	172
	2002-2003	43	1	92	6	142
OOHL	2001-2002	9	0	0	1	10
	2002-2003	4	0	1	0	5
EIFW	2001-2002	67	13	47	3	130
	2002-2003	66	11	14	36	127
Sub Total	2001-2002	281	36	182	17	516
	2002-2003	191	27	134	48	400
Maximum Security Units						
Springhill	2001-2002	85	10	42	0	137
	2002-2003	108	3	88	12	211
RRC Quebec	2001-2002	10	6	0	0	16
	2002-2003	2	0	3	0	5
Sask. Pen	2001-2002	11	3	5	0	19
	2002-2003	37	0	9	2	48
RPC Prairies	2001-2002	0	0	3	0	3
	2002-2003	7	0	3	2	12
Sub-Total	2001-2002	106	19	50	0	175
	2002-2003	154	3	103	16	276
Total	2001-2002	387	55	232	17	691
	2002-2003	345	30	237	64	676

Source: OMS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2001 to March 31, 2003.

- Of the 691 serious charges during 2001/2002, 387 (56.0%) resulted in a guilty verdict. The majority of all serious charges occurred at Grand Valley Institution (172);
- Of the 676 serious charges during 2002/2003, 345 (51%) resulted in a guilty verdict. The majority of all serious charges occurred at Springhill (211).

Serious Offence: where an inmate commits a serious breach of security, exhibits violent behaviour, commits or attempts to commit an act that could generate such behaviour on the part of others, or could cause harmful consequences to staff members or inmates. Serious offences are dealt with in institutional "disciplinary court" as per Commissioner's Directive 580 *Discipline of Inmates*.

COURT FINDINGS FOR SERIOUS CHARGES PER INSTITUTION ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Guilty	Not Guilty	Withdrawn	Not Stated	Total
Nova	2001-2002	4	2	2	0	8
	2002-2003	3	2	0	0	5
Joliette	2001-2002	1	1	8	0	10
	2002-2003	4	0	1	0	5
GVI (incl. IMH)	2001-2002	26	0	17	1	44
	2002-2003	12	1	19	1	33
OOHL	2001-2002	8	0	0	1	9
	2002-2003	3	0	1	0	4
EIFW	2001-2002	31	6	23	2	62
	2002-2003	34	5	13	24	76
Sub Total	2001-2002	70	9	50	4	133
	2002-2003	56	8	34	25	123
Maximum Security Units						
Springhill	2001-2002	6	1	1	0	8
	2002-2003	31	1	13	3	48
RRC Quebec	2001-2002	0	1	0	0	1
	2002-2003	0	0	0	0	0
Sask. Pen	2001-2002	8	2	5	0	15
	2002-2003	31	0	7	2	40
RPC Prairies	2001-2002	0	0	3	0	3
	2002-2003	3	0	2	0	5
Sub-Total	2001-2002	14	4	9	0	27
	2002-2003	65	1	22	5	93
Total	2001-2002	84	13	59	4	160
	2002-2003	121	9	56	30	216
%	2001-2002	22%	24%	25%	24%	23%
	2002-2003	35%	30%	24%	47%	32%

Source: OMS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2001 to March 31, 2003.

- For example, 22% guilty verdicts for Aboriginal women for 2001-2002. To reach 100%, add the 78% from Non-Aboriginal chart on next page.

COURT FINDINGS FOR SERIOUS CHARGES PER INSTITUTION NON-ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Guilty	Not Guilty	Withdrawn	Not Stated	Total
Nova	2001-2002	32	8	8	0	48
	2002-2003	48	9	16	3	76
Joliette	2001-2002	82	9	39	8	138
	2002-2003	23	4	10	3	40
GVI (incl. IMH)	2001-2002	60	3	61	4	128
	2002-2003	31	0	73	5	109
OOHL	2001-2002	1	0	0	0	1
	2002-2003	1	0	0	0	1
EIFW	2001-2002	36	7	24	1	68
	2002-2003	32	6	1	12	51
Sub Total	2001-2002	211	27	132	13	383
	2002-2003	135	19	100	23	277
Maximum Security Units						
Springhill	2001-2002	79	9	41	0	129
	2002-2003	77	2	75	9	163
RRC Quebec	2001-2002	10	5	0	0	15
	2002-2003	2	0	3	0	5
Sask. Pen	2001-2002	3	1	0	0	4
	2002-2003	6	0	2	0	8
RPC Prairies	2001-2002	0	0	0	0	0
	2002-2003	4	0	1	2	7
Sub-Total	2001-2002	92	15	41	0	148
	2002-2003	89	2	81	11	183
Total	2001-2002	303	42	173	13	531
	2002-2003	224	21	181	34	460
%	2001-2002	78%	76%	75%	76%	77%
	2002-2003	65%	70%	76%	53%	68%

Source: OMS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2001 to March 31, 2003.

ADMISSIONS INTO ADMINISTRATIVE SEGREGATION

	Fiscal Year	Involuntary	Voluntary	Disciplinary	Total
Regional Facilities					
Nova	2000-2001	26	2	6	34
	2001-2002	23	1	1	25
	2002-2003	21	6	0	27
Joliette	2000-2001	31	8	2	41
	2001-2002	50	7	8	65
	2002-2003	31	9	0	40
GVI (incl. IMH)	2000-2001	53	6	1	60
	2001-2002	51	2	0	53
	2002-2003	36	1	2	39
OOHL	2000-2001	0	0	0	0
	2001-2002	8	0	0	8
	2002-2003	0	0	0	0
EIFW	2000-2001	23	0	3	26
	2001-2002	32	1	1	34
	2002-2003	59	1	4	64
Sub Total	2000-2001	133	16	12	161
	2001-2002	164	11	10	185
	2002-2003	147	17	6	170
Maximum Security Units					
Springhill	2000-2001	39	4	8	51
	2001-2002	30	2	9	41
	2002-2003	42	0	0	42
RRC Quebec	2000-2001	29	4	1	34
	2001-2002	25	0	0	25
	2002-2003	9	0	0	9
Sask. Pen	2000-2001	55	1	1	57
	2001-2002	63	1	0	64
	2002-2003	44	0	0	44
RPC Prairies	2000-2001	0	1	0	1
	2001-2002	3	0	0	3
	2002-2003	0	0	0	0
Sub Total	2000-2001	123	10	10	143
	2001-2002	121	3	9	133
	2002-2003	95	0	0	95
Totals	2000-2001	256	26	22	304
	2001-2002	285	14	19	318
	2002-2003	242	17	6	265

Source: OMS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003.

- Of all admissions to segregation in 2000-2001, the majority were involuntary admissions (256);
- In 2000-2001, the majority of involuntary admissions to administrative segregation occurred at Saskatchewan Penitentiary (55) and Grand Valley Institution (53);
- Aboriginal women offenders account for 25.0% of all admissions to segregation and 27.7% of all involuntary admissions to segregation in 2000-2001.
- The majority of all admissions to segregation during 2001-2002 were involuntary (285);
- The majority of involuntary admissions to administrative segregation during 2001-2002 were at Saskatchewan Penitentiary (63), Joliette (50) and Grand Valley Institution (51).
- In 2002-2003, there were 242 involuntary admissions to administrative segregation in 2002-2003, 59 (24%) occurred at Edmonton Institution for Women;
- In fiscal year 2002-2003, Aboriginal women offenders accounted for 35.5% of all involuntary admissions to administrative segregation.

ADMISSIONS INTO ADMINISTRATIVE SEGREGATION - ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Involuntary	Voluntary	Disciplinary	Total
Regional Facilities					
Nova	2000-2001	2	0	0	2
	2001-2002	5	1	0	6
	2002-2003	2	1	0	3
Joliette	2000-2001	2	0	0	2
	2001-2002	5	0	1	6
	2002-2003	2	0	0	2
GVI (incl. IMH)	2000-2001	9	0	1	9
	2001-2002	14	0	0	14
	2002-2003	8	0	1	9
OOHL	2000-2001	0	0	0	0
	2001-2002	6	0	0	6
	2002-2003	0	0	0	0
EIFW	2000-2001	11	0	1	12
	2001-2002	16	0	1	17
	2002-2003	35	1	2	38
Sub Total	2000-2001	24	0	1	25
	2001-2002	46	1	2	49
	2002-2003	47	2	3	52
Maximum Security Units					
Springhill	2000-2001	4	0	1	5
	2001-2002	10	0	1	11
	2002-2003	12	0	0	12
RRC Quebec	2000-2001	1	0	0	1
	2001-2002	4	0	0	4
	2002-2003	0	0	0	0
Sask. Pen	2000-2001	42	1	1	44
	2001-2002	43	1	0	44
	2002-2003	27	0	0	27
RPC Prairies	2000-2001	0	0	0	0
	2001-2002	3	0	0	3
	2002-2003	0	0	0	0
Sub Total	2000-2001	47	1	2	50
	2001-2002	60	1	1	62
	2002-2003	39	0	0	39
Totals	2000-2001	71	1	4	76
	2001-2002	106	2	3	111
	2002-2003	86	2	3	91

Source: OMS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003.

ADMISSIONS INTO ADMINISTRATIVE SEGREGATION - NON-ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Involuntary	Voluntary	Disciplinary	Total
Regional Facilities					
Nova	2000-2001	24	2	6	32
	2001-2002	18	0	1	19
	2002-2003	19	5	0	24
Joliette	2000-2001	29	8	2	39
	2001-2002	45	7	7	59
	2002-2003	29	9	0	38
GVI (incl. IMH)	2000-2001	44	6	0	50
	2001-2002	37	2	0	39
	2002-2003	28	1	1	30
OOHL	2000-2001	0	0	0	0
	2001-2002	2	0	0	2
	2002-2003	0	0	0	0
EIFW	2000-2001	12	0	2	14
	2001-2002	16	1	0	17
	2002-2003	24	0	2	26
Sub Total	2000-2001	109	16	10	135
	2001-2002	118	10	8	136
	2002-2003	100	15	3	118
Maximum Security Units					
Springhill	2000-2001	35	4	7	46
	2001-2002	20	2	8	23
	2002-2003	30	0	0	30
RRC Quebec	2000-2001	28	4	1	33
	2001-2002	21	0	0	21
	2002-2003	9	0	0	9
Sask. Pen	2000-2001	13	0	0	13
	2001-2002	20	0	0	20
	2002-2003	17	0	0	17
RPC Prairies	2000-2001	0	1	0	1
	2001-2002	0	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0	0
Sub Total	2000-2001	76	9	8	93
	2001-2002	61	2	8	64
	2002-2003	56	0	0	56
Totals	2000-2001	185	25	18	228
	2001-2002	179	12	16	207
	2002-2003	156	15	3	174

Source: OMS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003.

NUMBER OF DAYS SPENT IN SEGREGATION FOR ALL TYPES OF ADMISSIONS INTO SEGREGATION

	Fiscal Year	2 days of less	3-5 days	6-10 days	Over 10 days	Total
Regional Facilities						
Nova	2000-2001	6	7	8	7	28
	2001-2002	5	11	3	5	24
	2002-2003	5	10	9	3	27
Joliette	2000-2001	12	9	7	11	39
	2001-2002	8	18	21	10	57
	2002-2003	0	12	18	11	41
GVI (incl. IMH)	2000-2001	14	29	9	7	59
	2001-2002	8	24	14	6	52
	2002-2003	7	12	9	10	38
OOHL	2000-2001	0	0	0	0	0
	2001-2002	2	3	3	0	8
	2002-2003	0	0	0	0	0
EIFW	2000-2001	3	10	8	1	22
	2001-2002	14	5	5	9	33
	2002-2003	14	21	12	10	57
Sub Total	2000-2001	35	55	32	26	148
	2001-2002	37	61	46	30	174
	2002-2003	26	55	48	34	163
Maximum Security Units						
Springhill	2000-2001	1	10	12	21	44
	2001-2002	2	5	9	14	30
	2002-2003	1	7	7	30	45
RRC Quebec	2000-2001	5	11	10	6	32
	2001-2002	6	6	7	6	25
	2002-2003	1	2	2	5	10
Sask. Pen	2000-2001	3	6	12	28	49
	2001-2002	15	14	16	26	71
	2002-2003	11	7	16	13	47
RPC Prairies	2000-2001	0	0	0	1	1
	2001-2002	0	0	2	0	2
	2002-2003	0	0	0	1	1
Sub Total	2000-2001	9	27	34	56	126
	2001-2002	23	25	34	46	128
	2002-2003	13	16	25	49	103
Totals	2000-2001	44	82	66	82	274
	2001-2002	60	86	80	76	302
	2002-2003	39	71	73	83	266

Source: OMS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003.

- During 2000-2001 the majority of placement in administrative segregation for 10 days or less (192);
- At Saskatchewan Penitentiary, there were 28 admissions to segregation that lasted over 10 days in 2000-2001;
- In 2001-2002, the majority of admissions to administrative segregation were for a period of 10 days or less (226);
- 83 (31%) of the 266 admissions to administrative segregation during 2002/2003 lasted over 10 days.

NUMBER OF DAYS SPENT IN SEGREGATION FOR ALL TYPES OF ADMISSIONS INTO
SEGREGATION - ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	2 days of less	3-5 days	6-10 days	Over 10 days	Total
Regional Facilities						
Nova	2000-2001	2	0	0	0	2
	2001-2002	2	2	1	1	6
	2002-2003	1	1	1	0	3
Joliette	2000-2001	1	0	0	1	2
	2001-2002	1	2	2	0	5
	2002-2003	0	1	1	0	2
GVI (incl. IMH)	2000-2001	2	2	3	2	9
	2001-2002	2	6	6	0	14
	2002-2003	1	2	2	3	8
OOHL	2000-2001	0	0	0	0	0
	2001-2002	2	2	2	0	6
	2002-2003	0	0	0	0	0
EIFW	2000-2001	1	5	3	1	10
	2001-2002	7	3	1	6	17
	2002-2003	7	12	8	6	33
Sub Total	2000-2001	6	7	6	4	23
	2001-2002	14	15	12	7	48
	2002-2003	9	16	12	9	46
Maximum Security Units						
Springhill	2000-2001	0	0	2	2	4
	2001-2002	1	2	1	7	11
	2002-2003	0	4	0	8	12
RRC Quebec	2000-2001	0	0	0	1	1
	2001-2002	2	1	1	0	4
	2002-2003	0	0	0	0	0
Sask. Pen	2000-2001	3	2	10	23	38
	2001-2002	12	9	8	19	48
	2002-2003	6	6	8	10	30
RPC Prairies	2000-2001	0	0	0	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	2	0	2
	2002-2003	0	0	0	1	1
Sub Total	2000-2001	3	2	12	26	43
	2001-2002	15	12	12	26	65
	2002-2003	6	10	8	19	43
Totals	2000-2001	9	9	18	30	66
	2001-2002	29	27	24	33	113
	2002-2003	15	26	20	28	89

Source: OMS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003.

NUMBER OF DAYS SPENT IN SEGREGATION FOR ALL TYPES OF ADMISSIONS INTO
SEGREGATION - NON-ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	2 days of less	3-5 days	6-10 days	Over 10 days	Total
Regional Facilities						
Nova	2000-2001	4	7	8	7	26
	2001-2002	3	9	2	4	18
	2002-2003	4	9	8	3	24
Joliette	2000-2001	11	9	7	10	37
	2001-2002	7	16	19	10	52
	2002-2003	0	11	17	11	39
GVI (incl. IMH)	2000-2001	12	27	6	5	50
	2001-2002	6	18	8	6	38
	2002-2003	6	10	7	7	30
OOHL	2000-2001	0	0	0	0	0
	2001-2002	0	1	1	0	2
	2002-2003	0	0	0	0	0
EIFW	2000-2001	2	5	5	0	12
	2001-2002	7	2	4	3	16
	2002-2003	7	9	4	4	24
Sub Total	2000-2001	29	48	26	22	125
	2001-2002	23	46	34	23	126
	2002-2003	17	39	36	25	117
Maximum Security Units						
Springhill	2000-2001	1	10	10	19	40
	2001-2002	1	3	8	7	19
	2002-2003	1	3	7	22	33
RRC Quebec	2000-2001	5	11	10	5	31
	2001-2002	4	5	6	6	21
	2002-2003	1	2	2	5	10
Sask. Pen	2000-2001	0	4	2	5	11
	2001-2002	3	5	8	7	23
	2002-2003	5	1	8	3	17
RPC Prairies	2000-2001	0	0	0	1	1
	2001-2002	0	0	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0	0	0
Sub Total	2000-2001	6	25	22	30	83
	2001-2002	8	13	22	20	63
	2002-2003	7	6	17	30	60
Totals	2000-2001	35	73	48	52	208
	2001-2002	31	59	56	43	189
	2002-2003	24	45	53	55	177

Source: OMS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003.

SUCCESSFUL ESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES

	Fiscal Year	Program	Medical	Administrative
Regional Facilities				
Nova	2000-2001	131	314	0
	2001-2002	208	449	3
	2002-2003	99	279	3
Joliette	2000-2001	106	187	2
	2001-2002	86	245	0
	2002-2003	141	216	3
GVI (incl. IMH)	2000-2001	735	570	97
	2001-2002	532	651	95
	2002-2003	657	472	115
OOHL	2000-2001	293	412	1
	2001-2002	403	325	2
	2002-2003	191	194	3
EIFW	2000-2001	320	212	0
	2001-2002	353	284	3
	2002-2003	386	291	1
Sub Total	2000-2001	1585	1695	100
	2001-2002	1582	1954	103
	2002-2003	1474	1452	125
Maximum Security Units				
Springhill	2000-2001	6	21	1
	2001-2002	2	36	4
	2002-2003	1	32	0
RRC Quebec	2000-2001	3	8	0
	2001-2002	2	7	0
	2002-2003	0	14	0
Sask. Pen	2000-2001	117	21	0
	2001-2002	23	44	0
	2002-2003	2	36	0
RPC Prairies	2000-2001	11	8	0
	2001-2002	8	10	0
	2002-2003	2	10	0
Sub Total	2000-2001	137	58	1
	2001-2002	35	97	4
	2002-2003	5	92	0
Totals	2000-2001	1722	1753	101
	2001-2002	1617	2051	107
	2002-2003	1479	1544	125

Source: CRS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003.

- The number of program ETA has decreased since 2000-2001.

Successful Temporary Absences include those where an offender has not gone unlawfully at large nor have they been detained by the police. Data represents the total number of permits and not the number of offenders.

SUCCESSFUL ESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES - ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Program	Medical	Administrative
Regional Facilities				
Nova	2000-2001	14	28	0
	2001-2002	20	18	0
	2002-2003	22	29	0
Joliette	2000-2001	12	7	1
	2001-2002	0	6	0
	2002-2003	2	13	0
GVI (incl. IMH)	2000-2001	110	62	21
	2001-2002	28	62	0
	2002-2003	25	69	2
OOHL	2000-2001	259	349	1
	2001-2002	358	281	2
	2002-2003	137	146	2
EIFW	2000-2001	174	71	0
	2001-2002	164	147	3
	2002-2003	216	137	1
Sub Total	2000-2001	569	517	23
	2001-2002	570	514	5
	2002-2003	402	394	5
Maximum Security Units				
Springhill	2000-2001	0	1	0
	2001-2002	1	13	0
	2002-2003	0	10	0
RRC Quebec	2000-2001	0	0	0
	2001-2002	1	3	0
	2002-2003	0	2	0
Sask. Pen	2000-2001	116	17	0
	2001-2002	23	38	0
	2002-2003	1	31	0
RPC Prairies	2000-2001	7	4	0
	2001-2002	5	3	0
	2002-2003	2	7	0
Sub Total	2000-2001	123	22	0
	2001-2002	30	57	0
	2002-2003	3	50	0
Totals	2000-2001	692	539	23
	2001-2002	600	571	5
	2002-2003	405	444	5

Source: CRS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003.

SUCCESSFUL ESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES - NON-ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Program	Medical	Administrative
Regional Facilities				
Nova	2000-2001	117	286	0
	2001-2002	188	431	3
	2002-2003	77	250	3
Joliette	2000-2001	94	180	1
	2001-2002	86	239	0
	2002-2003	139	203	3
GVI (incl. IMH)	2000-2001	625	508	76
	2001-2002	504	589	95
	2002-2003	632	403	113
OOHL	2000-2001	34	63	0
	2001-2002	45	44	0
	2002-2003	54	48	1
EIFW	2000-2001	146	141	0
	2001-2002	189	137	0
	2002-2003	170	154	0
Sub Total	2000-2001	1016	1178	77
	2001-2002	1012	1440	98
	2002-2003	1072	1058	120
Maximum Security Units				
Springhill	2000-2001	6	20	1
	2001-2002	1	23	4
	2002-2003	1	22	0
RRC Quebec	2000-2001	3	8	0
	2001-2002	1	4	0
	2002-2003	0	12	0
Sask. Pen	2000-2001	1	4	0
	2001-2002	0	6	0
	2002-2003	1	5	0
RPC Prairies	2000-2001	4	4	0
	2001-2002	3	7	0
	2002-2003	0	3	0
Sub Total	2000-2001	14	36	1
	2001-2002	5	40	4
	2002-2003	2	42	0
Totals	2000-2001	1030	1214	78
	2001-2002	1017	1480	102
	2002-2003	1074	1100	120

Source: CRS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003.

SUCCESSFUL UNESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES

	Fiscal Year	Program	Medical	Administrative
Regional Facilities				
Nova	2000-2001	64	5	17
	2001-2002	48	0	5
	2002-2003	11	0	4
Joliette	2000-2001	62	16	21
	2001-2002	13	3	25
	2002-2003	15	7	10
GVI (incl. IMH)	2000-2001	99	21	76
	2001-2002	55	25	36
	2002-2003	29	8	32
OOHL	2000-2001	28	63	98
	2001-2002	29	23	66
	2002-2003	15	26	39
EIFW	2000-2001	82	98	0
	2001-2002	94	66	0
	2002-2003	75	39	0
Sub Total	2000-2001	335	8	21
	2001-2002	239	0	15
	2002-2003	145	3	8
Maximum Security Units				
Springhill	2000-2001	11	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0
RRC Quebec	2000-2001	0	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0
Sask. Pen	2000-2001	0	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0
RPC Prairies	2000-2001	0	0	98
	2001-2002	0	0	66
	2002-2003	0	0	39
Sub Total	2000-2001	11	98	0
	2001-2002	0	66	63
	2002-2003	0	39	33
Totals	2000-2001	346	8	25
	2001-2002	239	1	15
	2002-2003	145	10	10

Source: CRS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003.

- The number of program UTA has decreased since 2000/2001.
- There were 385 Unescorted Temporary Absences during fiscal year 2000/2001, six of which were unsuccessful, 2 at Nova, 1 at GVI and 3 at OOHL;
- During fiscal year 2001/2002 there were 258 Unescorted Temporary Absences, three of which were unsuccessful, 2 at Joliette Institution and 1 at GVI.

Successful Temporary Absences include those where an offender has not gone unlawfully at large nor have they been detained by the police. Data represents the total number of permits and not the number of offenders.

SUCCESSFUL UNESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES - ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Program	Medical	Administrative
Regional Facilities				
Nova	2000-2001	1	0	0
	2001-2002	15	0	0
	2002-2003	1	0	2
Joliette	2000-2001	4	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0
GVI (incl. IMH)	2000-2001	9	0	4
	2001-2002	3	0	0
	2002-2003	4	0	0
OOHL	2000-2001	21	0	0
	2001-2002	25	1	0
	2002-2003	8	0	0
EIFW	2000-2001	63	0	0
	2001-2002	23	0	0
	2002-2003	26	7	0
Sub Total	2000-2001	98	0	4
	2001-2002	66	1	0
	2002-2003	39	7	2
Maximum Security Units				
Springhill	2000-2001	0	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0
RRC Quebec	2000-2001	0	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0
Sask. Pen	2000-2001	0	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0
RPC Prairies	2000-2001	0	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0
Sub Total	2000-2001	0	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0
Totals	2000-2001	98	0	4
	2001-2002	66	1	0
	2002-2003	39	7	2

Source: CRS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003.

SUCCESSFUL UNESCORTED TEMPORARY ABSENCES - NON-ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Program	Medical	Administrative
Regional Facilities				
Nova	2000-2001	63	1	8
	2001-2002	33	0	2
	2002-2003	10	0	0
Joliette	2000-2001	58	7	0
	2001-2002	13	0	0
	2002-2003	15	3	2
GVI (incl. IMH)	2000-2001	90	0	13
	2001-2002	52	0	13
	2002-2003	25	0	6
OOHL	2000-2001	7	0	0
	2001-2002	4	0	0
	2002-2003	7	0	0
EIFW	2000-2001	19	0	0
	2001-2002	71	0	0
	2002-2003	49	0	0
Sub Total	2000-2001	237	8	21
	2001-2002	173	0	15
	2002-2003	106	3	8
Maximum Security Units				
Springhill	2000-2001	11	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0
RRC Quebec	2000-2001	0	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0
Sask. Pen	2000-2001	0	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0
RPC Prairies	2000-2001	0	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0
Sub Total	2000-2001	11	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0
Totals	2000-2001	248	8	21
	2001-2002	173	0	15
	2002-2003	106	3	8

Source: CRS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003.

SUCCESSFUL WORK RELEASES

I	Fiscal Year	Work Release Permits
Regional Facilities		
Nova	2000-2001	0
	2001-2002	0
	2002-2003	0
Joliette	2000-2001	11
	2001-2002	12
	2002-2003	9
GVI (incl. IMH)	2000-2001	0
	2001-2002	29
	2002-2003	34
OOHL	2000-2001	27
	2001-2002	9
	2002-2003	3
EIFW	2000-2001	4
	2001-2002	23
	2002-2003	7
Total	2000-2001	42
	2001-2002	73
	2002-2003	53

Source: CRS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003.

- Of the 53 Work Release permits issued during 2002/2003, all were successful.

Successful Work Releases include those where an offender has not gone unlawfully at large nor have they been detained by the police. Data represents the total number of permits and not the number of offenders.

SUCCESSFUL WORK RELEASES - ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Work Release Permits
Regional Facilities		
Nova	2000-2001	0
	2001-2002	0
	2002-2003	0
Joliette	2000-2001	1
	2001-2002	0
	2002-2003	0
GVI (incl. IMH)	2000-2001	0
	2001-2002	0
	2002-2003	0
OOHL	2000-2001	19
	2001-2002	9
	2002-2003	0
EIFW	2000-2001	2
	2001-2002	15
	2002-2003	1
Total	2000-2001	22
	2001-2002	24
	2002-2003	1

Source: CRS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003.

SUCCESSFUL WORK RELEASES - NON-ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	Work Release Permits
Regional Facilities		
Nova	2000-2001	0
	2001-2002	0
	2002-2003	0
Joliette	2000-2001	10
	2001-2002	12
	2002-2003	9
GVI (incl. IMH)	2000-2001	0
	2001-2002	29
	2002-2003	34
OOHL	2000-2001	8
	2001-2002	0
	2002-2003	3
EIFW	2000-2001	2
	2001-2002	8
	2002-2003	6
Total	2000-2001	20
	2001-2002	49
	2002-2003	52

Source: CRS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003.

OFFENDERS INCARCERATED PAST DPED AND PRIOR TO FPED POPULATION AS OF APRIL 13, 2003

	Total	%
Total Women Offenders who are past DPED but prior to FPED (In the community and incarcerated)	89	100%
Total women offenders that are incarcerated past their DPED and Prior to FPED	44	49%
Of the 44 women offenders, 1 had a prior supervision period	1	1.1%
❖ Remaining	43	48.3%
Of the 43 left, 8 had a prior day or full parole decisions	8	9.0%
❖ Remaining	35	39.3%
Of the 35 left, 17 had a prior day or full parole waivers, postponements or withdrawals	17	19.1%
❖ Women who did not get a file review by NPB	18	20.2%

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 13, 2003

- There were 18 (20.2%) women offenders who were incarcerated past their Day Parole eligibility date but prior to their Full Parole eligibility date who had not had their file reviewed by the National Parole Board;
- Of the 89 women offenders past their Day Parole eligibility date and prior to their Full Parole eligibility date, 45 (51%) were in the community.

Only federal offenders are considered for the analysis. Incarcerated is defined as only those offenders with an offender status of "incarcerated".

ABORIGINAL

	Total	%
Total Women Offenders who are past DPED but prior to FPED (In the community and incarcerated)	14	100%
Total women offenders that are incarcerated past their DPED and Prior to FPED	10	71%
Of the 10 women offenders, 1 had a prior supervision period	1	7.1%
❖ Remaining	9	64.3%
Of the 9 left, none had a prior day or full parole decisions	0	0.0%
❖ Remaining	9	64.3%
Of the 9 left, 4 had a prior day or full parole waivers, postponements or withdrawals	4	28.6%
❖ Women who did not get a file review by NPB	5	35.7%

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 13, 2003

NON-ABORIGINAL

	Total	%
Total Women Offenders who are past DPED but prior to FPED (In the community and incarcerated)	75	100.0%
Total women offenders that are incarcerated past their DPED and Prior to FPED	34	45.3%
Of the 34 women offenders, none had a prior supervision period	0	0.0%
❖ Remaining	34	45.3%
Of the 34 left, 8 had a prior day or full parole decisions	8	10.7%
❖ Remaining	26	34.7%
Of the 26 left, 13 had a prior day or full parole waivers, postponements or withdrawals	13	17.3%
❖ Women who did not get a file review by NPB	13	17.3%

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 13, 2003

OFFENDERS INCARCERATED PAST FPED AND PRIOR TO SRD POPULATION AS OF APRIL 13, 2003

	Total	%
Total Women Offenders who are past FPED but prior to DPED (In the community and incarcerated)	339	100.0%
Total women offenders that are incarcerated past their FPED and Prior to Statutory Release	128	37.8%
Of the 128 women offenders, 65 had a prior supervision period	65	19.2%
❖ Remaining	63	18.6%
Of the 63 left, 21 had a prior day or full parole decisions	21	6.2%
❖ Remaining	42	12.4%
Of the 42 left, 37 had a prior day or full parole waivers, postponements or withdrawals	37	10.9%
❖ Women who did not get a file review by NPB	5	1.5%

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 13, 2003

- There were 5 (2.5%) women offenders who were incarcerated past their Full Parole eligibility who had not had their their file reviewed by the National Parole Board;
- Of the 339 women offenders past their Full Parole eligibility date, 211 (62.2%) were in the community.

Only federal offenders are considered for the analysis. Incarcerated is defined as only those offenders with an offender status of "incarcerated".

ABORIGINAL

	Total	%
Total Women Offenders who are past FPED but prior to DPED (In the community and incarcerated)	79	100.0%
Total women offenders that are incarcerated past their FPED and Prior to Statutory Release	39	49.4%
Of the 39 women offenders, 21 had a prior supervision period	21	26.6%
❖ Remaining	18	22.8%
Of the 18 left, 3 had a prior day or full parole decisions	3	3.8%
❖ Remaining	15	19.0%
Of the 15 left, 15 had a prior day or full parole waivers, postponements or withdrawals	15	19.0%
❖ Women who did not get a file review by NPB	0	0.0%

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 13, 2003

NON-ABORIGINAL

	Total	%
Total Women Offenders who are past DPED but prior to FPED (In the community and incarcerated)	260	100.0%
Total women offenders that are incarcerated past their DPED and Prior to FPED	89	34.2%
Of the 89 women offenders, 44 had a prior supervision period	44	16.9%
❖ Remaining	45	17.3%
Of the 45 left, 18 had a prior day or full parole decisions	18	6.9%
❖ Remaining	27	10.4%
Of the 27 left, 22 had a prior day or full parole waivers, postponements or withdrawals	22	8.5%
❖ Women who did not get a file review by NPB	5	1.9%

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 13, 2003

DAY PAROLE WAIVERS, POSTPONEMENTS AND ADJOURNMENTS FISCAL YEAR 2002/2003

Region	Review Scheduled	Waivers	Postponements	Adjournments
Atlantic	41	0	5	1
Québec	46	2	4	3
Ontario	121	0	7	11
Prairies	108	0	12	9
Pacific	5	0	0	0
Total	321	2 (0.6%)	28 (8.7%)	24 (7.5%)

Source: OMS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2003.

ABORIGINAL

Region	Review Scheduled	Waivers	Postponements	Adjournments
Atlantic	8	0	2	0
Québec	0	0	0	0
Ontario	12	0	2	3
Prairies	63	0	9	6
Pacific	0	0	0	0
Total	83	0 (0%)	13 (15.7%)	9 (10.8%)

Source: OMS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2003.

NON-ABORIGINAL

Region	Review Scheduled	Waivers	Postponements	Adjournments
Atlantic	33	0	3	1
Québec	46	2	4	3
Ontario	109	0	5	8
Prairies	45	0	3	3
Pacific	5	0	0	0
Total	238	2 (0.8%)	15 (6.3%)	15 (6.3%)

Source: OMS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2003.

The numbers presented are calculated as the percentage of Day Parole and Full Parole reviews scheduled during the fiscal year that resulted in a waiver, postponement or adjournment. Reviews cancelled or entered in error are not included. Adjournments include recorded decisions where the final decision is "Adjourned" and "Administratively Adjourned".

FULL PAROLE WAIVERS, POSTPONEMENTS AND ADJOURNMENTS - FISCAL YEAR 2002/2003

Region	Review Scheduled	Waivers	Postponements	Adjournments
Atlantic	34	9	6	1
Québec	70	9	17	3
Ontario	110	22	4	4
Prairies	125	23	14	7
Pacific	4	0	1	2
Total	343	63 (18.4%)	42 (12.2%)	17 (5.0%)

Source: OMS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2003.

ABORIGINAL

Region	Review Scheduled	Waivers	Postponements	Adjournments
Atlantic	6	1	3	0
Québec	4	3	1	0
Ontario	16	5	2	1
Prairies	65	15	8	4
Pacific	0	0	0	0
Total	91	24 (26.4%)	14 (15.4%)	5 (5.5%)

Source: OMS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2003.

NON-ABORIGINAL

Region	Review Scheduled	Waivers	Postponements	Adjournments
Atlantic	28	8	3	1
Québec	66	6	16	3
Ontario	94	17	2	3
Prairies	60	8	6	3
Pacific	4	0	1	2
Total	252	39 (15.5%)	28 (11.1%)	12 (4.8%)

Source: OMS, as of June 2003. Data from April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2003.

The numbers presented are calculated as the percentage of Day Parole and Full Parole reviews scheduled during the fiscal year that resulted in a waiver, postponement or adjournment. Reviews cancelled or entered in error are not included. Adjournments include recorded decisions where the final decision is "Adjourned" and "Administratively Adjourned".

COMMUNITY TEMPORARY DETENTION AND DEPORTATION

Date	Temporary Detained	Deportation
March 31, 1998	15	41
March 31, 1999	18	41
March 31, 2000	20	35
March 31, 2001	27	27
March 31, 2002	43	33
March 31, 2003	39	29

Source: CRS, as of April, 2003.

- The number of women offenders temporarily detained at the time of the snapshot has decreased since the last fiscal year;
- The number of women offenders who deported at the time of the snapshot has decreased since the last fiscal year.

ABORIGINALS

Date	Temporary Detained	Deportation
March 31, 1998	1	0
March 31, 1999	7	0
March 31, 2000	9	0
March 31, 2001	9	0
March 31, 2002	13	0
March 31, 2003	11 (28.2%)	0

Source: CRS, data as of April, 2003

NON-ABORIGINALS

Date	Temporary Detained	Deportation
March 31, 1998	14	41
March 31, 1999	11	41
March 31, 2000	11	35
March 31, 2001	18	27
March 31, 2002	30	33
March 31, 2003	28 (71.8%)	29

Source: CRS, data as of April, 2003

RELEASES BY INSTITUTION

	Fiscal Year	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Total
Regional Facilities					
Nova	2000-2001	23	3	11	37
	2001-2002	17	0	26	43
	2002-2003	18	2	15	35
Joliette	2000-2001	21	3	15	39
	2001-2002	23	7	16	46
	2002-2003	25	4	19	48
GVI (incl. Isabel McNeil)	2000-2001	56	10	22	88
	2001-2002	48	16	27	91
	2002-2003	58	5	39	102
OOHL	2000-2001	16	0	3	19
	2001-2002	11	2	3	16
	2002-2003	11	3	4	18
EIFW	2000-2001	59	1	29	89
	2001-2002	46	0	40	86
	2002-2003	37	3	43	83
BCCW	2000-2001	15	3	14	32
	2001-2002	17	7	8	32
	2002-2003	16	2	12	30
Sub Total	2000-2001	190	20	94	304
	2001-2002	162	32	120	314
	2002-2003	165	19	132	316
Maximum Security Units					
Springhill	2000-2001	1	1	8	10
	2001-2002	0	0	4	4
	2002-2003	0	0	10	10
RRC Quebec	2000-2001	0	0	5	5
	2001-2002	0	0	2	2
	2002-2003	0	0	2	2
Sask. Pen	2000-2001	0	0	6	6
	2001-2002	1	0	8	9
	2002-2003	2	0	10	12
RPC Prairies	2000-2001	3	0	4	7
	2001-2002	2	0	1	3
	2002-2003	1	0	5	6
Sub Total	2000-2001	4	1	23	28
	2001-2002	3	0	15	18
	2002-2003	3	0	27	30
Totals	2000-2001	194	21	117	332
	2001-2002	165	32	135	332
	2002-2003	168	19	159	346

Source: OMS, as of April 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003.

- Edmonton Institution for Women had the greatest number of releases (89) followed by Grand Valley Institution (79, excluding Isabel McNeil House) during the 2000-2001 fiscal year.
- Edmonton Institution for Women had the greatest number of releases (86) followed by Grand Valley Institution (82 with the exclusion of IMH) during the 2001-2002 fiscal year; as well for fiscal year 2002/2003, 93 releases for EIFW and 83 for GVI.

Definition: Releases refer to the offenders' releases. These releases reflect federal jurisdiction offenders only. It does not include those who move from one type of release to another in the community.

Note: The majority of women offenders are released from a federal facility on Day Parole and convert over to Full Parole once in the community. Other forms of release including warrant expiry and bail are not included in the above table. Day Parole and Full Parole releases are at the discretion of the National Parole Board. Statutory Release is a mandatory form of release unless there is reason to believe that a person will commit a violent offence prior to their Warrant Expiry Date.

ABORIGINAL RELEASES BY INSTITUTION

	Fiscal Year	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Total
Regional Facilities					
Nova	2000-2001	1	0	1	2
	2001-2002	2	0	2	4
	2002-2003	3	0	1	4
Joliette	2000-2001	0	0	0	0
	2001-2002	1	0	0	1
	2002-2003	0	0	3	3
GVI (incl. IMH)	2000-2001	7	0	3	10
	2001-2002	4	2	4	10
	2002-2003	1	1	2	4
OOHL	2000-2001	14	0	3	17
	2001-2002	11	2	3	16
	2002-2003	8	2	3	13
EIFW	2000-2001	21	0	17	38
	2001-2002	14	0	20	34
	2002-2003	16	1	23	40
BCCW	2000-2001	2	0	6	8
	2001-2002	4	3	3	10
	2002-2003	3	0	2	5
Sub Total	2000-2001	45	0	30	75
	2001-2002	36	7	32	75
	2002-2003	31	4	34	69
Maximum Security Units					
Springhill	2000-2001	0	0	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	2	2
RRC Quebec	2000-2001	0	0	0	0
	2001-2002	0	0	0	0
	2002-2003	0	0	0	0
Sask. Pen	2000-2001	0	0	4	4
	2001-2002	1	0	5	6
	2002-2003	2	0	6	8
RPC Prairies	2000-2001	0	0	2	2
	2001-2002	1	0	0	1
	2002-2003	1	0	1	2
Sub Total	2000-2001	0	0	6	6
	2001-2002	2	0	5	7
	2002-2003	3	0	9	12
Totals	2000-2001	45	0	36	81
	2001-2002	38	7	37	82
	2002-2003	34	4	43	81

Source: OMS, as of April 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003.

NON-ABORIGINAL RELEASES BY INSTITUTION

	Fiscal Year	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Total
Regional Facilities					
Nova	2000-2001	22	3	10	35
	2001-2002	15	0	24	39
	2002-2003	15	2	14	31
Joliette	2000-2001	21	3	15	39
	2001-2002	22	7	16	45
	2002-2003	25	4	16	45
GVI (incl. IMH)	2000-2001	49	10	19	78
	2001-2002	44	14	23	81
	2002-2003	57	4	37	98
OOHL	2000-2001	2	0	0	2
	2001-2002	0	0	0	0
	2002-2003	3	1	1	5
EIFW	2000-2001	38	1	12	51
	2001-2002	32	0	20	52
	2002-2003	21	2	20	43
BCCW	2000-2001	13	3	8	24
	2001-2002	13	4	5	22
	2002-2003	13	2	10	25
Sub Total	2000-2001	145	20	64	229
	2001-2002	126	25	88	239
	2002-2003	134	15	98	247
Maximum Security Units					
Springhill	2000-2001	1	1	8	10
	2001-2002	0	0	4	4
	2002-2003	0	0	8	8
RRC Quebec	2000-2001	0	0	5	5
	2001-2002	0	0	2	2
	2002-2003	0	0	2	2
Sask. Pen	2000-2001	0	0	2	2
	2001-2002	0	0	3	3
	2002-2003	0	0	4	4
RPC Prairies	2000-2001	3	0	2	5
	2001-2002	1	0	1	2
	2002-2003	0	0	4	4
Sub Total	2000-2001	4	1	17	22
	2001-2002	1	0	10	11
	2002-2003	0	0	18	18
Totals	2000-2001	149	21	81	251
	2001-2002	127	25	98	250
	2002-2003	134	15	116	265

Source: OMS, as of April 2003. Data from April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2003.

OFFENCE PROFILE BY REGION - COMMUNITY

	Fiscal Year	First Degree Murder	Second Degree Murder	Sched. I	Sched. II	Non Sched.	Total
Atlantic	March 31, 2001	0	1	20	5	10	36
	March 31, 2002	0	1	16	6	11	34
	March 31, 2003	0	2	14	4	7	27
Quebec	March 31, 2001	3	9	23	41	13	89
	March 31, 2002	2	11	23	45	9	90
	March 31, 2003	2	11	23	37	12	85
Ontario	March 31, 2001	1	31	36	105	17	190
	March 31, 2002	1	26	36	103	16	182
	March 31, 2003	1	27	36	93	18	175
Prairies	March 31, 2001	1	4	48	69	17	139
	March 31, 2002	1	7	43	59	21	131
	March 31, 2003	1	9	46	52	15	123
Pacific	March 31, 2001	0	14	16	10	7	47
	March 31, 2002	0	13	15	12	8	48
	March 31, 2003	0	14	19	12	5	50
Total	March 31, 2001	5	59	143	230	64	501
	March 31, 2002	4	58	133	225	65	485
	March 31, 2003	4	63	138	198	57	460

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above.

- On March 31, 2001, 41.3% (207) women offenders in the community had been convicted of a violent offence.
- On March 31, 2002, 40.2% (195) of women offenders in the community had been convicted of a violent offence.
On March 31, 2003, 44.6% (205) of women offenders in the community had been convicted of a violent offence.6% of the federal women offender population in the community.

Violent offences include first degree murder, second degree murder and offences listed under Schedule 1.
--

OFFENCE PROFILE - COMMUNITY ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	First Degree Murder	Second Degree Murder	Sched. I	Sched. II	Non Sched.	Total
Atlantic	March 31, 2001	0	0	1	0	1	2
	March 31, 2002	0	0	2	1	0	3
	March 31, 2003	0	1	1	0	0	2
Quebec	March 31, 2001	0	0	0	0	0	0
	March 31, 2002	0	0	0	0	0	0
	March 31, 2003	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontario	March 31, 2001	0	2	4	1	2	9
	March 31, 2002	0	2	5	2	2	11
	March 31, 2003	0	2	4	2	2	10
Prairies	March 31, 2001	0	2	29	26	2	59
	March 31, 2002	0	4	28	21	5	58
	March 31, 2003	0	6	30	17	1	54
Pacific	March 31, 2001	0	2	1	1	0	4
	March 31, 2002	0	2	2	1	1	6
	March 31, 2003	0	2	4	0	0	6
Total	March 31, 2001	0	6	35	28	5	74
	March 31, 2002	0	8	37	25	8	78
	March 31, 2003	0	11	39	19	3	72

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above.

OFFENCE PROFILE - COMMUNITY NON-ABORIGINAL

	Fiscal Year	First Degree Murder	Second Degree Murder	Sched. I	Sched. II	Non Sched.	Total
Atlantic	March 31, 2001	0	1	19	5	9	34
	March 31, 2002	0	1	14	5	11	31
	March 31, 2003	0	1	13	4	7	25
Quebec	March 31, 2001	3	9	23	41	13	89
	March 31, 2002	2	11	23	45	9	90
	March 31, 2003	2	11	23	37	12	85
Ontario	March 31, 2001	1	29	32	104	15	181
	March 31, 2002	1	24	31	101	14	171
	March 31, 2003	1	25	32	91	16	165
Prairies	March 31, 2001	1	2	19	43	15	80
	March 31, 2002	1	3	15	38	16	73
	March 31, 2003	1	3	16	35	14	69
Pacific	March 31, 2001	0	12	15	9	7	43
	March 31, 2002	0	11	13	11	7	42
	March 31, 2003	0	12	15	12	5	44
Total	March 31, 2001	5	53	108	202	59	427
	March 31, 2002	4	50	96	200	57	407
	March 31, 2003	4	52	99	179	54	388

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above.

COMMUNITY SUPERVISION POPULATION BY ETHNIC ORIGIN

Race	Fiscal Year	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Total
Regional Facilities					
Aboriginal	March 31, 2001	9	42	14	65
	March 31, 2002	10	42	13	65
	March 31, 2003	15	38	8	61
Asiatic	March 31, 2001	6	18	0	24
	March 31, 2002	3	24	1	28
	March 31, 2003	4	18	4	26
Black	March 31, 2001	9	38	3	50
	March 31, 2002	7	41	4	52
	March 31, 2003	7	39	5	51
Hispanic	March 31, 2001	0	8	0	8
	March 31, 2002	1	10	0	11
	March 31, 2003	1	6	1	8
Caucasian	March 31, 2001	43	203	34	280
	March 31, 2002	33	171	37	241
	March 31, 2003	41	155	35	231
Others	March 31, 2001	1	17	0	18
	March 31, 2002	2	14	1	17
	March 31, 2003	4	15	1	20
Total	March 31, 2001	68	326	51	445
	March 31, 2002	56	302	56	414
	March 31, 2003	72	271	54	397

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above

- As of March 31, 2003, 58.2% (231) of federal women offenders currently under supervision were Caucasian;
- Aboriginal women currently account for 15.4% of the federal women offenders under community supervision;
- The total community population as of June 22, 2003 was 448.

Others include: Not specified, unknown, blank.

CONDITIONAL RELEASE TYPE IN THE COMMUNITY

Date	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Total
March 31, 1998	60	272	30	362
March 31, 1999	85	287	39	411
March 31, 2000	83	334	35	452
March 31, 2001	68	328	51	447
March 31, 2002	55	298	56	409
March 31, 2003	71	267	54	392

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above.

- The number of women offenders on Day Parole has increased of 18.3% since March 31, 1998.
- The number of women offenders on Full Parole has decreased since March 31, 2002 of 20.1%;
- The number of women offenders on Statutory Release has increased of 80% since March 31, 1998.

Definition: Conditional Release includes: Federal women offenders on active day parole, full parole or statutory release only, actively under supervision (i.e. not suspended) in the community on the day of the snapshot.

CONDITIONAL RELEASE TYPE - ABORIGINAL

Date	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Total
March 31, 1998	15	23	6	44
March 31, 1999	14	26	12	52
March 31, 2000	14	34	11	59
March 31, 2001	9	42	14	65
March 31, 2002	10	42	13	65
March 31, 2003	15	38	8	61

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above.

CONDITIONAL RELEASE TYPE - NON-ABORIGINAL

Date	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Total
March 31, 1998	45	249	24	318
March 31, 1999	71	261	27	359
March 31, 2000	69	300	24	393
March 31, 2001	59	286	37	382
March 31, 2002	45	256	43	344
March 31, 2003	56	229	46	331

Source: CRS, as of dates listed above.

ATLANTIC REGION UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE

Parole Office	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Total
Charlottetown	0	1	0	1
Sydney	0	1	0	1
Kentville Area Office	0	2	1	3
Halifax Area Parole Office	3	1	1	5
Truro Area Office	1	0	0	1
Dartmouth Parole Office	0	0	1	1
Moncton Area Office	0	4	1	5
Saint John Area Office	4	0	0	4
St. John's Area Office	0	1	1	2
Total	8	10	5	23

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 13, 2003

- Of these, 2 are Aboriginal women; 1 DP in Halifax Area Parole Office and 1 FP in Sydney.

QUEBEC REGION UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE

Parole Office	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Total
Lanaudière	0	2	0	2
Montreal East	0	1	0	1
Martineau CCC	0	0	1	1
Longueuil Area Parole Office	0	4	1	5
Ville-Marie Area Parole Office	9	24	6	39
Lafontaine Area Parole Office	0	1	0	1
Langelier Area Parole Office	0	2	0	2
Granby Area Parole Office	0	2	0	2
Estrie Area Parole Office	0	0	0	0
Quebec Area Parole Office	1	11	0	12
Rimouski Area Parole Office	0	1	0	1
Chicoutimi Area Parole Office	0	1	0	1
Trois Rivieres Area Parole Office	0	1	1	2
Laval Area Parole Office	0	1	2	3
Laurentian Area Parole Office	1	0	0	1
Total	11	51	11	73

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 13, 2003

- There are no Aboriginal women being supervised in the Quebec Region.

ONTARIO REGION WOMEN OFFENDERS UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE

Parole Office	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Total
Muskoka	0	1	0	1
Portsmouth Community Centre	2	0	0	2
Kingston Parole Office	0	10	0	10
Peterborough Parole Office	0	3	0	3
Ottawa Parole Office	1	4	1	6
Barrie Area Parole Office	1	2	0	3
Sudbury Area Parole Office	0	1	0	1
Sault Ste. Marie Area Parole Office	0	1	0	1
Hamilton Parole Office	3	7	0	10
Women's Supervision Unit	18	62	9	89
Windsor Area Parole Office	0	3	0	3
London Area Parole Office	0	8	1	9
Guelph Area Parole Office	0	1	3	4
St. Catharine's Area Parole Office	0	1	1	2
Total	25	104	15	144

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 13, 2003

- Of these, 9 are Aboriginal women; 2 DP, 1 in Hamilton Parole Office and 1 in Women's Supervision Unit; 5 FP, 1 in Ottawa Parole Office, 1 in Barrie Area Parole Office, 1 in Sault-Ste-Marie Area Parole Office, 1 in Hamilton Parole Office and 1 in Women's Supervision Unit; 2 SR, 1 in Women's Supervision Unit and 1 in Guelph Area Parole Office.

PRAIRIE REGION UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE

Parole Office	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Total
Winnipeg Area Parole Office	3	10	3	16
Brandon Parole Office	0	2	0	2
Thunder Bay Parole Office	0	1	1	2
Prince Albert Parole Office	1	2	1	4
Saskatoon Parole Office	2	5	0	7
Regina Parole Office	1	5	0	6
Edmonton Area Parole Office	10	17	5	32
Red Deer Parole	0	2	0	2
Calgary Area Parole Office	1	12	5	18
Lethbridge Parole Office	0	1	0	1
YMCA	1	0	0	1
Bedford House	0	2	0	2
Fort McMurray Parole	0	1	0	1
Grande Prairie Parole	0	1	0	1
John Howard Society	0	1	0	1
Marcel Caplette	0	1	0	1
Michelle Miller	0	1	0	1
La ronge	0	0	1	1
Prairie Other	0	15	0	15
Total	19	79	16	114

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 13, 2003

PRAIRIE REGION - ABORIGINAL - UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE

Parole Office	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Total
Winnipeg Area Parole Office	1	6	1	8
Brandon Parole Office	0	1	0	1
Prince Albert Parole Office	1	2	1	4
Saskatoon Parole Office	1	4	0	5
Regina Parole Office	1	4	0	5
Edmonton Area Parole Office	4	3	2	9
Calgary Area Parole Office	0	2	1	3
Lethbridge Parole Office	0	1	0	1
YMCA	1	0	0	1
Fort McMurray Parole	0	1	0	1
John Howard Society	0	1	0	1
Marcel Caplette	0	1	0	1
La ronge	0	0	1	1
Prairie Other	0	7	0	7
Total	9	33	6	48

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 13, 2003

PRAIRIE REGION - NON-ABORIGINAL UNDER SUPERVISION BY PAROLE OFFICE

Parole Office	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Total
Winnipeg Area Parole Office	2	4	2	8
Brandon Parole Office	0	1	0	1
Thunder Bay Parole Office	0	1	1	2
Saskatoon Parole Office	1	1	0	2
Regina Parole Office	0	1	0	1
Edmonton Area Parole Office	6	14	3	23
Red Deer Parole	0	2	0	2
Calgary Area Parole Office	1	10	4	15
Bedford House	0	2	0	2
Grande Prairie Parole	0	1	0	1
Michelle Miller	0	1	0	1
Prairie Other	0	8	0	8
Total	10	46	10	66

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 13, 2003

**PACIFIC REGION WOMEN OFFENDERS UNDER SUPERVISION BY
PAROLE OFFICE**

Parole Office	Day Parole	Full Parole	Statutory Release	Total
Burnaby Correctional Centre Women	0	0	1	1
Abbotsford Area Parole Office	0	1	0	1
Burnaby Probation	0	1	0	1
Fort St John Probation and FCS	0	1	0	1
Kelowna Parole Office	0	1	0	1
Kelowna Probation and Fam Centre	0	1	0	1
Nanaimo Parole Office	0	4	0	4
New Westminster Area Parole	2	3	0	5
New Westminster Probation	6	11	3	20
Penticton Probation and FCS	0	0	1	1
Port Coquitlam Probation	0	1	0	1
Salmon Arm Probation and FCS	0	1	0	1
Vancouver Area Parole Office	0	6	1	7
Vanderhoof Probation and FCS	0	1	0	1
Victoria Probation and FCS	0	0	1	1
Grand Total	8	32	7	47

Source: OMS, Snapshot as of April 13, 2003

- Of these, 6 are Aboriginal women; 3 DP in New Westminster Probation and 3 FP, 1 in Nanaimo Parole Office, 1 in New Westminster Area Parole Office and 1 in Vanderhoof Probation and FCS.

ANNEX I - LIST OF SCHEDULED OFFENCES - SCHEDULE I

SCHEDULE I

(Subsections 107(1), 125(1) and 126(7) and sections 129 and 130)

1. An offence under any of the following provisions of the *Criminal Code*, that was prosecuted by way of indictment:

- (a) paragraph 81(2)(a) (causing injury with intent);
- (b) subsection 85(1) (using firearm in commission of offence);
- (b.1) subsection 85(2) (using imitation firearm in commission of offence);
- (c) subsection 86(1) (pointing a firearm);
- (d) section 144 (prison breach);
- (e) section 151 (sexual interference);
- (f) section 152 (invitation to sexual touching);
- (g) section 153 (sexual exploitation);
- (h) section 155 (incest);
- (i) section 159 (anal intercourse);
- (j) section 160 (bestiality, compelling, in presence of or by child);
- (k) section 170 (parent or guardian procuring sexual activity by child);
- (l) section 171 (householder permitting sexual activity by or in presence of child);
- (m) section 172 (corrupting children);
- (n) subsection 212(2) (living off the avails of prostitution by a child);
- (o) subsection 212(4) (obtaining sexual services of a child);
- (o.1) section 220 (causing death by criminal negligence);
- (o.2) section 221 (causing bodily harm by criminal negligence);
- (p) section 236 (manslaughter);
- (q) section 239 (attempt to commit murder);
- (r) section 244 (causing bodily harm with intent);
- (s) section 246 (overcoming resistance to commission of offence);
- (s.1) subsections 249(3) and (4) (dangerous operation causing bodily harm and dangerous operation causing death);
- (s.2) subsections 255(2) and (3) (impaired driving causing bodily harm and impaired driving causing death);
- (s.3) section 264 (criminal harassment);
- (t) section 266 (assault);
- (u) section 267 (assault with a weapon or causing bodily harm);
- (v) section 268 (aggravated assault);
- (w) section 269 (unlawfully causing bodily harm);
- (x) section 270 (assaulting a peace officer);
- (y) section 271 (sexual assault);
- (z) section 272 (sexual assault with a weapon, threats to a third party or causing bodily harm);
- (z.1) section 273 (aggravated sexual assault);
- (z.2) section 279 (kidnapping);
- (z.3) section 344 (robbery);
- (z.4) section 433 (arson -- disregard for human life);
- (z.5) section 434.1 (arson -- own property);
- (z.6) section 436 (arson by negligence); and
- (z.7) paragraph 465(1)(a) (conspiracy to commit murder).

2. An offence under any of the following provisions of the *Criminal Code*, as they read immediately before July 1, 1990, that was prosecuted by way of indictment:

- (a) section 433 (arson);
- (b) section 434 (setting fire to other substance); and
- (c) section 436 (setting fire by negligence).

3. An offence under any of the following provisions of the *Criminal Code*, chapter C-34 of the Revised Statutes of Canada, 1970, as they read immediately before January 4, 1983, that was prosecuted by way of indictment:

- (a) section 144 (rape);
- (b) section 145 (attempt to commit rape);
- (c) section 149 (indecent assault on female);
- (d) section 156 (indecent assault on male);
- (e) section 245 (common assault);
- (f) section 246 (assault with intent).

4. An offence under any of the following provisions of the *Criminal Code*, chapter C-34 of the Revised Statutes of Canada, 1970, as they read immediately before January 1, 1988, that was prosecuted by way of indictment:

- (a) section 146 (sexual intercourse with a female under 14);
- (b) section 151 (seduction of a female between 16 and 18);
- (c) section 153 (sexual intercourse with step-daughter);
- (d) section 155 (buggery or bestiality);
- (e) section 157 (gross indecency);
- (f) section 166 (parent or guardian procuring defilement); and
- (g) section 167 (householder permitting defilement).

5. The offence of breaking and entering a place and committing an indictable offence therein, as provided for by paragraph 348(1)(b) of the *Criminal Code*, where the indictable offence is an offence set out in sections 1 to 4 of this Schedule and its commission

- (a) is specified in the warrant of committal;
- (b) is specified in the Summons, Information or Indictment on which the conviction has been registered;
- (c) is found in the reasons for judgment of the trial judge; or
- (d) is found in a statement of facts admitted into evidence pursuant to section 655 of the *Criminal Code*.

6. An offence under any of the following provisions of the *Crimes Against Humanity and War Crimes Act*:

- (a) section 4 (genocide, etc., committed in Canada);
- (b) section 5 (breach of responsibility committed in Canada by military commanders or other superiors);
- (c) section 6 (genocide, etc., committed outside Canada); and
- (d) section 7 (breach of responsibility committed outside Canada by military commanders or other superiors).

1992, c. 20, Sch. I; 1995, c. 39, s. 165, c. 42, ss. 64 to 67; 2000, c. 24, s. 41.

ANNEX I - LIST OF SCHEDULED OFFENCES - SCHEDULE II

(Subsections 107(1) and 125(1) and sections 129, 130 and 132)

1. An offence under any of the following provisions of the *Narcotic Control Act*, as it read immediately before the day on which section 64 of the *Controlled Drugs and Substances Act* came into force, that was prosecuted by way of indictment:

- (a) section 4 (trafficking);
- (b) section 5 (importing and exporting);
- (c) section 6 (cultivation);
- (d) section 19.1 (possession of property obtained by certain offences); and
- (e) section 19.2 (laundering proceeds of certain offences).

2. An offence under any of the following provisions of the *Food and Drugs Act*, as it read immediately before the day on which section 64 of the *Controlled Drugs and Substances Act* came into force, that was prosecuted by way of indictment:

- (a) section 39 (trafficking in controlled drugs);
- (b) section 44.2 (possession of property obtained by trafficking in controlled drugs);
- (c) section 44.3 (laundering proceeds of trafficking in controlled drugs);
- (d) section 48 (trafficking in restricted drugs);
- (e) section 50.2 (possession of property obtained by trafficking in restricted drugs); and
- (f) section 50.3 (laundering proceeds of trafficking in restricted drugs).

3. An offence under any of the following provisions of the *Controlled Drugs and Substances Act* that was prosecuted by way of indictment:

- (a) section 5 (trafficking);
- (b) section 6 (importing and exporting);
- (c) section 7 (production);
- (d) section 8 (possession of property obtained by certain offences); and
- (e) section 9 (laundering proceeds of certain offences).

4. The offence of conspiring, as provided by paragraph 465(1)(c) of the *Criminal Code*, to commit any of the offences referred to in items 1 to 3 of this schedule.

1992, c. 20, Sch. II; 1995, c. 42, s. 68; 1996, c. 19, s. 64.