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Executive Summary

Between February and August 2010, GAATW Canada researchers conducted a qualitative research project, funded by Public Safety Canada, on possible increases in transnational and domestic human trafficking in British Columbia in connection with the 2010 Vancouver Olympic and Paralympic Games. Research involved examining available data on the link between trafficking in persons and previous mega sporting events, analyzing media, online, and public discussions that focused on human trafficking prior to and during the Olympic Games, and conducting telephone, in-person, and e-mail interviews with 61 key informants, federal and provincial representatives, enforcement personnel, members of non-governmental organizations, as well as legal and human rights advocates. In the process of investigating the main research question, the research team also considered the dynamics of pre-Olympic anti-trafficking discourses and campaigns, what trafficking in persons prevention measures were implemented by governmental, enforcement, and non-governmental sectors and the reported effectiveness of those strategies, as well as the key recommendations that emerged from interview participants.

The interview data provided contrary evidence about whether or not there were indications that human trafficking had occurred prior to and during the 2010 Olympic Games. Nonetheless, without ruling out the possibility that human trafficking for the purposes of labour and sexual exploitation might have evaded detection with the risk of domestic trafficking into the commercial sex sector specifically mentioned, the vast majority of informants across stakeholder sectors suggested that they had no specific knowledge of or that there was no concrete and verifiable evidence of trafficking in persons for the purposes of sexual or labour exploitation linked to the 2010 Olympic Games. In addition, as of the end of August 2010, no trafficking in persons cases connected to the event had reached the level of investigation. There was also no strong evidence of a significant spike in male demand for paid sexual services during the Olympic Games. In the absence of evidence-based research, which has systematically assessed the fan base of or measured male demand for paid sexual services during mega sporting events, it is unclear whether this was a feature unique to what some interviewees described as a more “family-oriented” event like the Winter Olympics or mega sporting events more generally. Available data suggests, however, that during presumably less “family-centred” international sporting events like the 2006 and 2010 FIFA World Cups, the anticipated or forecasted level of demand did not materialize.

The key recommendations that emerged from the interview data included the following:

- Examine the histories of and the lessons learned from previous international sporting events as well as the policies and practices implemented by other host nations/regions. This would include an analysis of the anticipated fan base.
- Engage in an early assessment of the risk of human trafficking in the host country/region/city using an evidence-based approach, and develop appropriate prevention strategies accordingly. Labour trafficking should receive equivalent attention to trafficking in persons for the purpose of sexual exploitation, and prevention initiatives, including guiding principles for employers, should be initiated during the infrastructure and venue construction phase.
• Establish mechanisms based on a consistent definitional methodology to track trafficking in persons information, data, and measurements, and conduct data collection prior, during, and after the event.
• Strategic planning and implementation should include the development of a clearly defined human trafficking prevention plan with milestones and benchmarks, the fostering of partnerships, networks, coordination, and information sharing among relevant government agencies, enforcement bodies, and with NGOs, and the establishment of appropriate investigative protocols and referral mechanisms to monitor the situation on the ground and to respond to the support needs of trafficked persons. Key partnerships and consultations on strategic planning should also involve Indigenous, youth, sex worker, and migrant worker organizations/advocates as well as grassroots community-based groups.
• In consultation with relevant NGOs and community-based partners, ensure that relevant and funded service strategies are in place to serve the needs of trafficked persons, as well as irregular migrant workers (including free and confidential legal advice and representation, interpreters, and translation).
• Conduct targeted trafficking in persons awareness training of enforcement personnel (police officers, immigration and border officials), criminal justice officials, labour inspectors, first responders and NGO partners, as well as private sector employers and employees in such areas as construction, hospitality services, and transportation. This instruction should also include “sensitivity training” of all security and enforcement officers seconded to the event. Special attention should be paid to non-discriminatory treatment of foreign nationals at ports of entry and temporary foreign workers regardless of labour site, as well as to the rights, safety, and needs of marginalized, stigmatized, vulnerable, and diverse local populations whose lives and work might be negatively impacted by the influx of tourists, an enhanced security and enforcement apparatus in their communities, as well as by certain anti-trafficking interventions.
• Devise and initiate a national or regional trafficking in persons public awareness campaign, with input from all relevant community stakeholders. Such a campaign should be accurate, evidence-based, and adhere to the principle of “do no harm.”

While the above recommendations are consistent with those found in assessments of other mega sporting events, two additional themes emerged from the interview data. The first focused on the important need to foster collaborative partnerships and consultations on strategic planning with communities with on-the-ground knowledge of trafficking in persons and those whose lives and work might be adversely affected by the enhanced security and enforcement presence and anti-trafficking interventions during international sporting events. In the context of the 2010 Olympic Games in Vancouver, the beginnings of such a model was evident and could be extended to include other grassroots, community-based representatives. The second theme concentrated on the critical necessity to adopt an evidence-based strategic approach and practice. Applicable to governmental, enforcement, and non-governmental agencies, this underlying principle would shape the planning and implementation of human trafficking prevention strategies, public awareness and media campaigns, as well as necessary assistance measures for trafficked persons should the need materialize.
I. Introduction

Large international sporting events, like the Summer and Winter Olympics and the FIFA World Cup, conjure up a diverse array of co-existing and often contradictory sentiments, images, and realities. Supporters of such events emphasize the positive upsurge in global unity, national pride and community engagement; the celebration of athletic excellence, fair competition, and more recently, the Right to Play with its emphasis on promoting development, health and peace through sports among disadvantaged children; as well as the short- and long-term business, investment, employment, and tourism benefits growing largely out of the enhanced global profile of host cities/regions. On the other side of the divide, opponents express concerns about massive public investments in sports infrastructure and transportation and their adverse effects on the environment and social spending; heightened surveillance, security and law enforcement in host cities which disproportionately affect marginalized populations; and urban ‘clean up’ operations resulting in the social displacement of the poor, the vulnerable, and the stigmatized. In British Columbia, there was also some vocal Indigenous opposition to the 2010 Winter Olympic and Paralympic Games in Vancouver/Whistler, encapsulated in the slogan, “No Olympics on Stolen Native Land.” Amidst the ongoing debates over the benefits and harms caused by mega sporting events, it is relatively recently that human trafficking, be it for sexual or labour exploitation, has been added to the list of potential negative side effects. The 2010 Vancouver Winter Olympic Games, held between 12 and 28 February, were no exception to this latter trend.

In the last decade and particularly since the adoption of the UN Protocol to Prevent, Suppress, and Punish Trafficking in Persons, Especially Women and Children (2000) [hereafter UN Trafficking Protocol], human trafficking has received growing attention at the global, regional, and national levels. International agencies and national governments have stepped up efforts to combat this crime against persons through the enactment of criminal laws and the implementation of various policies aimed at prosecution, prevention, protection, and, most recently, partnerships. In addition, non-governmental organizations (NGOs) have been instrumental in raising public awareness about the issue and in establishing service regimes to assist trafficked persons. Despite such a range of initiatives, international and national estimates on the number of persons trafficked into situations of sexual exploitation and forced labour on an annual basis have varied dramatically. While it is widely recognized that compiling comprehensive and accurate human trafficking statistics is difficult given the clandestine character of the activity, challenges in identifying persons affected by trafficking, and the reluctance or inability of trafficked persons to contact authorities or NGOs, such numerical variations are also due in part to differing interpretations of what constitutes human trafficking.


3 For a discussion of this phenomenon in the U.S. and Canadian contexts, see, for example, Jennifer Lynne Musto, “What’s in a name? Conflations and contradictions in contemporary U.S. discourses of human trafficking,”
Within this broad context of more concerted action, greater public awareness, statistical approximations, and varied understandings, international mega sporting events have, since 2004, come under increasing scrutiny and have been identified by certain sectors as highly fertile environments for human trafficking particularly for the purpose of sexual exploitation. An examination of this cause/effect relationship using an evidence-based approach is the main focus of this study.

Between February and August 2010, GAATW Canada researchers conducted a qualitative research project commissioned by Public Safety Canada on possible increases in transnational and domestic human trafficking in British Columbia in connection with the 2010 Vancouver Winter Olympic and Paralympic Games. The four main questions that guided the research were as follows:

a) What are the multiple effects of the presence of the 2010 Winter Olympic and Paralympic Games in Vancouver/Whistler in the following areas: cross international border movements, inter- and intra-provincial migration, and within region recruitment into such sectors as sex work/prostitution, construction, manufacturing, and the sales and service sector?

b) Within this broad context of potential heightened demand, in what ways and to what extent are large international sporting events (in this case, the 2010 Vancouver Winter Olympic Games) a conducive environment for human trafficking for the purposes of forced labour and sexual exploitation?

c) Was there an increase in human trafficking in the period leading up to and during the 2010 Olympic and Paralympic Games on the BC Lower Mainland?

d) What factors need to be taken into account when considering the absence of an increase or an increase in human trafficking during this international sporting event?

This report, which presents the findings of the research, is divided into seven main sections and cover the following topics: research methodology; legal and policy frameworks; existing data on the link between human trafficking and previous mega sporting events; pre-2010 Vancouver Olympic Games federal and provincial counter-trafficking frameworks; pre-Olympic anti-trafficking discourses and campaigns on the Lower Mainland; key findings from interviews with 61 key informants; and conclusions and recommendations.

II. Research Methodology

This study is based on research into a broad spectrum of sources. Important contextual information was gleaned from international literature and in particular from studies that have

assessed the connection between human trafficking and previous international mega sporting events. Furthermore, relevant Canadian documents and studies provided data on the development of national and provincial counter-trafficking initiatives and strategies and what is known about the nature and scope of transnational and domestic trafficking in Canada and British Columbia. The researchers also undertook an extensive analysis of available print media, focusing on national and provincial coverage in the period between 2006, when discussions about the link between human trafficking and the 2010 Vancouver Olympic Games first surfaced in the media, and August 2010, the endpoint of the study. This media analysis was supplemented by a review of publicly accessible data, which documented various public awareness campaigns initiated by NGOs and faith-based groups prior to the commencement of the Olympic Games. In the latter two cases, these sources offered a comprehensive snapshot of public discussions and debates concerning human trafficking and the 2010 Winter Olympic and Paralympic Games. In total, researchers reviewed approximately 40 reports, 35 academic articles, 100 websites, and 400 online media articles and documents related to the main research questions.

The research team also gathered relevant qualitative and quantitative data through 61 semi-structured telephone, in person, and, in some cases, e-mail interviews. At the outset of the research, a list of potential participants was compiled and categorized into six main sectors: federal government representatives, most of whom sit on the Interdepartmental Working Group on Trafficking in Persons (IWGTIP); provincial government agencies in British Columbia; law enforcement, immigration, and border security personnel on the Lower Mainland and in Vancouver; national and provincial Indigenous organizations; Lower Mainland- and Vancouver-based service provision, outreach, and advocacy organizations; legal and human rights advocates; and academic researchers with expertise in human trafficking in Canada and British Columbia. The principal geographical focus of the research was British Columbia and particularly the Lower Mainland, and we received additional participant referrals throughout the data collection period.

Whether contacted by e-mail, telephone or in-person, potential participants were sent a letter of introduction/invitation by e-mail (see Appendix A and Appendix B), which contained a description of the focus and purpose of the research, what participation would involve, including the time commitment, interview method options, and the names and contact information of the researchers. Upon receiving agreement to participate, interviewees were forwarded an interview questionnaire; they were informed that the questionnaire was meant as a guide and that some questions would likely be more relevant to their area of expertise than others. Participants were also sent a participant consent form, which included provisions related to anonymity and confidentiality, and how the research results would be used. Prior to the commencement of each interview, the participant was asked to indicate, either in writing or verbally, his/her consent to the conditions of participation in the research and his/her preference with respect to anonymity (the options were complete anonymity, partial anonymity, and permission to be identified by name and organizational/institutional affiliation in the written results) (see Appendix C). Regardless of the level of anonymity participants chose, the confidentiality of the original data was protected using a number of standard procedures: the coding of each interview (digital recording and transcript) and the storage of the original data on a secure online site, in password protected computer files, and in locked cabinets. These procedures are consistent with accepted standards of ethical research involving human subjects.
Despite considerable overlap, the research team developed two interview questionnaires: one designed for interviews with government personnel; and the other for members of NGOs and individuals (see Appendix D and Appendix E). Each interview questionnaire contained a preamble, which included the UN Trafficking Protocol definition of trafficking in persons and current Canadian human trafficking legislation. This level of detail was included in order to register to participants that the research was framed by current international and national legal definitions of trafficking in persons.

The questions included in each interview questionnaire were designed to solicit both qualitative and quantitative data and were grouped into loose thematic categories. These categories covered such areas as the participant’s perspectives on existing anti-trafficking discourses as they related to the 2010 Winter Olympic and Paralympic Games; perceived links between large sporting events and human trafficking, migration and mobility, as well as sexual and labour exploitation; observations concerning people’s movements (cross-border and domestic) and potential and tangible risk factors; involvement in pre-Games anti-trafficking initiatives; the effectiveness of such initiatives, any unintended consequences, and how effectiveness might be or was being measured; and an overall accounting as it pertained to the main research questions. In formulating these questions, the research team’s intent was to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the perceived and real connections (or lack thereof) between the presence of the 2010 Winter Olympic Games and an increase in transnational and domestic human trafficking.

Out of a total of 125 individuals contacted, 61 (or 48 per cent) agreed to participate and 64 either did not respond to our letters of invitation or declined to participate on various grounds; hence the research team makes no claim to have interviewed all relevant stakeholders. Reasons given for non-participation will be discussed in greater detail in Section VII. Furthermore, through the creation of a share document on a secure online site, the identification and verification of common themes and anomalous results contained in the interview data using open and selective coding constituted an ongoing process during the primary data collection phase.

III. Legal and Policy Frameworks

Legal Definitions

In the past decade, human trafficking has been identified internationally and nationally as a serious crime against persons and as a serious violation of human rights. The UN Trafficking Protocol, which was opened for signature in 2000, came into force on 25 December 2003, and supplements the UN Convention against Transnational Organized Crime, constitutes the principal international treaty designed to combat human trafficking. The Trafficking Protocol has 147 State Parties and defines trafficking in persons as follows:

“‘Trafficking in persons’ shall mean the recruitment, transportation, transfer, harbouring or receipt of persons, by means of the threat or use of force or other forms of coercion, of abduction, of fraud, of deception, of the abuse of power or of a position of vulnerability or of the giving or receiving of payments or benefits to achieve the consent of a person having control over another person, for the purpose of exploitation. Exploitation shall include, at a minimum, the exploitation of the prostitution of others or other forms of sexual exploitation, forced labour or services, slavery or practices similar to slavery, servitude or removal of organs.”

Canada signed the UN Trafficking Protocol in December 2000 and ratified the treaty on 13 May 2002. With respect to Canada’s obligation to make trafficking in persons a criminal offence, there are two relevant federal statutes: the Immigration and Refugee Protection Act (IRPA); and the Criminal Code.

Section 118 of IRPA, enacted in 2002, was the first specific offence to target trafficking in persons. It states:

“No person shall knowingly organize the coming into Canada of one or more persons by means of abduction, fraud, deception or use or threat of force or coercion.” “Organize with respect to persons” includes the “recruitment or transportation and, after their entry into Canada, the receipt or harbouring of those persons.”

This offence focuses on the method by which a person is brought into Canada. Exploitative conduct associated with the commission of this offence is captured in section 121 of IRPA, which lists a variety of aggravating factors that must be taken into account when sentences are imposed in trafficking in persons cases.

Canada’s Criminal Code offences on trafficking in persons prohibit trafficking in persons for any exploitative purpose, regardless of whether the trafficking occurs wholly within Canada or whether it involves bringing persons into Canada.

Section 279.01 states:

(1) Every person who recruits, transports, transfers, receives, holds, conceals or harbours a person, or exercises control, direction or influence over the movements of a person, for the purpose of exploiting them or facilitating their exploitation is guilty of an indictable offence and liable

(a) to imprisonment for life if they kidnap, commit an aggravated assault or aggravated sexual assault against, or cause death to, the victim during the commission of the offence; or

(b) to imprisonment for a term of not more than fourteen years in any other case.

---

6 Canada, Immigration and Refugee Protection Act, 2001, c. 27, Sections 118, 120, and 121.
No consent to the activity that forms the subject-matter of a charge under subsection (1) is valid.

For the purposes of the trafficking in persons offences, exploitation is defined in section 279.04 as follows:

For the purposes of sections 279.01 to 279.03, a person exploits another person if they
(a) cause them to provide, or offer to provide, labour or a service by engaging in conduct that, in all the circumstances, could reasonably be expected to cause the other person to believe that their safety or the safety of a person known to them would be threatened if they failed to provide, or offer to provide, the labour or service; or
(b) cause them, by means of deception or the use or threat of force or of any other form of coercion, to have an organ or tissue removed.

Under section 279.011, the *Criminal Code* also includes a specific offence for trafficking persons under the age of eighteen years:

(1) Every person who recruits, transports, transfers, receives, holds, conceals or harbours a person under the age of eighteen years, or exercises control, direction or influence over the movements of a person under the age of eighteen years, for the purpose of exploiting them or facilitating their exploitation is guilty of an indictable offence and liable
(a) to imprisonment for life and to a minimum punishment of imprisonment for a term of six years if they kidnap, commit an aggravated assault or aggravated sexual assault against, or cause death to, the victim during the commission of the offence; or
(b) to imprisonment for a term of not more than fourteen years and to a minimum punishment of imprisonment for a term of five years, in any other case.
(2) No consent to the activity that forms the subject-matter of a charge under subsection (1) is valid.

Other human trafficking offences included in the *Criminal Code* cover benefiting materially from trafficking in persons (279.02) and withholding or destroying documents (279.03):

279.02 Every person who receives a financial or other material benefit, knowing that it results from the commission of an offence under subsection 279.01(1), is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than ten years.

279.03 Every person who, for the purpose of committing or facilitating an offence under subsection 279.01(1), conceals, removes, withholds or destroys any travel document that belongs to another person or any document that establishes or purports to establish another person’s identity or immigration status is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a
term of not more than five years, whether or not the document is of Canadian origin or is authentic.7

Human trafficking is to be distinguished from human smuggling. The United Nations Protocol against the Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air, supplementing the Convention against Transnational Organized Crime, defines migrant smuggling as the “procurement, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit, of the illegal entry of a person into a State Party of which the person is not a national or a permanent resident”8 Migrant smuggling and human trafficking can be differentiated in four principal ways. First, migrant smuggling is always a transnational activity while trafficking in person need not be. Second, smuggled persons generally consent to being smuggled, while trafficked persons can never consent to the process, which forms the basis of human trafficking. Third, smuggled persons are generally free to do what they want once they have arrived in the country of destination, whereas trafficked persons have their liberty curtailed and are compelled to provide their labour. Finally, smugglers make their profits through the fees associated with their services; human traffickers, in contrast, profit through exploiting the labour or services of trafficked persons. However, as various policymakers and scholars have pointed out, when identifying who constitutes a transnational trafficked person, the distinction between human trafficking and illegal smuggling can sometimes be blurred, and a person who is smuggled may become a trafficked person at any point in the smuggling process.9

The 4 P’s: Prosecution, Prevention, Protection, and Partnerships

The UN Trafficking Protocol is characterized by the “3 Ps” approach to combating human trafficking: law enforcement measures to deter, detect, prosecute, and punish traffickers; prevention measures to reduce human trafficking; and protection and assistance measures for persons who have been trafficked. In Canada (and in many other countries), a fourth “P” – domestic and international partnerships – has long been advocated and constitutes a central component of the federal government’s anti-trafficking efforts.

In a 2009 report, entitled Global Report on Trafficking in Persons, the UN Office on Drugs and Crime commented positively on the enhanced legislative, institutional, and criminal justice responses among the 155 countries and territories analyzed in the document: “In 2003, only one third of the countries covered by this report had legislation against human trafficking; at the end of 2008, four-fifths did. The number of countries having anti-trafficking legislation more than doubled between 2003 and 2008 in response to the passage of the Protocol. In addition, 54% of responding countries have established a special anti-trafficking police unit, and more than half

7 Canada, Criminal Code, R.S.C., 1985, c. C-46, Sections 279.01 – 279.04. The Department of Justice Canada produced a “Trafficking in Persons Information Sheet for Law Enforcement” which provides an explanation of each of these Criminal Code provisions. See http://www.justice.gc.ca/eng/fs-sv/pdf/ht.pdf.
have developed a national action plan to deal with this issue.”

In providing its country reports, the number of prosecutions, as is the case in the U.S. State Department’s annual Trafficking in Persons (TIP) Reports (2001 – 2011), was also highlighted.

One critical response to this strong global movement on the legislative and law enforcement front has been persistent calls for the implementation of a much more human rights-centred approach to trafficking in persons. The UN Recommended Principles and Guidelines on Human Rights and Human Trafficking (2002), for example, stressed that the “human rights of trafficked persons shall be at the centre of all efforts to prevent and combat trafficking” and that “anti-trafficking measures shall not adversely affect the human rights and dignity of persons, in particular the rights of those who have been trafficked, and of migrants, internally displaced persons, refugees and asylum seekers.” Furthermore, this document emphasized that States, intergovernmental organizations, and NGOs should monitor and evaluate “the relationship between the intention of anti-trafficking laws, policies and interventions, and their real impact,” by “ensuring that distinctions are made between measures which actually reduce trafficking and measures which may have the effect of transferring the problem from one place or group to another.” Some international and national NGOs that have scrutinized the development of national and regional state-sponsored counter-trafficking strategies over the last decade have argued that the significant legislative and law enforcement response to combating human trafficking has not been matched by serious attention to the following key areas: the root causes of human trafficking; the complexities of transnational, regional, and domestic migrations into multiple sites, including sex work; the protection, assistance, and human rights of trafficked persons particularly those who are non-citizens in destination countries; and to the possible harmful impacts of certain anti-trafficking strategies, interventions, and campaigns on the human rights of marginalized, vulnerable, and stigmatized populations.

A number of these latter issues also surfaced in assessments of government- and NGO-supported anti-trafficking initiatives in the context of international mega sporting events.

---

11 For complete versions of the U.S. State Department’s annual Trafficking in Persons Reports from 2001 to 2011, see www.state.gov/g/tip/rls/tiprpt/.
IV. International Mega Sporting Events and Human Trafficking

Over the last seven years, the link between international sporting events and an increase in human trafficking particularly for the purpose of sexual exploitation has generated considerable interest and debate among government officials, academics, and NGOs at the international and national levels. The 2004 Athens Summer Olympic Games, the 2006 FIFA World Cup in Germany, the 2008 UEFA European Cup in Switzerland/Austria, the 2010 FIFA World Cup in South Africa, and the 2012 Summer Olympic Games in London have to date garnered the most attention. In 2007, the International Organization for Migration (IOM) released a report entitled, *Trafficking in Human Beings and the 2006 World Cup in Germany,* which constituted one of the first systematic analyses of this connection with a specific focus on transnational trafficking in women for the purpose of sexual exploitation within the context of four mega sporting events, including the 2004 Athens Summer Olympic Games and the 2006 FIFA World Cup in Germany. A review of the subsequent literature indicates that in the ideologically and politically charged world of anti-trafficking work, interpretations of existing data have tended to vary.

2004 Athens Summer Olympic Games

Available information on human trafficking in the context of the 2004 Athens Summer Olympic Games (13 – 29 August 2004) is limited. As noted in the aforementioned IOM report, in 2003, Terre Libere, an Italian-based NGO, predicted a rise in the “number of women being smuggled into Greece” in the year prior to the Olympic Games in order to satisfy a rise in demand for sexual services. Other reports suggest that Voice of America warned that as many as 20,000

---

14 An internet search suggested that human trafficking did not appear to have been a significant issue or focus of discussion prior to and during the following mega sporting events: the 2000 Sydney Summer Olympic Games (except mention of New South Wales police having raided “a number of Sydney brothels, massage parlours and strip clubs to find underage girls brought in from Vietnam, Thailand, and the Philippines to work as prostitutes.” See Mark McDonald, “Olympic flame fails to heat brothel trade: Despite legal prostitution, the sex business isn’t setting any world records in Sydney,” *Ottawa Citizen,* 30 September 2000, D5); the 2002 Salt Lake City Winter Olympic Games (except for some discussion of girls living in polygamist communities affiliated with the Fundamentalist Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints in Utah and surrounding states being trafficked to Canada for marriage to polygamous men in British Columbia. See Child Protection Project in Colorado and the International Human Rights Clinic at the New York University School of Law, “USA: Polygamy related abuses in Utah” 15 February 2002 at http://www.wluml.org/node/35); the 2006 Turin Winter Olympic Games; or the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games (although there was discussion of forced child labour, including in factories producing Olympic merchandise. David Barboza, “China says abusive child labor ring is exposed,” *New York Times,* 1 May 2008). It is also possible that publically accessible information in English is not available for all of these events. Similarly, there was little or no discussion of human trafficking prior to and during the FIFA World Cup in Paris in 1998 and in Korea/Japan in 2002, or the UEFA championships in Belgium/Netherlands in 2000 and in Portugal in 2004. Jana Hennig, Sarah Craggs, Frank Laczko and Fred Larsson, *Trafficking in Human Beings and the 2006 World Cup in Germany* (International Organization for Migration, 2007), at 11 indicate that, with respect to the 1998 FIFA World Cup in Paris and the 2004 UEFA championships in Portugal, no “significant” government, institutional, or NGO information on human trafficking was compiled or available.

15 A draft report of this study was released in September 2006; the research was funded by the Swedish International Development Agency (Sida).

16 Hennig, et al., *Trafficking in Human Beings and the 2006 World Cup,* 12.
women would be trafficked into the sex trade in Athens; in June 2004, the British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC) quoted one “expert in Greece” who had “information that traffickers will try to bring 2,000 extra women into the country and force them to work as prostitutes.”

In July 2003, controversy had also erupted when municipal authorities sought “to shut down 15 downtown brothels [in Athens] for being too close to schools and churches,” which resulted in protests by local sex workers affiliated with the prostitutes’ union, KEGE. While not explicitly identified in the press as an anti-trafficking measure, these proposed closures, as part of the city’s enforcement of brothel licensing regulations, were justified on the grounds that they would “stop illegal prostitution from expanding during the games”; protesters argued, however, that the closures would “feed the illegal sex trade before the Olympics.” In a final twist, the Greek Orthodox Church as well as the Gender Equality Ministers of Sweden, Norway, Finland, Iceland, Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania interpreted the requirement that Athens brothels be licensed as a move to promote “sex tourism” and “to increase brothel activities” during the Games. In response, the Ministers issued a “joint statement” in July 2003, which expressed their “abhorrence” at this plan and emphasized that it would “lead to more women being exploited and abused.”

In reviewing the available data contained in the Greek Ministry of Public Order’s 2004 Organized Crime report as well as in the IOM database in Athens, IOM researchers concluded that there were no references to “instances of trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation during the 2004 Olympic Games.” Furthermore, with respect to demand for paid sexual services, the Executive Director of one faith-based organization, Neo Zoi, Lost Coin: Association for the Support and Restoration of Individuals Involved in Prostitution in Athens, testified before a U.S. House of Representatives hearing that extensive NGO “street work during the Olympics yielded unexpected results: we were not meeting new victims of trafficking. Of the new faces, few were identified as victims of trafficking, and even fewer had entered the country recently. Our experience seemed to hold up around the city: no increase in prostitution around the Athens Olympics. The Greek Union of Prostitutes even reported a decrease in demand compared to the previous year.”

19 Hennig, et al., Trafficking in Human Beings and the 2006 World Cup, 12.
A subsequent 2007 report produced by the Canadian-based Future Group, entitled *Faster, Higher, Stronger: Preventing Human Trafficking at the 2010 Olympics*, cited police data from the Hellenic Ministry of Public Order, which indicated an increase in the number of trafficked persons identified in 2004 (181 persons), up from the 2003 (93 persons) and 2005 (137 persons) figures. While conceding that “there are numerous factors that can effect [sic] the number of known human trafficking victims,” the 95 per cent increase between 2003 and 2004 was emphasized, a correlation to the Olympic Games was strongly inferred, and the Greek government’s less “extensive” human trafficking prevention efforts prior to and during the event was suggested as the main cause. Further research, however, suggests that it is also possible that this rise was the result of Greek authorities multiplying their “efforts to fight sexual exploitation” and organized crime after receiving a Tier 3 ranking in the 2002 and 2003 U.S. State Department’s TIP Reports (upgraded to Tier 2 in September 2003) and Tier 2 Watch List status in the 2004 TIP Report. Various Greek government ministries did release information on law enforcement’s anti-trafficking operations between 2002 and 2004, including during and after the 2004 Olympic Games. Differing interpretations of scanty extant data aside, what can be said is that some Canadian journalists, politicians, faith-based groups, and NGOs picked up on the emphasized 95 per cent increase in 2004, re-circulated this percentage as directly connected to the 2004 Athens Olympic Games, and presented it as evidence that the risk of an increase in human trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation during the 2010 Vancouver Winter Olympic Games was a significant one.

---

24 For example, at least 25 Canadian and mainly British Columbia newspaper reports and other documents produced between November 2007 and February 2010 made the direct correlation.
2006 FIFA World Cup in Germany

Of all the past international sporting events under review, the 2006 FIFA World Cup in Germany (9 June to 9 July 2006) received the greatest international scrutiny, media coverage, and subsequent scholarly analysis. The controversy began when it was predicted in April 2005 that 40,000 “foreign prostitutes” would be trafficked into the country to service male sexual demand during the event. While the original source of the prediction is unclear, the estimate was widely circulated in the international media and generated widespread attention, including two hearings before the U.S. House of Representatives Committee on International Relations, Subcommittee on Africa, Global Human Rights and International Operations in May/June 2006 and a Coalition Against Trafficking in Women-sponsored international “Buying Sex Is Not a Sport!” on-line petition. Much of the discussion focused not only on the anticipated massive spike in human trafficking prior to the event, but also on the German government’s move in 2002 to officially legalize sex work which for opponents of legalization made it a ‘haven for sex trafficking’.

While some German officials, labour unions, and sex workers organizations expressed doubts about the predicted dramatic increase, international, European Union, and NGO pressure prompted the expansion and fortification of various prevention efforts in Germany, which began to be operationalized in the summer of 2005. These included extensive state-federal information sharing and intelligence gathering as well as border security and law enforcement measures, which involved extensive brothel and sex club raids in a number of German host cities. A coalition of women’s, human rights, and faith-based groups also mobilized, launching a series of government-funded public awareness campaigns and 24-hour telephone hotlines for trafficked persons and World Cup attendees.

In a January 2007 report to the Council of the European Union’s Multidisciplinary Group on Organized Crime, German officials stated that the anticipated increase in human trafficking for the purpose of forced prostitution did not materialize and in total, five cases were identified as possibly linked to the FIFA World Cup. They further noted that, while there was “an increase in the number of prostitutes … recorded at game venues and the surrounding areas,” the “police and to a large extent the special counselling services also noted that the increase in the number of punters [clients] which was forecast by some did not materialize and this was the reason why some prostitutes left before the 2006 World Cup was over.”

In light of these developments, the IOM researchers cited the concerns of some NGOs who maintained that the unfounded and


26 See Hennig et al., *Trafficking in Human Beings and the 2006 World Cup,* 17-20.

unrealistic estimates that circulated prior to the event could undermine future credibility of and support for the issue.\textsuperscript{28} In most post-World Cup assessments, however, researchers have generally evaluated the anti-trafficking measures and campaigns prior to the event in one of two ways: they have either lauded the extensive efforts of German authorities and NGOs as “an effective model for future large-scale international sporting events”;\textsuperscript{29} or they have maintained that whether or not this combination of measures prevented human trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation during the tournament is less important “than the fact that Germany acted” in response to that possibility.\textsuperscript{30}

There were two reports produced during the 2006 FIFA World Cup that sought to expand the conversation about the connection between this mega sporting event and human trafficking. In May 2006, the European Commission’s Expert Group on Trafficking in Human Beings presented a series of recommendations, many of which were echoed in the 2007 IOM report. In a cautionary note, the Expert Group emphasized that it “sees the World Football Cup as a specific moment in time with an increased international attention toward trafficking in human beings, which in its complexity and structural causes will not be solved by one-off activities around this or other similar events.” Also highlighted was “the need for facts-based and differentiated information as the basis for effective policies, avoiding to feed the myths – specifically on the numbers of victims of trafficking for sexual exploitation in connection with this event – circulating in the public.” The document also emphasized that a “careful distinction should be made between prostitution and trafficking,” presumably in response to the conflations that characterized many of the pre-World Cup national and international anti-trafficking public awareness campaigns. Finally, it stressed that, “it should be kept in mind that trafficking does not happen for the purpose of sexual exploitation only, but occurs in many other unregulated segments of the labour market, such as domestic work, the construction sector, the gastronomy, agricultural work and sweat shops. Some of these sectors play an important role in connection with such major international sports events.”\textsuperscript{31}

In 2006, Nivedita Prasad and Babette Rohner of Ban Ying, a Berlin-based organization founded in 1988 that runs a shelter for Southeast Asian women, a counseling centre for migrant and trafficked women, and an anti-trafficking coordination centre, circulated a statement in which they argued that there were a number of reasons why the 2006 FIFA World Cup was not a conducive environment for a massive increase in transnational human trafficking for the purpose of ‘forced prostitution’. The authors maintained that, given heightened levels of security and an enhanced enforcement presence in host cities as well as the substantial financial investment

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{28} Hennig, et al., \textit{Trafficking in Human Beings and the 2006 World Cup}, 29.
\end{itemize}
required to move women across borders, it would be too risky and not cost effective for traffickers to set up operations for a four-week period (or a two-week period in the case of the Olympics). They also challenged the notion that the influx of male spectators at mega sporting events necessarily resulted in a significant rise in demand for paid sexual services, suggesting that the priority of fans is by and large to watch the tournament. Anecdotal evidence from previous mega sporting events and world exhibitions (and perhaps most significantly in a period when anti-trafficking prevention measures and campaigns were not yet being implemented) tends to support this claim.\(^{32}\) Similar assertions emerged in some of the expert interviews conducted by the IOM researchers.\(^{33}\) However, a review of available literature suggests that there has been no evidence-based research conducted that has analyzed the fan-bases of or measured the often-assumed high male demand for paid sexual services during mega sporting events.

Prasad and Rohner raised a number of other concerns about the various anti-trafficking campaigns and measures initiated prior to the 2006 FIFA World Cup. First, they indicated that there had been significant government investment in various national prevention measures, including public awareness campaigns, but little or no investment in enhanced support and assistance for the predicted influx of trafficked women in the form of expanded counseling services and the creation of new shelters. Second, they noted that many Berlin-based organizations that were involved in pre-FIFA World Cup anti-trafficking campaigns and were establishing government-funded hot-lines had not previously been interested in the issue, leading to a “suspicion” that these organizations became involved in order to raise their own profiles. Third, in contrast to Tavella’s conclusion that the “sensationalism of inaccurate facts did not have a significant impact on the situation,”\(^ {34}\) Prasad and Rohner emphasized that more attention to the human rights impact of counter-trafficking measures prior to and during the 2006 World Cup was needed. For example, in the name of rescuing “foreign women” from situations of sexual exploitation, police in Berlin and in other host cities aggressively targeted sex workers, raided brothels (71 brothels were raided in Berlin alone) and sex clubs, and intensified checks on brothels and other establishments. These raids and interventions, however, yielded no evidence of human trafficking. In other words, as noted by the European Commission’s Expert Group on Trafficking in Human Beings, “all activities in connection with this or other similar events should not be misinterpreted or instrumentalised to discriminate against prostitutes or to further marginalize them, thus increasing their vulnerability to trafficking and other forms of abuse … All policies have to be assessed against their impact on human rights.” Finally, Prasad and Rohner questioned the enhanced gender and national profiling at border entry points prior to and
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\(^{32}\) Prasad and Rohner, “Dramatic Increase in Forced Prostitution?” 1-2. For example, Prasad and Rohner cited a German Press Agency report published in August 2000, which focused on Expo 2000 in Hanover and more specifically on “brothel owners who had opened up new houses for the start of the World Exhibition. But expectations were disappointed because business was much slower than before the World Exhibition.” In addition, another media report quoted women working in the some of the 400 legal brothels in Sydney during the 2000 Summer Olympics who reported that, “business is flat”; police also stated that Kings Cross, a notorious red light district, was “pretty quiet.” Mark McDonald, “Olympic flame fails to heat brothel trade,” *Ottawa Citizen*, 30 September 2000, D5.

\(^{33}\) Hennig et al., *Trafficking in Human Beings and the 2006 World Cup*, 24.

\(^{34}\) Tavella, “Sex Trafficking and the 2006 World Cup in Germany,” 217.
during the World Cup as a justifiable counter-human trafficking measure, arguing that such tactics violated human rights principles.\textsuperscript{35}

\textbf{2008 UEFA Championship in Switzerland/Austria}

In the aftermath of the 2006 FIFA World Cup and in the lead up to the UEFA championship in Switzerland/Austria in June 2008, a more cautious approach was undertaken. There were, for example, no predictions made about a significant rise in human trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation prior to and during the event. Furthermore, the Swiss government for one officially adopted a dual approach, which combined law enforcement and security measures with an NGO-sponsored information and awareness campaign, internet site, and hot line.\textsuperscript{36} In the latter case, the Swiss-based Euro 08 Campaign Against Trafficking in Women, consisting of a coalition of more than 25 NGOs, gender equality offices, faith-based organizations, and trade unions, sought to utilize the event to distribute information on and raise awareness about human trafficking among the general public and potential clients of sex workers. The campaign lasted from March to September 2008.\textsuperscript{37}

While emphasizing the importance of public awareness campaigns prior to and during the event and commending the Euro 08 Campaign for its focus on “improving protection mechanisms rather than calling for restrictive measures on immigration or prostitution,” La Strada International, a European network of anti-trafficking organizations, strongly criticized a highly graphic 60-second television ad, which was shown on “Swiss national television, at Switzerland’s four Euro 2008 stadiums and at public fan zones in Berne, Basel and Zurich.” It depicted bruised and frightened women being dragged by the hair into a dark auction pit and sold like cattle into the sex trade. La Strada International argued that the “sex slave campaign” ad was inappropriately sensationalistic and did a disservice to the complexities of human trafficking and of women’s experiences: “Notwithstanding the gross human rights violation that trafficking is, La Strada believes that agency and empowerment should be part of any human rights campaign, in particular its imagery. Depicting women only as vulnerable, weak and helpless, however, denies such agency and not only defies the complex picture that trafficking is, but can adversely affect the empowerment of women in general and trafficking women in particular.”\textsuperscript{38}

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{36} Swiss authorities noted there was no indication of an increase in human trafficking or legal prostitution at the 2006 FIFA World Cup in Germany, but that “the reasons for this were presumably the prevention campaigns of non-governmental organisations as well as tighter police controls.” See Swiss Federal Office of Police, “Dual Strategy for countering forced prostitution at UEFA EURO 2008,” Press Release, 26 January 2007; UEFA EURO 2008 Public Authorities Security Sector Coordination, The National Swiss Security Strategy for UEFA EURO 2008 (30 March 2007), 24. \\
\textsuperscript{37} See “Euro 08 Campaign against Trafficking in Women” at http://www.frauenhandeleuro08.ch/en/home. \\
\end{flushright}
Key Recommendations

Similar discussions and debates about the connection between the presence of mega sporting events and an increase in human trafficking for the purposes of sexual exploitation as well as forced labour surfaced in the lead up to the 2010 FIFA World Cup in South Africa and have emerged in preparations for the 2012 Summer Olympic Games in London and the 2014 FIFA World Cup in Brazil.\(^{39}\) Given these ongoing trends, the key recommendations developed by, for example, the IOM and the European Commission’s Expert Group on Trafficking in Human Beings in the aftermath of the much-scrutinized 2006 FIFA World Cup as discussed above continue to have relevance. While various scholarly assessments of the event have emphasized the important role of comprehensive anti-trafficking legislation and policies, intelligence gathering, coordination between national and regional enforcement agencies and between enforcement and NGOs, as well as awareness-raising and information campaigns, other concrete recommendations included the following:

- The implementation of an early and reliable assessment of human trafficking based on available data in the host country/region, and the development of anti-trafficking prevention strategies and efforts prior to and during mega sporting events that are consistent with such data (IOM and Expert Group);
- The need to take into account the potential for human trafficking for the purpose of forced labour and not only sexual exploitation (IOM and Expert Group);
- The provision of information to persons “working in sectors which are vulnerable to trafficking” (Expert Group);
- The need to ensure that the assistance and protections of the human rights of trafficked persons are as integral to anti-trafficking efforts as crime and border controls (Expert Group);
- For the “better coordination of campaigns and activities,” the development of a “single,” “comprehensive,” “sustainable,” and “professionally organized” NGO awareness campaign with a consistent message (IOM);
- The creation of a coordinated media approach in order to ensure that accurate information about human trafficking is disseminated (IOM);
- The need to ensure that anti-trafficking prevention strategies, measures, as well as public awareness and media campaigns implemented prior to and during mega sporting events do not negatively impact the human rights of or discriminate against foreign nationals, or marginalized and stigmatized citizens. In other words, “anti-trafficking laws, policies and practices” should “be assessed against their impact on human rights” (Expert Group); and
- Organizers of such international sporting events “should commit themselves to guarantee the absence of the exploitation of human beings in connection with any kind of services provided and products sold under license in relation to the event concerned” (Expert Group).

\(^{39}\) See, for example, London Councils and GLE, *The 2012 Games and human trafficking: Identifying possible risks and relevant good practice from other cities* (January 2011).
V. Canadian and British Columbia Context: Pre-Olympic Counter-Trafficking Frameworks

Federal Level

In 1999, the Canadian federal government established the Interdepartmental Working Group on Trafficking in Persons (IWGTIP), which was initially mandated to coordinate Canada’s negotiating position on the provisions of the UN Trafficking Protocol. Previously co-chaired by the Departments of Justice and Foreign Affairs and International Trade, it is currently co-chaired by the Department of Justice Canada and Public Safety Canada and consists of representatives from 18 departments and agencies. Since February 2004 and with the establishment of human trafficking offences in IRPA (2002) and the Criminal Code (2005) discussed above, the IWGTIP has been officially authorized to coordinate federal anti-trafficking efforts through policy development, information exchange, and facilitating cooperation. In keeping with its international commitments, the IWGTIP has adopted a 4 “Ps” approach to combating human trafficking – prosecution, prevention, protection, and partnerships.

In September 2005, the RCMP Human Trafficking National Coordination Centre (HTNCC) located in the Immigration and Passport Branch at RCMP Headquarters in Ottawa was established. Its strategic objective is to provide a focal point for law enforcement in their efforts to combat and disrupt criminal organizations involved in human trafficking. HTNCC’s mandate includes developing and coordinating human trafficking activities and initiatives related to the four pillars of prevention, protection, prosecution, and partnerships with domestic and international agencies, NGOs, and local communities. In order to accomplish these goals, the HTNCC has five main priorities: develop tools, protocols, and guidelines to facilitate human trafficking investigations; coordinate national awareness/training and anti-trafficking initiatives; identify and maintain lines of communication, identify issues for integrated coordination and provide support; devise and maintain international partnerships and coordinate international initiatives; and coordinate intelligence and facilitate the dissemination of all sources of information.

While public education on human trafficking has been an ongoing federal prevention activity since 2004 particularly with the production and domestic and international dissemination of an anti-trafficking brochure, “Don’t Become a Victim of the Illegal Trade in People” (in 14 languages) and a poster, “People for sale in Canada?” (in 17 languages), in January 2009, the RCMP launched a national public awareness campaign, which included a short video, entitled “Human Trafficking in Canada,” an information pamphlet, as well as two posters, “I’m Not for Sale” and “Here, You Have Rights.” Furthermore, in recent years, the HTNCC has taken a lead
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40 These federal departments and agencies include: Canada Border Services Agency; Canadian Heritage; Canadian International Development Agency; Criminal Intelligence Service Canada; Citizenship and Immigration Canada; Department of Justice Canada; Department of National Defence; Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade; Health Canada; Human Resources and Skills Development Canada; Indian and Northern Affairs Canada; Passport Canada; Public Prosecution Service of Canada; Public Safety Canada; RCMP; Statistics Canada; Financial Transactions Reports Analysis Centre of Canada; and Status of Women Canada.
role in developing law enforcement training materials on human trafficking, such as a video and a toolkit, which have been distributed nation-wide, and in collaboration with other federal departments, has provided training to enforcement officers and other officials.

Besides public awareness and training, federal departments, in the years leading up to the 2010 Vancouver Olympic Games, identified and initiated more targeted anti-trafficking monitoring and prevention activities. In August 2007, for example, the Vancouver Sun reported that it had obtained an August 2006 internal Canada Border Services Agency report through the Access to Information Act, which disclosed that the 2010 Winter Olympics “could lead to an increase in student-visa fraud as people in the sex trade recruited young women from overseas to work as prostitutes during the event.” The CBSA spokesperson did not comment on “what, if any steps the agency [was] taking to prevent the trafficking of foreign women during the Olympics, other than to say the agency [was] constantly on guard for immigration infractions.” A June 2006 CBSA report further linked “elements within the international student population” to “serious risks to public safety and national security while they are in Canada,” such as “drug trafficking, firearms offences, prostitution and human smuggling.” There was, however, no further discussion in the print media of this potential risk.\(^{41}\) In addition, in May 2009, the HTNCC began discussions with the San Francisco-based Craigslist concerning its exotic services section, which had been identified by some anti-trafficking groups as “a portal for the sale of victims of human trafficking in Canada.” After pressure from provincial and federal officials, Craigslist removed the “erotic services” section from its Canadian websites in December 2010.\(^{42}\)

In the area of assistance and protection of transnational trafficked persons, Citizenship and Immigration Canada (CIC) introduced, in May 2006, a special 120-day fee-exempt temporary resident permit (TRP) for trafficked persons, which included access to healthcare services and counseling through the Interim Federal Health Program. In June 2007, the duration of the permits was extended to 180 days and recipients were extended the option of applying for a fee-exempt work permit. In addition, trafficked persons who have held a TRP for five years may qualify to stay in Canada permanently under the permit holders’ class. At any point in time, a trafficked person may also make a refugee claim, or apply for humanitarian and compassionate consideration to become a permanent resident.\(^{43}\) Furthermore, in the year prior to the commencement of 2010 Olympic Games, Public Safety Canada and the RCMP entered into a partnership with Canadian Crime Stoppers and launched an initiative designed to encourage members of the public to report suspected cases of transnational and domestic human trafficking. Vic Toews, Minister of Public Safety, officially announced the launch of the “Blue Blindfold” campaign on 7 September 2010.\(^{44}\)

\(^{41}\) Chad Skelton, “Border cops fear hookers could enter on student visas in 2010 Olympics,” Vancouver Sun, 1 August 2007; “Winter Olympics sex demand could increase visa fraud: report,” Ottawa Citizen, 1 August 2007.

\(^{42}\) Camille Bains, “Craigslist discussing changes to its online sex ads in Canada,” Globe and Mail, 18 May 2009; Derek Abma, “Craigslist deletes ‘erotic services’ from Canadian sites, racy ads remain,” Ottawa Citizen, 18 December 2010.

\(^{43}\) See http://www.cic.gc.ca/english/information/applications/trp.asp.

Over the last decade, various federal departments have also contracted researchers to investigate different components of trafficking in persons in the Canadian context. Status of Women Canada commissioned five studies in 1999, all of which focused on transnational human trafficking;\(^{45}\) in 2002 and 2004, the RCMP contracted researchers to examine the role of organized crime in transnational human trafficking/smuggling;\(^{46}\) in 2005, the Department of Justice commissioned a study on assistance and support needs of transnational and domestic trafficked persons, including Aboriginal women and girls, from the perspective of Canadian frontline service workers;\(^{47}\) and, in 2008, Public Safety Canada entered into a contribution agreement with the International Bureau for Children’s Rights who prepared a research paper entitled, “Child Trafficking in Canada: An Overview,” that explored the phenomenon of child trafficking in Canada, including the role of key stakeholders in the area of prevention. Furthermore, reports produced by various federal departments have offered periodic assessments of the patterns and scope of transnational and/or domestic trafficking in the Canadian context. These have included *United States-Canada: Bi-National Assessment of Trafficking in Persons* (2006), prepared jointly by the Department of Justice Canada, Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness Canada, Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade, the U.S. Human Smuggling and Trafficking Center, the U.S. Departments of Justice and Homeland Security; *Organized Crime and Domestic Trafficking in Persons in Canada* (2008), a strategic intelligence brief compiled by the Criminal Intelligence Service Canada that provided an overview and analysis of the role of well-organized crime networks in the trafficking of “Canadian-born women and under-age girls inter and intra-provincially, and in some instances to the United States (US), destined for the sex trade”;\(^{48}\) and *Human Trafficking in Canada* (2010), produced by RCMP Criminal Intelligence in collaboration with HTNCC.

In light of the aforementioned federal priorities and initiatives, the research team reviewed the websites of all federal government departments represented on the IWGTIP in February/March 2010. Taking into account that departments have varying roles on the Interdepartmental Working Group, the Department of Justice, the RCMP, Citizenship and Immigration Canada, Canada Border Services, Public Safety Canada, and Foreign Affairs and International Trade Canada in particular provide publicly accessible information on human trafficking. Since partnering with Public Safety and the RCMP, Crime Stoppers also posted information on trafficking in persons on its website. In addition, in the lead up to the 2010 Winter Olympic Games, the Citizenship and Immigration Canada website provided specific information on human trafficking as it


\(^{47}\) Jacqueline Oxman-Martinez et al., *Victims of Trafficking in Persons: Perspectives from the Canadian Community Sector* (August 2005).

\(^{48}\) Criminal Intelligence Service Canada, *Organized Crime and Domestic Trafficking in Canada* (19 December 2008), 1-5.
pertained to the Games, including the availability of temporary resident permits.\(^4\) There was no information on trafficking in persons within the context of the 2010 Olympic Games on the federal government’s Canada Games.ca website, but information on the Vancouver 2010 Integrated Security Unit was provided.

Human trafficking has also been a focus of attention among federal ministers and the years leading up to the 2010 Olympics were no exception. In early December 2006, Joy Smith, Conservative MP for Kildonan-St. Paul, introduced a motion in the House of Commons calling on the government “to immediately adopt a comprehensive strategy to combat the trafficking of persons worldwide,” which would tackle cross-border trafficking into the sex trade in Canada and the trafficking of Canadian women and youth to other countries. Lending further urgency to her motion, she emphasized that “major sporting events, such as Olympic Games, are havens for human trafficking, with criminals bringing young women into the cities ahead of time to sell sex to visitors and athletes” and that the 2010 Olympic Games “will be no different.”\(^5\) In addition, after a round of consultations with various stakeholders (law enforcement, immigration, NGOs, faith-based groups, and academics), the House of Commons’ Standing Committee on the Status of Women Canada released a report in February 2007, entitled *Turning Outrage into Action to Address Trafficking for the Purpose of Sexual Exploitation in Canada*, which included 33 recommendations. While recognizing that “trafficking of persons across international borders also has significant implications for Canada,” the Standing Committee’s main focus was on domestic trafficking and particularly the trafficking of Aboriginal women and girls into the sex industry. In addition, even though the Committee acknowledged that human trafficking occurred in various labour sites, it “considered that the particularly egregious abuse and degradation involved in trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation warranted the Committee’s full attention.” In defining prostitution as “closely linked to trafficking in persons,” the Committee recommended that counter-trafficking efforts in the areas of prevention, protection, and prosecution be significantly enhanced. As reported in the *Toronto Star*, during the course of the hearings, several witnesses who testified before the Standing Committee argued that Canada would face “an ‘explosion’” in cross-border “human trafficking in the run-up to the 2010 Winter Olympics, with women brought in from abroad to work as prostitutes.” They called for “Canada to boost measures to combat the illegal trade,” by allocating more resources to law enforcement, community groups, foreign aid, and education of potential and future clients of sex workers.\(^6\)

Three months later, Bill C-57 was introduced in the House of Commons, which would amend *IRPA* and grant immigration officers discretionary power “to refuse to authorize foreign nationals to work in Canada if they are deemed to be at risk of … being subjected to humiliating or degrading treatment, including sexual exploitation” or to be “vulnerable to human
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trafficking.” Although not explicitly stated in the proposed Bill, the then Minister of Citizenship and Immigration made it clear that this amendment was mainly directed at “foreign women” applying for the controversial temporary exotic dancers work visas. While the proposed Bill drew criticism from various sectors (including exotic dancers advocacy groups, strip club owners, and the Canadian Council for Refugees), the amendment was reintroduced as Bill C-45 in June 2009. In January 2009, Joy Smith, Conservative MP, introduced Bill C-268, an Act to amend the Criminal Code (minimum sentence for offences involving trafficking of persons under the age of eighteen years). The bill was passed in the House of Commons on 30 September 2009 and, despite Mrs. Smith’s hope that it would be passed prior to the Vancouver Olympics, the Bill faced some opposition in Senate, but did pass in June 2010. Finally, in a November 2009 statement on the risk of an increase in human trafficking during the Olympic Games, Public Safety Minister Peter Van Loan took a more cautionary tone, asserting that “We have not seen any evidence of any special human trafficking plans that organized crime, say, might be utilizing around the Olympics, but it is a focus of our attention.”

**Provincial Level**

Given that British Columbia and more specifically Vancouver were identified as areas in which trafficking in persons was prevalent, on 1-4 November 2004, the National Crime Prevention Centre in the Department of Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness (in conjunction with the BC Assistant Deputy Minister’s Committee on Prostitution and the Sexual Exploitation of Youth) hosted two roundtables – the Vancouver Roundtable on Trafficking in Persons which focused on both transnational and domestic trafficking, as well as the BC Research Roundtable which focused on adult prostitution, youth sexual exploitation, the over-representation of Aboriginal women and youth in the sex trade, and the prevalence of violence in the industry. At this point, the 2010 Vancouver Olympic Games as a possible magnet for both transnational and domestic human trafficking was not yet on the national or provincial agenda. In addition, on 8 December 2006, in a major joint operation, 200 RCMP and Vancouver police officers as well as members of the Integrated Border Enforcement Team conducted a raid of 18 massage parlours located in Coquitlam, Surrey, Richmond, Burnaby, and Vancouver, all of which were suspected of being connected to “the sex trade, organized crime and human trafficking operations.” Of the

---


54 “Canadian government gears to curb human trafficking during Olympics,” Canada Updates, 26 November 2009.

78 women identified, none were deemed to be trafficked persons or in contravention of IRPA. While enforcement officials maintained that until full interviews could be conducted to determine if the women were potential trafficked persons or possible perpetrators, certain procedures needed to be followed, critics emphasized that the women were arrested, handcuffed, photographed, and interrogated in violation of their privacy rights and their immediate and future entitlement to work was significantly disrupted. One NGO further indicated that its outreach work among women working in the massage parlours was also severely interrupted. These raids were not, however, couched as a pre-Olympic Games operation.

In July 2007, the BC Office to Combat Trafficking in Persons (OCTIP) was established under the auspices of BC’s Ministry of Public Safety and Solicitor General. Its mandate is to assume overall coordination of British Columbia’s strategy to address human trafficking. In that capacity, the office has developed partnerships with various provincial ministries, federal departments, municipal governments, law enforcement agencies, and NGOs in an effort to provide “an integrated and permanent response to human trafficking in B.C.” based on the 4 Ps approach. In addition, on 29-30 October 2008, OCTIP hosted an international conference in Vancouver, “Combating Human Trafficking: Cooperating to Build Best Practices in BC and Beyond,” which featured speakers from the United Kingdom, the United States, Australia, and Canada. In the lead up to the 2010 Olympic Games, media reports indicated that OCTIP staff, like local law enforcement (the RCMP and the Vancouver Police Department), were cautious in making public predictions about an increase in human trafficking prior to and during the event. While taking a business as usual approach during this period, OCTIP staff did, however, engage in extensive public education about human trafficking, as well as in the training of frontline service workers in the region. The Office also produced reference pocket cards, which were distributed among service providers; the cards are available in 12 languages and contain information about human trafficking, including OCTIP’s toll free number.

---


In reviewing pertinent provincial and municipal websites, and with the exception of general information on human trafficking included on the OCTIP website, relevant BC ministries, law enforcement agencies (the Vancouver Police Department and the RCMP Integrated Security Unit), and VANOC did not post specific information on human trafficking in the context of the 2010 Winter Olympic Games.

VI. Media and Online Information: Pre-Olympic Anti-Trafficking Discourses and Campaigns on the Lower Mainland

Vancouver received the bid for the 2010 Winter Olympic and Paralympic Game in July 2003. Analysis of the national and local print media suggests that NGO and public concerns about an anticipated spike in transnational and increasingly domestic trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation during this mega sporting event began to emerge in early June 2006 – one week before the much-scrutinized FIFA World Cup commenced in Germany (9 June to 9 July 2006). In the years leading up to the Olympic Games, there were two main Vancouver-based coalitions that initiated campaigns that were designed to address what each perceived would constitute the most critical side-effects of hosting the Olympic Games. The first coalition included sex worker organizations and advocates that concentrated on devising strategies to enhance sex worker safety during the event and advocated for sex worker input and participation in the development of anti-trafficking campaigns and initiatives. The other consisted of abolitionist, faith-based, and affiliated groups that warned that there was a significant risk of a surge in ‘sex trafficking’ prior and during the Games, lobbied the federal and provincial governments to augment their human trafficking prevention and intervention measures, and sought to raise public awareness about how an expected spike in male sexual demand for paid sexual services during the event would fuel both transnational and domestic trafficking of women and youth into Vancouver’s sex industry. It is difficult to establish the extent to which the international media picked up and reported on these ideologically opposed campaigns and the attendant debates. A database and internet search of key English-language newspapers in the United States and in Europe suggests that, unlike the 2006 FIFA World Cup, this was a fairly localized debate in the context of British Columbia and to a lesser extent in Canada.

Sex Worker Safety: Cooperative Brothel Campaign and Harm Reduction

In November 2007, a group of Vancouver sex workers affiliated with the BC Coalition of Experiential Communities (later renamed the West Coast Co-operative of Sex Industry Professionals) announced that, in anticipation of the 2010 Olympic Games and subject to the approval of the federal government, they hoped to establish a cooperative brothel in the city on an experimental basis for a two-year period. In the longer term, the group envisioned opening four more brothels as a way to provide adult sex workers (women, men, and transgendered) with a “safer working environment when the world comes to visit in 2010.” NGO and political
supporters of the creation of a collaboratively owned and “worker-controlled safe space to conduct sex work” maintained that the initiative would offer one mechanism to reduce the violence experienced by street-based sex workers, provide access to an array of support services, occupational health and safety training, and minimum labour standards, and address concerns about the possible impact of enhanced security and traffic rerouting during the Olympics Games on street-based sex workers, such as displacement “into more isolated areas.”

Critics of the proposal, however, argued at public forums and in the media that the creation of brothels would not reduce violence and abuse in the sex industry; rather such a move would entrench and normalize prostitution, legitimize the operations of “pimps and traffickers,” and contribute to creating the conditions for a spike in ‘sex trafficking’ during the 2010 Olympic Games. As an alternative strategy, they called for a legal crackdown on “pimps, johns, and those running unofficial prostitution rings such as massage parlours and escort services,” as well as comprehensive social and economic supports to assist women in the sex trade, such as “education and employment strategies for marginalized women, more female-specific de-tox beds, affordable housing, comprehensive exit programs, and preventative education campaigns.”

In February 2008, the federal Justice Minister announced that the Conservative government was “not in the business of legalizing brothels” and would not approve the initiative.

Other Vancouver-based sex worker organizations focused their attention on strategies to address the safety of their constituents during the 2010 Olympic Games. PACE, for example, announced plans to offer media training sessions for sex workers in November 2009. These sessions would cover such issues as sex trade workers’ rights around media, public photography and interview consent. In addition, in June 2009, WISH Drop-In Center and PACE mounted a successful campaign for the restoration of provincial funding of the Mobile Access Project (MAP) van, which patrols the Downtown Eastside “seven nights a week from 10:30 pm to 5:30 am.”
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59 Joyce Arthur and Tamara O’Doherty, “A 2010 deadline for prostitution; Decriminalization and a sex worker cooperative in time for the Games would provide safety and equal rights,” Vancouver Sun, 6 December 2007; Jody Paterson, “Sex workers should be able to ply their trade in safety; Prostitutes deserve a safe workplace just like any other worker,” The Gazette (Montreal), 4 February 2008; “Prostitutes win right to form business co-op,” Vancouver Sun, 16 February 2008; Peter Tupper, “Vancouver’s Sex Trade, 2010,” The Tyee, 12 August 2008.


61 Christina Montgomery, “No ‘co-op’ brothel before the Games, say Tories,” The Province (Vancouver), 8 February 2008. For a critical response to this decision, see Joyce Arthur, “Political posturing won’t protect sex workers,” Vancouver Sun, 12 February 2008.

staff “dispense coffee, juice, conversation, along with condoms and clean needles to sex-trade workers,” and in general, the project has played a crucial role in providing outreach and support services for street-based sex workers in the neighbourhood. Finally, in June 2009, a research study, entitled Human Trafficking, Sex Work Safety and the 2010 Games, which was funded by OCTIP and the Vancouver Police Department and commissioned by Vancouver’s Sex Industry Worker Safety Action Group (SIWSAG), argued that the link between mega sporting events and an increase in human trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation was not substantiated by evidence from previous international events. Among its recommendations, the report called for a “broad-based” public awareness campaign on trafficking in persons for the purpose of sexual exploitation prior to the 2010 Olympic Games, with a focus on “prevention, early detection and intervention.” It also recommended a number of concrete measures designed to address sex worker safety, the potential harmful effects of the Games’ enhanced security apparatus, such as the displacement, increased isolation, and criminalization of street-based sex workers, and the need to achieve a balance between enforcement and rights in human trafficking interventions. In these latter cases, direct collaboration among sex worker organizations, community groups, enforcement officials, local government, and emergency responders was identified as critical.

Deterring Human Trafficking and Curbing Male Sexual Demand

In the four years leading up to the 2010 Olympic Games, growing concerns among NGOs and faith-based groups about the heightened risk of transnational and domestic human trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation prior to and during the event generated a number of separate campaigns and initiatives. In its 2007 report, Faster, Higher, Stronger: Preventing Human Trafficking at the 2010 Olympics, the Future Group recommended that the federal and British Columbia governments, in conjunction with OCTIP, adopt a four-pronged approach to address the risk: “deterring traffickers and potential sex users through effective public awareness campaigns before, during, and after the Olympics”; “disrupting trafficking networks and prosecuting traffickers through a coordinated and pro-active law enforcement response at the local, provincial and federal levels”; “preventing human trafficking and enhancing border integrity,” which would include training of border security agents in identification; and ensuring that appropriate protection measures for trafficked persons were available should the need arise.65 In a similar vein, a April 2009 report released by the Evangelical Fellowship of Canada in Ottawa entitled, Human Trafficking: A Report on Modern Day Slavery in Canada, urged the
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Canadian government to enhance its efforts and invest more resources in the areas of prevention, prosecution, and protection in the lead up to the Olympic Games, and in a joint statement issued in December 2009, Anglican and Roman bishops in Vancouver expressed “concerns that human trafficking may cast a shadow over the Winter Olympics.”66 Finally, the Citizens’ Summit on Human Trafficking, a coalition of 23 Vancouver-based organizations and individuals, released a declaration, “One Too Many,” in April 2009, in which it advocated, among other demands, two preventative measures to address the predicted increase in male demand for “paid sex” during the Games. These included an agreement “between Craigslist and the RCMP/Department of Justice” to establish “a system to monitor and track online postings back to users in the case of human trafficking investigations”; and “the establishment of educational materials directed to men on the consequences of human trafficking including criminal penalties. This could take the form of a warning card, distributed at borders alongside visitor’s permits, at social gathering venues or mailed in ticket packages for Olympic events.”67

In addition to lobbying the federal and provincial governments, faith-based groups focused their energies on raising public awareness about the risk of human trafficking within the context of the 2010 Winter Olympic Games. In November 2007, a conference, sponsored by Catholic, Anglican, Salvation Army, and other faith-based groups, was held in Vancouver to discuss human trafficking, “the darker side of the Olympics,” and the role of religious institutions in education and prevention.68 This was followed by a public awareness forum entitled, Human Trafficking – Why?” held at Richmond’s St. Joseph the Worker Church Hall in March 2008.69 In January 2009, the Canadian Religious Conference, which represents superiors of Canadian Catholic congregations, announced that it had created 1,000 kits, “We are a Global Village – Human Trafficking and the 2010 Olympics Games,” in English and French to be distributed to among secondary students. “In this kit,” said one representative, “we are trying to sensitize secondary school students as to the root causes and to make them aware as to why they should pressure governments to do as much as they can to eliminate trafficking.”70

Two public awareness campaigns in particular generated considerable local attention. The first was the “Buying Sex is Not a Sport” campaign, which was officially launched by REED, a Christian-based organization, in May 2009 and focused on stemming “the tide of human trafficking” during the 2010 Winter Olympics by directly targeting “male demand” for paid sexual services. Prior to and during the Olympics, REED and its partnering groups sought to raise awareness about this connection through community-based public and media forums (of which 12 were held on the Lower Mainland, Edmonton, and Toronto between May 2009 and February 2010), posters, t-shirts, buttons, as well as silent direct actions at various venues during

67 “One Is Too Many: A Citizens’ Summit on Human Trafficking at the Vancouver 2010 Olympic Games and Beyond” (released online, April 2009).
the Olympics; for example, one was held outside of the No. 5 Orange Club on 13 February and another outside of Canada Hockey Place on 21 February. These silent protests, however, drew criticism from one exotic dancer, who argued that “spreading the message that exotic dancers in Vancouver are sex slaves” was not only “demeaning,” but it also put “dancers at risk” and interfered “with their ability to make a living.”\footnote{For full details of this campaign, see http://embracedignity.org/. See also Daphne Bramham, “Former prostitute fighting to ban sex trade from 2010 Olympics,” Nelson Daily News, 3 June 2009; Sandra Thomas, “Exotic dancer denounces protest,” Vancouver Courier, 26 February 2010.}

The other was the Salvation Army’s controversial “The Truth Isn’t Sexy” campaign which was launched in Vancouver in the fall of 2008. In an effort to raise awareness about the “face of sex trafficking,” it included billboard, transit shelter, and men’s washroom ads depicting women being brutalized by “pimps” and “trafficickers.” Another series of ads were directed at the “demand side of the equation: johns,” and featured an image of a young woman, a letter addressed to “dear john,” and a tag line, “I am slave. Save me.”\footnote{Eve Lazarus, “Salvation Army Renews Hard-Hitting Sex Traffic Ads,” Marketer News, 30 September 2009. Examples of the ads included in this campaign can be accessed at http://www.mercercreative.com/Our-Activism/Salvation-Army or at http://www.thattruthisntsexy.ca/.}

Without diminishing the seriousness of trafficking in persons, Vancouver-based sex worker activists and advocacy organizations, as will be discussed in greater detail below, were critical of this “shock and awe” campaign and were concerned about its effects on local sex workers.\footnote{See, for example, BC Coalition of Experiential Communities, “Salvation Army Tells Untruths,” 16 December 2008; Mark Hasiu, “Pro-prostitution lobby wages war on Salvation Army; Protesters will target prayer vigils,” Vancouver Courier, 9 September 2009; Kelly Sinoski, “Sex trade workers decry Salvation Army posters: Graphic Images wrongly portray them as slaves, they say,” Vancouver Sun, 25 September 2009; Esther Shannon, FIRST, “Rights Not Rescue: An Open Letter to the Salvation Army,” rabble.ca, 24 September 2009; Canada News, “Vancouver sex workers angry at Sally Ann ads,” 7 October 2009.}

**Summary Discussion**

As indicated by the above overview, there was no coordinated effort at the grassroots level to address trafficking in persons prior to and during the 2010 Olympic Games. As presented in the national and print media, the two main coalitions involved were clearly ideologically divided on prostitution/sex work, held divergent understandings of what constitutes trafficking in persons, and advocated conflicting strategies to address sex worker safety and human trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation. Furthermore, with the exception of Senator Mobina Jaffer’s public interventions on the issue of forced labour and some newspaper coverage of the Canada Line case involving the exploitation of Latin American workers, the possibility of trafficking in persons into other labour sites (for example, in transportation and sports infrastructure construction, and hospitality services) connected with the Olympic Games did not receive the same degree of media attention and stakeholder scrutiny.\footnote{Senator Mobina Jaffer, “2010 Olympics: Foreign Sex Trafficking Not Really the Main Concern – It’s About Forced Labour” (10 December 2008).} There also did not appear to be extensive public monitoring of or concern about the working conditions under which Third World and particularly Chinese workers produced the various consumer goods available at
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Olympic venues and online based on international labour standards and the principles of ethical purchasing practices.75

VII. Interview Data: Key Findings

With the above background in mind, this section provides a sector and thematic analysis of the key findings GAATW researchers gleaned from the 61 telephone, in-person, and e-mail interviews conducted between February and August 2010.

Pre-Olympic Preparation and Priorities: Federal, Regional, Provincial, and Local Anti-Trafficking Measures and Initiatives

The research team contacted 32 federal informants, including representatives of various federal departments who sit on the IWGTIP, as well as politicians and senators involved in national discussions on human trafficking and legislative initiatives in Canada. In total, we conducted 13 telephone and e-mail interviews and received information from one additional contact at the federal level. In some instances where several members of one federal department sit on the IWGTIP, one representative was identified as the spokesperson for that department for the purposes of this research. While recognizing that the portfolios of members of federal departments represented on the IWGTIP vary, the research team did not speak to members of all federal departments due to a lack of response to our letters of invitation; in one case, significant personnel shifts inhibited participation. Nonetheless, researchers did interview one federal politician as well as representatives from key departments involved in specific aspects of federal anti-trafficking initiatives, including preparations for the 2010 Winter Olympic Games. These included Public Safety Canada, Justice Canada, Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA), Citizenship and Immigration (CIC), RCMP, Human Resources and Skills Development Canada (HRSDC), and Statistics Canada. In addition, out of 8 regional CBSA, CIC, and RCMP representatives contacted, researchers conducted interviews with 2 Vancouver-based CIC officials and 2 members of the RCMP E Division, Immigration and Passport Unit, in order to obtain a more local perspective.76 Finally, 11 representatives from various BC government and other agencies and 3 members of the Vancouver Police Department (VPD) were contacted; in-person or telephone interviews were conducted with 7 staff members in four provincial offices, and with 2 VPD officers.77

76 One RCMP E Division, Immigration and Passport Unit officer contacted was unavailable and could not participate in this study, and the three regional CBSA officers contacted either declined to be interviewed and deferred to their federal colleagues, or did not respond to our letters of invitation.
77 Representatives from four provincial agencies contacted did not respond to our letters of invitation, and one VPD officer contacted deferred to a colleague who had greater expertise in the field of human trafficking.
Federal and Regional Levels

Most federal government officials interviewed were very cognizant of “significant stakeholder and parliamentary interest in the potential relationship between the 2010 Vancouver Olympics and trafficking in persons” as well as concerns articulated by some NGOs, faith-based groups, and private citizens about an anticipated increase in human trafficking, particularly for the purpose of sexual exploitation, prior to and during the event. Media reports and a high volume of letters written to federal ministers were cited as key sources of information. In terms of reference points for strategic planning prior the Games, a number of federal contacts indicated that they relied on internal intelligence data as well as available information on the lessons learned and preventative measures implemented by nations that had hosted previous international sporting events. Based on these data, federal interviewees in general remained cautious about making definitive predictions about whether the 2010 Vancouver Olympic Games would precipitate an increase in transnational and domestic human trafficking. Noting that mega sporting events do generate the short term transnational as well as inter- and intra-provincial movements of people as athletes, spectators, and workers, some did point out that available information suggested a low risk of an increase in human trafficking, particularly transnational trafficking in persons for sexual exploitation, prior to and during the 2010 Olympic Games. One of the main reasons identified was that existing evidence indicated that human traffickers tend to operate in and to prefer low risk and high profit environments; higher levels of security, an increased law enforcement presence, the short duration of the event, and a more family-centred fan base particularly at Winter Olympics would not provide optimum conditions. In fact, one RCMP E Division, Immigration and Passport Unit officer pointed out that he had interviewed two “traffickers” prior to the Olympic Games and asked them about the feasibility of trafficking women for the purpose of sexual exploitation to the Lower Mainland for the event. The response he received was as follows:

“They said, are you crazy? Why would I bring girls here to the most expensive city in North America to work an event that is a family event … They said do you have any idea about how much it costs to set up an apartment here. It’s $6,000 normally, but during the Olympics, if you want me to set up a bawdy house here, it is going to be $25,000 for two and a half weeks. I am going to fly girls in here and it is a family event and there’s not going to be a lineup of guys. That’s insane. It would be a money-losing venture … It’s not like you can walk into Richmond

[78] For example, Derrick Deans, Manager, Strategic Policy Branch, CIC, E-Mail Interview, 14 April 2010; Taunya Goguen, Chief, National Strategies Division, Public Safety Canada, Telephone Interview, 16 February 2010; Pamela Matthews, Policy Analyst, National Strategies Division, Public Safety Canada, Telephone Interview, 9 March 2010; Marie-Claude Arsenault, Immigration and Passport Branch, RCMP, E-Mail Interview, 22 June 2010.

[79] For example, Deans, CIC; Arsenault, RCMP; Goguen, Public Safety.

[80] Deans, CIC; Selina Olson, Senior Policy Advisor, CBSA, Telephone Interview, 27 February 2010; Arsenault, RCMP; Sanjaya Wijayakoon, Immigration and Passport Unit, RCMP E Division, Telephone Interview, 30 March 2010; Officer, Immigration and Passport Unit, RCMP E Division, Telephone Interview, 24 August 2010. As noted in one interview, however, this scenario would not eliminate the possibility of increased illegal activities in other areas of the country where border security and law enforcement maintained normal, but not heightened, operations. Goguen, Public Safety.
and rent a three bedroom condo to set up a bawdy house with these trafficked women for two and a half weeks and make a ton of money.”

That said, interviews with federal contacts and regional partners indicated that, even though the level of trafficking in persons awareness, information sharing, and coordination between federal departments and relevant provincial and regional agencies had increased in recent years, the IWGTIP and, in particular, a smaller working group comprised of key federal departments began strategic planning and preparation two years prior to the commencement of the 2010 Vancouver Olympic Games. Some anti-trafficking measures initiated during this period and discussed in interviews were identified as integral to ongoing and broader national and regional efforts and other initiatives were targeted and designed to accommodate the unique attributes of Olympic Games on the Lower Mainland. In other words, with respect to the 4 P’s (prevention, prosecution, protection, and partnerships), the hosting of this mega sporting event on the Lower Mainland created a context in which existing strategic approaches and established operational procedures were built upon and reinforced, and some planned initiatives were expedited in British Columbia, all with an eye to sustainability and the continued strengthening of responses after the conclusion of the Games. While Public Safety and Justice Canada were instrumental in providing dedicated funding for a number of anti-trafficking initiatives, in general, the key measures developed and implemented in the pre-Olympic Games period were designed to ensure that a solid, proactive, and multi-faceted strategy was in place - to anticipate, plan, prepare, and respond if needed to a possible increase in human trafficking prior to or during the event. Or as Matthew Taylor, Counsel at Justice Canada, stated:

“I think from our perspective what we have tried to stress in leading up to the Olympics, what was most important was to have a strategy in place to raise awareness on the issues, the potential for abuse, the potentials for trafficking, so our law enforcement community, our provincial counterparts had an appreciation of the potential risks so if there was an increase … making sure that people understand what to look for and what to do if they find that … making sure that the measures were in place should the crime, the risk materialize.”

Based on interviews with federal contacts and regional CIC and RCMP officers, the following discussion highlights some of the broad and specific anti-trafficking initiatives implemented in the lead up to the 2010 Vancouver Olympic Games. These measures fall under the general categories of awareness raising, education, training, and information sharing; and coordination, consultations, and partnerships.
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81 Wijayakoon, RCMP E Division.
82 For example, Deans, CIC; Norm Hopkins, Regional Program Advisor, CIC BC/Yukon, Telephone Interview, 15 July 2010; Supervisor, CIC BC/Yukon, Telephone Interview, 29 March 2010; Richelle Léonard, Manager, Anti-Fraud and Human Trafficking, Canada Border Services Agency, Telephone Interview, 12 March 2010; Matthew Taylor, Counsel, Criminal Law Policy Section, Justice Canada, Telephone Interview, 9 March 2010.
83 Matthews, Public Safety.
84 Deans, CIC; Matthews, Public Safety; Taylor, Justice Canada.
85 Wendy Owen, Analyst, Public Safety Canada, Telephone Interview, 16 February 2010; Taylor, Justice Canada.
86 Taylor, Justice Canada. Also Matthews, Public Safety; Deans, CIC; Hopkins, CIC BC/Yukon; Olson, CBSA.
As mentioned by most federal informants and regional enforcement contacts interviewed, the RCMP’s Human Trafficking National Coordination Centre (HTNCC) in Ottawa took a leadership role in the area of trafficking in persons awareness raising, education, and training during the two years prior to the commencement of the 2010 Vancouver Olympic Games. According to Sgt. Marie-Claude Arsenault, RCMP Immigration and Passport Branch, the HTNCC has, since its establishment in 2005, identified five main priorities, four of which include “developing tools, protocols and guidelines to facilitate human trafficking investigations; coordinating national awareness/training and anti-trafficking initiatives; identifying and maintaining lines of communication as well as identifying issues for integrated coordination and providing support; and coordinating intelligence and facilitating the dissemination of all sources of information/intelligence.” In light of these priorities and in an effort to equip various enforcement agencies, NGOs, and service providers with necessary information so as to be able to recognize human trafficking and be prepared to respond appropriately, the HTNCC developed human trafficking toolkits, which contained a law enforcement handbook, training video, victim assistance guidelines, as well as a pamphlet, posters (in six languages), and a factsheet with relevant contact information. In 2009, the toolkits were distributed to approximately 4,000 law enforcement services across the country and, in early 2010, the HTNCC began a mass toolkit distribution among Canadian NGOs.\(^87\) In addition, in the Fall of 2009, CIC disseminated the aforementioned posters to its network of overseas visa offices and missions so that clients who visited these offices could be informed about human trafficking in advance of the 2010 Vancouver Olympic Games; CBSA also distributed these materials to their migration integrity officers overseas in November 2009, and to regional and local CBSA offices.\(^88\)

In addition, in 2007, the HTNCC, in partnership with RCMP regional human trafficking awareness coordinators, key federal departments such as Justice Canada, CIC, and CBSA as well as local law enforcement agencies, began organizing human trafficking awareness workshops for various enforcement sectors, NGOs, service providers, and the general public. Between 2008 and 2010, over 26,000 individuals in 22 cities participated in these sessions; in this period, British Columbia became a strategic focus in preparation for the 2010 Olympic Games. One-day workshops, held in the Okanagan, the Lower Mainland, and on Vancouver Island, provided information on domestic and transnational human trafficking, relevant legislation and elements of the offences, trafficking in persons indicators, and referral mechanisms and protocols for responding to the needs of trafficked persons should cases arise, including local support services. The approximately 700 BC participants included RCMP and municipal police officers, as well as regional CIC and CBSA officers. In January 2010, with the approval of the Law Society of British Columbia, two special workshops were also held for about 60 BC and Yukon crown prosecutors, coordinated through Public Safety Canada.\(^89\)

Cognizant that not all CIC regional officers were able to attend the RCMP-sponsored training workshops in the two years prior to the Olympic Games and that levels of human trafficking

\(^{87}\) Arsenault, RCMP.
\(^{88}\) Deans, CIC; Olson, CBSA.
\(^{89}\) Arsenault, RCMP; Officer, RCMP E Division; Matthews, Public Safety; Deans, CIC; Hopkins, CIC BC/Yukon; Taylor, Justice Canada.
awareness could vary, senior regional CIC personnel sought to ensure that officers in all regional CIC offices understood the human trafficking indicators and the victims of trafficking in persons operational guidelines through the dissemination of pamphlets and brochures, as well as internal case conferences and meetings. In addition, CIC distributed information (brochures and posters in English, French, Spanish, Chinese, and Russian) to temporary foreign workers, which outlined Canadian labour standards and workers’ basic rights in Canada; these brochures were included with Olympic workforce applications. Working in collaboration, CIC, HRSDC, CBSA, the Vancouver Organizing Committee (VANOC), and BC provincial officials also conducted outreach to “a limited but focused group of employers” who won Olympic contracts and, via a specific program through VANOC, hired temporary foreign workers in such sectors as construction, and especially transportation and hospitality services directly prior to and during the Games. (Approximately 4,000 temporary foreign workers, including students, were hired on short term contracts by VANOC accredited employers directly prior to and during event, and one official labour complaint was reported; another 500 temporary foreign workers were hired by other Lower Mainland employers who provided services during the 2010 Vancouver Olympics.) The intent of this outreach program was to inform employers of their responsibility to ensure workers were protected and that provincial labour standards pertaining to wages, working conditions, and occupational safety applied equally to temporary foreign workers as they would to Canadian workers.

On another federal front, CBSA began its 2010 Olympics preparation in October 2008 with the creation of an Olympic and Paralympic Task Force mandated to anticipate and respond to potential operational issues related to the event. As part of its overall initiatives in this period, CBSA developed a trafficking in persons enforcement manual, which was completed in February 2009 and made available to all CBSA officers, including migration integrity officers overseas, border services officers working at ports of entry, and inland enforcement officers. This policy document contains a discussion of CBSA’s role in the prevention of human trafficking and current laws under IRPA and the Criminal Code, a list of general trafficking in persons indicators and interview questions, as well as a description of general protocols and referral procedures should a suspected human trafficking case involving an adult, youth, or child be detected; this document was supplemented by a more concise trafficking in persons information sheet for CBSA officers. In addition, while some CBSA officers voluntarily attended RCMP-led human trafficking awareness workshops prior to the 2010 Olympic Games, CBSA also produced material for and undertook the specific trafficking in persons training of its officers. This included a half-day people at risk workshop particularly for new recruits (between April 2007 and February 2010, 1550 border services officers took the course and beginning in May 2009, field immigration training program participants, including inland enforcement officers, were mandated to take this course); the development of computer-based training for more experienced officers; specialized training of migration integrity officers overseas; and beginning in the summer of 2009, 26 human trafficking awareness sessions for CBSA officers working in the Vancouver International Airport, Douglas, Pacific Highway, Aldergrove, Huntingdon, and

\[90\] Deans, CIC; Supervisor, CIC BC/Yukon; Hopkins, CIC BC/Yukon; Regional Representative, HRSDC BC/Yukon, Telephone Interview, 1 September 2010; Senior Policy Advisor, Foreign Worker Program, HRSDC, Telephone Interview, 22 April 2010.
Prince Rupert offices. According to one CBSA Senior Policy Advisor, the next step would be to assess the effectiveness of these documents and the training conducted as part of CBSA’s human trafficking prevention strategy.91

Federal and regional informants also cited the development of partnerships and enhanced coordination as integral features of pre-Olympic anti-trafficking initiatives. In January 2009, the RCMP and Public Safety forged an official partnership with Canadian Crime Stoppers, which was designed “to add to Canada’s TIP line” so members of the public could anonymously “report suspected cases of trafficking.” This initiative, modeled after Great Britain’s Blue Blindfold campaign, also involved training of Crime Stoppers directors, call centre managers, and other personnel, as well as national media and community-based awareness campaigns so that members of the public would be more “aware of the signs of human trafficking.” Crime Stoppers contact information was included on all RCMP human trafficking awareness materials.92 Heightened coordination, information sharing, and consultation between various stakeholders on security and preventative measures related to human trafficking in the period leading up to the Olympic Games was also highlighted - between and among key federal departments (Public Safety, Justice Canada, CIC, CBSA, and RCMP), VANOC, the RCMP E Division and the Integrated Security Unit, the Vancouver Police Department, and OCTIP, as well as between regional offices and local service provision agencies to ensure that support services were in place should human trafficking cases materialize. For example, the RCMP E Division’s Immigration and Passport Unit strengthened and forged partnerships with a number of relevant stakeholders, including, among others, OCTIP, CIC, CBSA, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Fire Services (for example, Surrey Fire Services), Work Safe BC, the Salvation Army, Servants Anonymous, and a variety of faith-based groups. Similarly, CIC’s regional office not only liaised with OCTIP, but also collaborated with first responders (healthcare, social services, settlement agencies, and shelters in BC) on temporary resident permits available to trafficked persons.93

While not connected to federal preparations for the 2010 Vancouver Olympics Games, other initiatives included the establishment of a focus group on forced labour involving Public Safety, Justice Canada, RCMP, HRSDC, CIC, and CBSA; this sub-group was created in the context of the broader IWGTIP. In January 2010, the RCMP partnered with HRSDC (Labour Program) to develop training on human trafficking for provincial labour inspectors and other labour officials. The training sessions include information sharing; case studies; indicators of human trafficking and forced labour; industries at risk; and possible areas of cooperation between federal/provincial/territorial labour officials, law enforcement, and other relevant parties.94

Furthermore, the Canadian Centre for Justice Statistics, a unit in Statistics Canada, at the request of Public Safety Canada, conducted research into, and in June 2010 released a document on, the

91 Olson, CBSA; Matthews, Public Safety.
92 Matthews, Public Safety; Goguen, Public Safety; Arsenault, RCMP.
93 Matthews, Public Safety; Goguen, Public Safety; Arsenault, RCMP; Wijayakoon, RCMP; Deans, CIC; Supervisor, CIC BC/Yukon; Hopkins, CIC BC/Yukon.
feasibility of “developing a national data collection framework to measure trafficking in persons in Canada” in order to address “the lack of comprehensive, reliable and comparable data” on the “scope of human trafficking in Canada.”

Provincial Level

Like their federal counterparts, staff members working in the Office to Combat Trafficking in Persons (OCTIP) were also highly cognizant of the concerns expressed by some NGOs, faith-based groups, and private citizens about the potential risks of an increase in human trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation prior to and during the 2010 Vancouver Winter Olympic Games. While a strong indication of increased public awareness of the issue in British Columbia, this heightened attention to trafficking in persons was most evident in extensive media reports and in the high volume of letters and petitions sent to provincial ministers and VANOC officials particularly in the six months prior to the Games; in the latter case, faith-based groups most often spearheaded these initiatives. As noted in the interview, however, OCTIP’s consistent strategy in relation to the Games was to continue to fulfill its established mandate - namely of coordinating BC’s strategy to combat human trafficking, and, through partnerships with various NGOs, services providers, and clinical counselors, of synchronizing services for trafficked persons in the province, including being prepared for any cases that might materialize during the Olympic Games. In addition, in the months leading up to the Games, staff members were highly active in raising awareness about human trafficking and about the various services OCTIP provides through the distribution of materials, as well as presentations and training sessions delivered to such groups as provincial Victim Services workers, firefighters working at the Vancouver Airport, hotel managers and executives in Victoria and Vancouver, and BC crown prosecutors. A practicum student in their office was also tasked with monitoring increased activity on Craigslist and other exotic services online sites, with a focus on new posts, views per post, and replies per post. Finally, OCTIP staff emphasized ongoing collaborations with the IWGTIP Olympics working group on the 2010 Vancouver Olympics, to whom they reported on all activity leading up to the Games, including the correspondence they received, the various local awareness raising campaigns, and any recommendations that they had. Despite the Office’s consistent human trafficking strategy prior to and during the 2010 Vancouver Olympic Games, staff members noted that the most significant shift in this period was that, with heightened public discussions about human trafficking and their awareness raising activities, their ongoing work as the key service coordinating body in the province achieved an enhanced profile. That said, while receiving a growing number of calls since the Office opened in 2007, staff received no Olympic-related transnational human trafficking calls immediately prior to or during the Olympic Games; they further suggested that individuals affected by domestic trafficking would be more inclined to contact NGOs for assistance.
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95 Senior Analyst, Canadian Centre for Justice Statistics, Statistics Canada, E-Mail Interview, 8 March 2010; Ogrodnik, Towards the Development of a National Data Collection Framework to Measure Trafficking in Persons, 5.
96 OCTIP Staff (4), In-Person Interviews, 4 and 30 March 2010. In a January 2011 report, it was confirmed that OCTIP “received no referrals” during the Olympic Games. At the same time, OCTIP staff indicated that this did not mean that there were no “victims of trafficking” in this period; rather, “they expect that, due to the covert nature of trafficking, ‘there is far more sexual exploitation of women, children and boys’ than they were able to identify and
Interviews with the Director of Victim Services and Crime Prevention Division based in Vancouver, and one staff member at a Lower Mainland Victim Services unit indicated that, regardless of whether or not the 2010 Olympic Games would precipitate an increase in human trafficking, their main priority was on safety and ensuring that support services were available and responsive to victims of crime should trafficking in persons cases arise during the event. Hence, both noted that in the year prior to the commencement of the Games, preparation included collaborative planning and coordination of service provision agencies from the Lower Mainland to Whistler, the development of trafficking in persons protocols and referral mechanisms, and the training of service providers on transnational and domestic human trafficking with OCTIP and law enforcement. Resources were also obtained to advertise the 24/7 VictimLink line among service providers, and a modest amount of provincial funds for extra staffing and extended hours were made available to some service providers along the Corridor should the need arise. At the time of the interview, the Director of Victim Services indicated that none of the extra funds had been accessed and a number of community partnering agencies had reported that they received no calls for services pertaining to human trafficking. Hence, from the perspective of this office and based on recorded data on service usage during the 2010 Olympics, there was no evidence of an increase in trafficking in persons just prior to or during the event. This conclusion was echoed in an interview with a staff member from a Lower Mainland Victim Services unit where all Olympic-related files were also tracked. Finally, a Victim Services manager in Surrey suggested that, because the city was more insulated from Olympic venues, his unit was not as involved in the conversation around the potential risk of an increase in human trafficking in the context of the Games or in strategic planning in preparation for this eventuality.97

Local Law Enforcement

According to interviews with two RCMP E Division, Immigration and Passport Unit and two Vancouver Police Department (VPD) officers, human trafficking particularly for the purpose of sexual exploitation was prioritized as an area of concern in both forces prior to and during the 2010 Vancouver Winter Olympic Games. Although the RCMP officers interviewed were not convinced, given the evidence from previous mega sporting events and internal intelligence data, that an increase in human trafficking would materialize, the unit was proactive in its preparations for the event. In addition to hosting trafficking in persons awareness training throughout BC, collaborating and regularly sharing information with VPD, and partnering with OCTIP and relevant service agencies, one RCMP E Division, Immigration and Passport Unit officer noted that almost all regional RCMP officers were seconded to the Integrated Security Unit for the duration of the 2010 Olympic Games, with the exception of his entire 20-officer unit, which was

97 Susanne Dahlin, Director, Victim Services and Crime Prevention, BC, In-Person Interview, 4 March 2010; Anonymous, Victim Services (Lower Mainland), Telephone Interview, 16 March 2010; Jeff Stacey, Victim Services, Surrey, Telephone Interview, 29 March 2010. Researchers were supplied with recorded data on service usage during the 2010 Winter Olympic Games, which included such information as client type, age group, incident type, and whether the incident occurred at an Olympic event.
solely responsible for monitoring “any potential human trafficking incidents” during that period. Furthermore, another officer in his unit was responsible for tracking Craigslist erotic services ads for about one year prior to the Olympics, and particularly from December 2009 onward, with Calgary postings used for comparative purposes. Given reported concerns about these online sites as potential mediums for human trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation, the intent was to identify any increase in or suspicious activities based on six key words that sought to capture youth as well as new arrivals to Vancouver prior to and during the Olympic Games. This research revealed that there “was an increase in people tailoring their ads toward people coming to the Olympics, but there wasn’t anything to support that these people were new to Vancouver. The nature of the ads changed to attract people who might be coming for the Olympics. We saw a couple of cases of people from out of town or appeared to be who were saying I’m going to be in town for these dates and they were basically looking for an escort … but we didn’t see a giant increase.”

The two VPD officers interviewed also indicated that they take a collaborative approach to their anti-trafficking work specifically as it pertains to the commercial sex sector. These include essential partnerships and consultations with the RCMP, CIC, CBSA, OCTIP, victim support agencies, including the Ministry of Children and Family Development, and Vancouver-based sex trade exit programs. One officer, working in the area of diversity and Aboriginal policing, also noted that in 2007, VPD partnered with Vancouver community organizations that work on issues related to sex work to establish the Sex Industry Worker Safety Action Group (SIWSAG), and has been involved in the Living in Community Action Plan project. With respect to the 2010 Olympic Games and in the absence of what they described as solid and reliable data, both VPD officers, like their RCMP regional counterparts, expressed some frustration with the intensity of media and public discussions about the link between trafficking in persons and the 2010 Olympic Games in the period leading up to and during the event. They also emphasized that, at the VPD level, the amount of resources being invested in combating human trafficking meant the significant under-resourcing of other issues. That said, like the enforcement communities more generally, the VPD did have specific concerns with respect of human trafficking during the Games and hence undertook significant preparations prior to the event. These included RCMP-sponsored trafficking in persons training for VPD officers, the distribution of RCMP awareness materials among VPD members, and the internal production of a short training video specifically catered to Vancouver frontline officers; the latter focused on human trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation, domestic servitude, and labour exploitation and emphasized the importance of working and building relationships with marginalized and stigmatized communities in order to detect situations of coercion. In addition, one VPD informant stressed the importance of “cultural competence” in dealing with marginalized communities, such as homeless persons and street-based sex workers, wherein the risk of violence is high and the underreporting of crime common. To this end, there was concern prior to the 2010 Olympics about potential displacement of sex workers due to security and traffic rerouting. As a result,

98 Wijayakoon, RCMP E Division; Officer, RCMP E Division.
99 Since 2004, the multi-stakeholder Living in Community project has worked to “increase the health and safety of all community members in relation to the impacts of sex work on neighbourhoods throughout Vancouver.” See http://livingincommunity.ca/
VPD developed written materials, which were disseminated to all its members, advocating for increased sensitivity to potential unintended consequences of increased enforcement presence for marginalized communities, including street-based sex workers. Finally, it was noted that, at the end of January 2010, the VPD responded to six complaints, which alleged that women, including one minor, were being forced to work in a specific Vancouver establishment. Of these complaints, however, “there was no minor found, or evidence of a minor and there were no immigration matters. Of all the investigations, none of the complaints were substantiated.”

NGOs, Service Providers, Outreach Workers, and Advocates

Researchers contacted a total of 54 potential informants working in the NGO and service provision sectors, mainly on the Lower Mainland or in British Columbia, and conducted telephone or in-person interviews or received information via e-mail in response to our main research questions from a total of 25 contacts. In addition, 11 outreach workers, legal advocates, and researchers were contacted and 8 telephone and e-mail interviews were conducted. The data from these interviews have been loosely grouped into three categories: youth sexual exploitation; sex worker organizations, outreach workers, and advocates; and migrant workers and labour exploitation.

Youth Sexual Exploitation

A total of 20 Indigenous community contacts across BC, many of whom work with youth, were invited to participate in the research and the responses received were varied. While one potential informant declined for ethical reasons because of involvement in a study on Aboriginal sexually exploited youth, two others declined because they did not agree with the way in which provincial discourse on human trafficking was being conflated with other issues facing Indigenous girls and women. They were also opposed to funding being given to federal and Lower Mainland-based organizations to conduct research in northern Indigenous communities. In at least six instances, Aboriginal organizations, operating in the Lower Mainland and in the interior, could not or did not participate due to recent provincial funding cuts and the resultant loss of staff who focused specifically on community-based education, outreach and prevention programs to address youth sexual exploitation. Finally, a representative from one Vancouver-based Aboriginal policing organization indicated that his agency did not see any cases of human trafficking and hence, had nothing to add to the research. The Executive Director of the Urban Native Youth Association in Vancouver, which runs 21 programs, however, agreed to be interviewed, as did the founder of the Native Youth Sexual Health Network (based in Toronto with partners across North America, including BC).

Representatives from three non-Aboriginal, Vancouver-based youth-serving organizations were also contacted, including two that focus exclusively on prevention of child and youth sexual exploitation. One organization did not respond, but key members of the other two – Children of the Street Society and Safe Online Outreach Society – agreed to be interviewed. Finally, two

100 Officer, Diversity and Aboriginal Policing Unit, Vancouver Police Department (VPD), In-Person Interview, 15 March 2010; Sergeant, Vice Unit, VPD, In-Person Interview, 17 March 2010.
other service provision agencies with youth outreach programs on the Corridor were approached. One representative noted that her organization did not see any cases of human trafficking, and that there was no increase in demand for services during the Olympics; an interview was, however, conducted with the Executive Director of a community services organization located in Whistler.

Different perspectives and concerns were articulated in interviews with services providers who work with youth or on issues of youth sexual exploitation and human trafficking. Jessica Yee of the Native Youth Sexual Health Network (NYSHN), an organization that includes youth who have been involved in the sex trade and allies with NGOs who work with trafficked persons, expressed concern about the propensity to label Indigenous girls and women as “victims of trafficking,” a discourse that she noted was particularly strong in the lead up to and during the 2010 Olympic Games. NYSHN, as an experiential and youth-centred Indigenous organization, has challenged discourses that, in Yee’s opinion, use and exploit youth experiences to further particular political agendas. She emphasized that she is especially critical of efforts, both governmental and non-governmental, that speak for youth rather than centring their voices and engaging in the important work of educating and empowering them. For her, the discourse around human trafficking of Aboriginal youth and the promotion of particular prevention strategies has a number of consequences:

“It’s dangerous for youth because it’s giving power in places where there’s a potential to further exploit people’s pain for people’s own agendas. And I think it’s a rescue mentality and it’s a savior mentality, and I mean, it didn’t work with residential schools and it’s not going to work now … I was so offended [around the Olympics] in witnessing both organizations and government rushing in and saying okay, well, we’re going to help you with this because your women are just so poor and so ‘sex trafficked’ … People that are legitimately suffering the effects of human trafficking don’t get served because of the types of policies and frameworks that are used to approach the issue. And people that are legitimately involved in sex work also don’t get served and their needs don’t get met … There are real people being lost in the process, within the margins of not fitting a specific definition of ‘trafficking’ and sex work.”

Linda Grey, the Executive Director of the Urban Native Youth Association (UNYA) in Vancouver, maintained that in recent years, she heard rumors from other frontline workers, but not from youth they work with, about young Indigenous women being brought to Vancouver from the interior of BC (Kamloops, Merritt and Lillooet) to work in the sex trade, as well as Aboriginal youth being brought to the United States for the purpose of sexual exploitation. However, because these were only rumors rather than concrete cases of UNYA clients, very few details were known and, from her perspective, more information was needed. Given that Indigenous youth were not talking extensively about human trafficking, Grey suggested that holding focus groups or conducting research with Indigenous youth or sex workers might yield more specific data. With respect to the Olympics, she stated that, in Vancouver, “there are new youth on the street all the time,” both Indigenous and non-Indigenous, and the fact that the new faces present during the event were hanging downtown suggested that these youth were likely
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101 Jessica Yee, Native Youth Sexual Health Network (NYSHN), Telephone Interview, 23 July 2010.
not under coercion. For her, the most pressing concern with respect to Indigenous youth was not around human trafficking or exploitation of youth, but around the indirect impact of increased surveillance and policing in the downtown area.  

Working specifically on the prevention of youth sexual exploitation in the lead up to and during the 2010 Winter Olympic Games, a representative from Children of the Street Society in Coquitlam indicated that the organization hosted a series of educational workshops among youth, which focused on safety and the potential risks associated with, for example, partying during hallmark events. The organization also conducted training workshops for hotel managers and frontline workers and produced an educational DVD, so that Vancouver hospitality workers would be equipped to recognize and, through established protocols, prevent incidents of youth sexual exploitation in their establishments. The Executive Director from one community services organization in Whistler noted that similar awareness sessions were held in her community, but as another informant indicated, community services groups were less successful in gaining access to and holding youth sexual exploitation prevention workshops for frontline hotel workers in Whistler. In addition, Children of the Street, in partnership with the VPD and the Ministry of Children and Family Development, also produced public education materials that focused on the prevention of online demand for youth sexual services. While arguing that youth in the sex trade are increasingly moving from on-street to online to advertise sexual services, there is also the growing concern that technology (online forums and social networking sites) has become a medium for customers to make connections with youth. However, in mounting these various prevention initiatives, including Predator Watch, as well as posting information at the Vancouver airport, at bus shelters, and in taxicabs prior to and during the Olympics, sufficient resources and funding was a constant issue. Hence, the organization’s preventative campaigns and distribution capacity were more limited than initially planned.

With respect to the link between the internet and human trafficking, Merlyn Horton from Safe Online Outreach Society (SOLOS), an organization that focuses on educational awareness and prevention of online child and youth exploitation and the promotion of internet safety, emphasized the importance of having a human trafficking contingency plan in place prior to and during mega sporting events. Nonetheless, she noted that her research and experience indicates that a small percentage of youth is vulnerable to being lured by strangers on the internet, and that these are the same youth who are at risk of sexual exploitation more generally. She maintained that areas of educational priority on the issue of youth online safety include cyber bullying, child

102 Linda Grey, Executive Director, Urban Native Youth Association (Vancouver), Telephone Interview, 25 March 2010.
103 Representative, Children of the Street Society (Coquitlam), In-Person Interview, 4 March 2010; Gerry Bellett, “Hotels program aimed at cutting child prostitution; Staff members are being instructed on how to spot and deal with incidents of sexual exploitation by guests,” Vancouver Sun, 23 June 2007; John Colebourn, “Video targets sex trade; Group warns about city’s dark side during Olympics,” The Province (Vancouver), 24 June 2007; Greg MacDaniel, Executive Director, Whistler Community Services (Whistler), Telephone Interview, 8 April 2010; Anonymous, Victim Services (Lower Mainland). On unsuccessful attempts to hold youth sexual exploitation prevention workshops for frontline hotel workers in Whistler, see Claire Piech, “Community services groups keep an eye on sex trade: Youth exploitation a concern during the Games,” at http://www.piquenewsmagazine.com/pique/index.php?content=Youth+sexexploitation+1707.
pornography, youth being encouraged to create sexual images of themselves, and risks to a youth’s reputation, rather than online procuring or the internet being used for the purpose of human trafficking. She argued that this is, in part, due to the fact that children and youth are becoming increasingly media savvy and are more adept at dealing with strangers online. That said, she noted that youth living in rural and isolated areas, including Indigenous communities, are at increased risk because of a relative lack of experience using the internet.\textsuperscript{104}

Some interviewees expressed concern about the perceived shift in provincial funding and resources allocation, with support for education about youth sexual exploitation being cut in recent years and new funding being directed to the issue of domestic trafficking. This concern was expressed by several service providers in Indigenous communities who were contacted about participating in the research because of their past education and prevention programming around sexual exploitation. These organizations did not participate in the research either because their funding had been cut and the staff person working on that program had been let go, or because they were resentful of the recent changes in funding and focus provincially. Jessica Yee of NYSHN spoke about this development on a federal level, saying that because of the willingness to direct funding toward the prevention of human trafficking in Aboriginal communities, the service delivery needs of trafficked persons, sex workers, and others are not being met due to a lack of funding to organizations that serve them. Merlyn Horton of SOLOS noted that, at the provincial level, this recent shift in focus has, in part, been the result of renaming, with “human trafficking” having replaced the term “sexual exploitation”: “We started out talking about ‘teen prostitutes’ in the early 90s, and then in the mid-90’s we started talking about ‘sexually exploited youth’. And for me, now, recently, we’ve switched to the language of ‘human trafficking’. I think the issue has stayed the same, but we’ve just kind of changed our language around it.” For these interview participants, this trend meant that the work of organizations that had built up expertise, resources, and momentum in educating youth about issues related to sexual exploitation has halted. As Horton further noted, there has also been a perceptible shift from a community-based model to a centralized model. Historically, Community Action Teams (CATs) around the province were able to access funding through the provincial government to do education, outreach, and prevention work related to youth sexual exploitation. This model allowed CATs to tailor their efforts to the realities of the local community dynamics (with a focus on rural, urban, Aboriginal, immigrant, etc.), and included a strong focus on building the sustainable capacity of frontline workers and youth throughout the province. With the recent funding cuts, the perception is that work on issues related to youth sexual exploitation and human trafficking is now being organized centrally through OCTIP, rather than being offered by and for communities.\textsuperscript{105}

With the exception of Children of the Street, none of the service providers, including Aboriginal agencies, working on issues of youth sexual exploitation that were contacted and interviewed held specific outreach or awareness campaigns related to the Olympics. However, staff at some organizations did receive educational workshops in order to enhance their ability to recognize human trafficking. In terms of outcomes, several frontline workers working with youth (one in

\textsuperscript{104} Merlyn Horton, Safe Online Outreach Society (SOLOS) (Vancouver), Telephone Interview, 20 July 2010.

\textsuperscript{105} For example, Yee, NYSHN; Horton, SOLOS.
Whistler and one in Vancouver) stated that they thought there might have been recent cases of human trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation in their communities, but they could not name specific examples, nor could they identify other service providers who may have worked with people who had been trafficked within and to BC. It was noted, however, that in Whistler, the number of escort agency ads in the community newspaper tripled (up from two to three ads) in the six months leading up to the Olympics and it was suspected that the escorts were not locals, but likely from Vancouver. The Children of the Street representative indicated that, although she did not hear of an increase in human trafficking or of youth sexual exploitation in the commercial sex trade during the Olympics, there was likely an “increase in exploitation at parties,” involving young women being given drugs or alcohol and engaging in sexual acts. She also suggested that, based on her expertise in the area of youth sexual exploitation, “it would be pretty difficult to bring an underage in for a period of two weeks.” She was, however, informed about and intervened in a situation involving young women under the age of 19 discussing plans to travel to Whistler to make money through sex work. These cases were not interpreted as potential instances of human trafficking, but as un-facilitated movements fueled by perceived economic opportunities with the seeds perhaps planted by Olympic-related media reports.

Finally, Horton of SOLOS stated that she did not see a “marked increase” or “significant change” in online activity, based on her years of monitoring the Craigslist exotic services section and other online forums. Her frontline contacts in downtown Vancouver also reported that, given heightened police presence in the area, the youth trade in that particular context “almost dried up” and “the anticipated influx of exploited youth did not occur.”

**Sex Worker Organizations, Outreach Workers, and Advocates**

Researchers contacted 20 organizations and individuals that work in various capacities on issues related to sex work in Vancouver and conducted interviews with 17 informants; 2 contacts did not respond to our letters of invitation, and a third declined on the grounds that the conceptual framework of the research was biased toward a particular understanding of human trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation. Another 11 organizations working in the service provision and advocacy sectors on the Lower Mainland were approached; 1 informant agreed to be interviewed, 8 contacts did not respond, and 2 declined for similar reasons cited above, namely that the research framework was skewed.

Organizational representatives and individuals interviewed who work directly on issues related to sex work in Vancouver expressed varying levels of expectation as to whether or not the 2010 Vancouver Winter Olympics Games would see a significant rise in transnational and domestic human trafficking particularly for the purpose of sexual exploitation. While some appeared to be more convinced than others, especially as it pertained to domestic trafficking, a number of...
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106 The Howe Sound Women’s Centre also reported that, “some at-risk [local] youth [were] planning on possibly getting involved in the sex trade during the course of the Olympics as more of an opportunistic situation.” In an effort to address ongoing concerns about youth sexual exploitation in Squamish and the Sea to Sky Corridor, the Centre and other community service groups held prevention workshops in local high schools and youth centres in the lead up to the 2010 Olympic Games. Piech, “Community services groups keep an eye on sex trade.”

107 Grey, Urban Native Youth; MacDaniel, Whistler Community Services; Representative, Children of the Street; Horton, SOLOS.
participants identified the Sex Industry Worker Safety Action Group (SIWSAG) commissioned study, *Human Trafficking, Sex Work Safety and the 2010 Games: Assessments and Recommendations* (June 2009) as an important reference point.\textsuperscript{108} Informants agreed, however, that the rights, safety, and wellbeing of their constituents were of paramount importance prior to and during the Games, especially in the face of traffic rerouting as well as the influx of tourists, the media, law enforcement, and security personnel in the downtown area.

In an effort to address concerns about criminalization, harassment, and displacement of street-based sex workers prior to and during the 2010 Olympic Games, organizations that practice a harm reduction model in their direct service work participated in multi-stakeholder community planning with local law enforcement and various service provision agencies. Such collaborations, it was noted, facilitates a more nuanced understanding of the lives, experiences, and needs of marginalized and vulnerable communities, including female, male, and trans street-based sex workers and street-involved youth, both Indigenous and non-Indigenous. They also contributed to the strengthening of organizational networks and consultations, more relevant safety preparation, and ideally to reducing the risk of inappropriate surveillance, harassment, and criminalization. With these goals in mind, some key initiatives implemented prior to and during the Games included conducting information sessions with and distributing resource materials among constituents which focused on such issues as what to expect during the Games, the location of safe spaces and supports, legal rights in regard to interactions with media and enforcement, tips on screening and meeting clients, and the do’s and don’ts of various neighbourhoods. In addition to engaging in more foot outreach during the Games, some organizations received funding to increase outreach workers’ hours (for example, the MAP Van operated an additional 4 hours per day, implemented a new method of logging sex workers’ experiences and issues that arose during the event, and had relevant supports in place should the need arise; Hustle enhanced the length of outreach workers’ shifts and the supplies distributed), to extend the hours of drop-in centres and other service agencies (for example, WISH Drop-in Centre and PEERS), and to provide hot meals for its members (PACE). Pivot Legal Society established a 24-hour legal hotline and offered free legal services at the courthouse for the entire period of the 2010 Olympics. ORCHID, a program that conducts peer-led, language appropriate, and face-to-face outreach particularly with Asian women working in massage parlours in Vancouver and surrounding areas, distributed extra harm reduction supplies, as well as written materials for any new staff, including information about safer sex practices, STI transmission, and legal rights of sex workers and immigrant women. Finally, prior to and during the Games, the BC Coalition of Experiential Communities produced and then distributed a pamphlet for potential clients that outlined appropriate behavior in exotic show lounges, escort services, on the street, as well as safe sex information and contact information for organizations working with trafficked persons should visitors observe or encounter them. There were also collaborations with the Safe Games 2010 campaign in the distribution of condoms and safe sex information.\textsuperscript{109}

\textsuperscript{108} This study was initially intended to be the first phase of a proposed larger research project, but as mentioned in a number of interviews, the second phase did not receive funding. Tamara O’Doherty, SIWSAG (Vancouver), Telephone Interview, 8 April 2010.

\textsuperscript{109} O’Doherty, SIWSAG; Kerry Porth, PACE (Vancouver), In-Person Interview, 1 March 2010; Kate Gibson, WISH Drop-in Centre (Vancouver), In-Person Interview, 2 March 2010; Matthew Taylor, Hustle Men on the Move
During interviews, frontline workers positively reported that no major enforcement sweep or crackdown on marginalized and street-involved populations in the downtown area occurred immediately prior to and during the 2010 Olympics. At the same time, some organizations did execute additional strategies in response to specific complaints and issues that arose during the event. Katrina Pacey from Pivot Legal Society noted that the enhanced presence of enforcement in the downtown area, and especially unfamiliar officers from other jurisdictions not wearing VPD uniforms, did increase stress among street-involved populations. There were also several reports of harassment of street-based sex workers in some areas, which resulted in augmented legal observer presence in the Downtown Eastside. Laurel Irons, coordinator of the Map Van, further indicated that her outreach team received a number of complaints about increased surveillance, harassment, and mistreatment of street-based sex workers on particular strolls that appeared to mainly involve enforcement personnel from other jurisdictions brought into the city for the duration of the Games, individuals posing as law enforcement officers, and rowdy spectators. These trends were particularly marked on the trans-sex worker stroll, and sex workers were advised to ask for names and badge numbers in all interactions with enforcement. Another outreach worker noted that her organization enhanced their support activities in the back alleys in the Downtown Eastside, given that many street-involved people relocated there to escape heightened public scrutiny and harassment, and after receiving reports of and observing significant police mistreatment of individuals in these less publicly visible areas. Finally, there were reports of police raids of at least three licensed massage parlours prior to and during the Games, the impetus being complaints that minors were working in the establishments. However, it appears that these allegations were not substantiated as no criminal charges were laid.

With respect to mobile populations in the lead up to and during the 2010 Olympics, researchers received a range of responses. Those organizations working directly with street-based sex workers did not see a significant rise in numbers, although three outreach workers reported that there were some new faces from other areas of British Columbia and various parts of the country, and one noted that there was a significant drop in the number of regulars with whom her outreach team has ongoing contact. One informant indicated that the rise in the number of new faces was consistent with the usual increases in the summer months, and a number of participants emphasized that it was generated by an expectation of “increased activity” and income earning opportunities. Based on their outreach and monitoring activities at street level, frontline workers

(Vancouver), In-Person Interview, 23 March 2010; Laurel Irons, MAP Van (Vancouver), In-Person Interview, 25 March 2010; Ty Mistry, PEERS (Vancouver), In-Person Interview, 29 March 2010; Katrina Pacey, Pivot Legal Society (Vancouver), In-Person Interview, 4 March 2010; Susan Davis, West Coast Cooperative of Sex Industry Professionals, In-Person Interview, 24 March 2010; Soni Thindal, ORCHID (Vancouver), In-Person Interview, 18 March 2010. It was further noted that the legal rights information produced by ORCHID in partnership with Pivot Legal Society and distributed among massage parlour workers was in direct response to the significant rights violations that occurred during the December 2006 enforcement raids of 18 massage parlours on the Lower Mainland.

Davis, West Coast Cooperative of Sex Industry Professionals; Pacey, Pivot; Irons, MAP Van; Jennifer Allan, Jen’s Kitchen (Vancouver), In-Person Interview, 24 March 2010; O’Doherty, SIWSAG; Thindal, ORCHID. One VPD officer verified one of these massage parlour investigations and indicated that the allegations were not substantiated. Sergeant, Vice Unit, VPD.
maintained that they heard no reports nor encountered evidence that human trafficking or third
time involvement were factors. Overall, outreach workers observed that it was generally “quiet
on the streets” and “business was quite slow during the Olympics” with fewer clients, a pattern
that was attributed to the enhanced presence of law enforcement and the displacement or
relocation of some street-based sex workers to other and possibly unfamiliar neighbourhoods. As
a result of this combination of factors, one informant noted that, “everyone took a cut.” These
observations were consistent with the findings of one qualitative study based on 95 interviews
with female sex workers (working on-street and in more informal indoor spaces such as massage
parlours and micro-brothels) from late January 2010 to the end of the Olympics. Researchers
found that “as compared to the previous month, women reported increased movement of sex
workers, increased displacement/movement between neighbourhoods, increased number of new
workers, and an influx of workers from outside the Vancouver Lower Mainland as compared.”
There were no “reports from women of increased trafficking/women being sold into sex work in
the weeks prior to/during the Olympics.” In addition, about half of the sex workers interviewed
reported “an increased difficulty in hooking up with clients, primarily due to heightened policing
and road closures, and due to increased competition and NIMBY [“Not In My Back Yard”]
strategies.” In addition, PEERS observed that due in part to its outreach and information
dissemination activities prior to the Olympic Games, there was a noticeable increase in the
number of local sex workers accessing the organization’s new Elements program in February
2010.111

Some frontline workers also noted that, despite concerns about increased violence during the
Olympic Games, there was no reported increase in bad date reports among street-based sex
workers; for example, according to the MAP Van communications log, the numbers were “on the
lower end of the scale.”112 At the same time, Corrine Arthur from the Surrey Women’s Centre
and the coordinator of a new project funded by Justice Canada that provided after hours hospital
accompanyment to victims of sexual assault, domestic violence, and human trafficking and
worked in partnership with Victim Link and sexual assaults nurses at the Surrey Memorial
Hospital,113 noted a significant increase in the number of women accessing the hospital as a
result of sexual assaults in February and March 2010 (24 cases) as compared to the previous year
(6 cases). While one case was directly linked to an Olympic event, in a handful of instances, the
sexual assaults involved what she described as street-entrenched, non-resident, and possibly
displaced sex workers from Vancouver and other surrounding communities. While some of these
sex workers told support workers that they were “capitalizing on the increased clientele,” Arthur
speculated that if they were dislocated or traveling to Surrey or other communities on dates

111 Gibson, WISH; Porth, PACE; Irons, MAP Van; Mistry, PEERS; Allan, Jen’s Kitchen; Davis, West Coast
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Population and Public Health and British Columbia Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS, University of British
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112 Irons, MAP Van; Gibson, WISH.
113 In addition to conducting risk and safety assessments, which include human trafficking on its list of incident
types, the Surrey Women’s Centre has specifically adopted a “Human Trafficking Screening Guide” in their hospital
accompanyment work.
rather than working in their usual neighbourhoods, they may not have known clients and hence, were at heightened risk of sexual assault.\textsuperscript{114}

Escort service interviewees noted increases in the number of Craigslist and other online ads, as well as a rise in the number of workers to about a third during the Olympic Games, many of whom reportedly migrated to Vancouver from other provinces or various U.S. cities. While one informant emphasized that some escort services and business owners engage in unethical and exploitative labour practices, these movements were described as independent, un-facilitated, and characteristic of general worker mobility in this sector of the industry. Economic drivers particularly in a period of economic recession and the effect of media coverage highlighting potential increases in demand for paid sexual services as well as the existence of a cooperative brothel were identified as primary motivations. However, participants further maintained that demand did not meet supply as the out call sector in particular was not busier than usual. Hence, due to heightened competition and significant overstaffing, many workers who had come to the city to work for escort agencies were not able to work or if they did find work in other venues, did not earn anticipated incomes. Overall, escorts, whether locals or from out of town, reported that earnings either remained stable or diminished during the Olympic Games.\textsuperscript{115} Similarly, one ORCHID outreach worker observed that, while established massage parlours especially in Vancouver did hire extra staff and in some cases, former workers and other individuals opened new short-term venues in order to meet the much discussed and anticipated increase in demand for paid sexual services during the Olympic Games, the vast majority of additional workers were hired locally.\textsuperscript{116} Like other sectors, massage parlour workers expected that the Olympics would generate a “flood of clients” and increased earnings; however, “it didn’t happen.” As noted by the informant, it was unclear whether this outcome was due to the absence of significant demand for paid sexual services during the event or because “the market was flooded” with sex workers; in her opinion, both factors were operating during the Games, but in general, there did not “seem to be the same traffic.” She also indicated that she was on call during the duration of the Games, ready to respond to any issues that arose in the massage parlours or to provide supports if needed, but she received no calls during the event.\textsuperscript{117}

\textsuperscript{114} Corrine Arthur, Surrey Women’s Centre (Surrey), Telephone Interview, 13 April 2010. The VPD also reported an increase in common and sexual assaults in the period between 12 February and 1 March 2010; common assaults rose from 250 to 340 and sexual assaults from 16 to 27, but further details were not provided. Public Affairs, Vancouver Police Department, “Final Crime Stats Reveal Drop in Total Criminal Offences for Vancouver During the 2010 Olympics,” Media Release, 17 March 2010. During the Olympics Games, one Vancouver-based rape crisis centre – WAVAW (Women Against Violence Against Women) – initiated a SafeVibe campaign on Vancouver streets and around bars; information materials distributed were designed to promote personal safety and to prevent drug and alcohol facilitated sexual assaults. See http://campaigns.hellocoolworld.com/index.cfm?campaign_id=17.

\textsuperscript{115} Davis, West Coast Cooperative of Sex Industry Professionals; Lauren Casey, Ph.D. Student, University of Victoria (UVic) and former Director, PEERS (Victoria) and Coordinator, National Coalition of Experiential Women, Telephone Interview, 15 April 2010; Manager, Vancouver Escort Agency, In-Person Interview, 26 March 2010.

\textsuperscript{116} This informant noted in her interview that she could not comment on the situation in micro-brothels, which she described as a more underground and tightly controlled sector.

\textsuperscript{117} Thindal, ORCHID.
With respect to exotic dancers, one informant emphasized that, like escorts, workers in this sector and more specifically stage dancers tend to be highly mobile and travel to “where the money is.” During the Games, it was noted, there were at most 10 dancers from Alberta and Ontario who temporarily relocated to Vancouver to work in clubs. These movements were described as un-facilitated, with dancers being responsible for their own bookings either through an agency or directly with a club. With respect to the level of business, she indicated that there was no significant increase during the two-year pre-Olympic construction phase or during the Games; in fact, in the latter case, Vancouver strip clubs (even those with an international reputation like Brandi Show Lounge) outside of the “hub” were “dead.” This meant that “shows were cut,” dancers, including those from out of town, did not “make the money they were expecting to make,” and many “just left.” Two clubs in the “hub” were busier with walk by traffic, extended their hours slightly, and liquor sales likely increased, but VIP dancers reported that their take home pay was “average” or “less” than usual. It was concluded that spectators seemed to be more interested in watching hockey and figure skating, drinking beer at the Irish House, partying on Granville and Robson, and attending the free shows and live city sites than going to The Penthouse and purchasing lap dances.\(^{118}\)

**Migrant Workers and Labour Exploitation**

Researchers contacted 8 immigration lawyers and NGO representatives who work in various capacities on issues that affect immigrants, refugees, and migrant workers in British Columbia; 3 potential participants did not respond to our letters of invitation, and in-person, telephone, or e-mail interviews were conducted with 5 informants. Other participants – both governmental and non-governmental – also addressed the question of transnational human trafficking for the purpose of labour exploitation in general and in the context of the 2010 Olympic Games in particular.

In commenting on anti-trafficking discussions and public awareness campaigns prior to and during the 2010 Olympic Games, a number of interviewees – both governmental and non-governmental – noted the singular pre-occupation with human trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation at the expense of a serious consideration of the trafficking of labour as an issue of concern.\(^{119}\) One immigration lawyer affiliated with the West Coast Domestic Workers’ Association indicated that the focus on sexual exploitation has been a characteristic feature of anti-trafficking discourses in both British Columbia and Canada, the inference being that “sex trafficking is the sole (or most odious) type of trafficking.” This trend, together with what she described as the “growing acceptance among policymakers, enforcement officers, and the general public that the Canadian immigration system is being systematically defrauded by so-called ‘low skilled’ workers,” has meant that “the gravity of labour trafficking” has largely been ignored. A representative from Mosaic, a Vancouver-based immigration and refugee settlement organization, further maintained that, within the aforementioned context, those persons affected
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\(^{118}\) Ryan Raine, SIWSAG (Vancouver), In-Person Interview, 25 March 2010.

\(^{119}\) For example, Matthews, Public Safety; Esther Shannon, FIRST (Vancouver), In-Person Interview, 31 March 2010; Erika del Carmen Fuchs, Justicia for Migrant Workers and Organizing Centre for Social and Economic Justice (Vancouver), In-Person Interview, 21 April 2010.
by human trafficking for the purpose of labour exploitation are considered to be, or have been cast as, “second class victims.”

None of the informants interviewed reported an increase in transnational labour trafficking prior to and during the 2010 Vancouver Olympic Games and none cited any specific cases that had come to their attention in the context of their legal or service provision work in this period. Furthermore, while immigration lawyers in Vancouver were under the impression that there would be a surge in refugee claims and immigration cases during and immediately following the event, this pattern did not materialize. Nonetheless, participants did comment on more general trends they had observed in the period leading up to the Games. One immigration lawyer, who provided legal representation to and organized information sessions on labour exploitation, illegal recruitment practices, and labour rights for migrant domestic workers and live-in caregivers through the West Coast Domestic Workers’ Association, noted an increasingly enforcement-minded response at Canadian borders and “an upsurge” in airport-to-airport deportations in Vancouver in the year prior to the 2010 Olympics. She further maintained that many of the workers who were detained and were issued removal orders had been recruited under false pretences, or had been defrauded by and owed substantial debts to recruitment agents. These “coercive” circumstances, however, were not taken into account at the port of entry; rather the workers were “treated as ‘complicit’ in their unlawful recruitment” to British Columbia and were ordered to leave the country. For her and other legal advocates interviewed, such trends underscored the need for a significant shift in national and provincial anti-trafficking policies and practices - from a largely enforcement-driven response to one that focused on the circumstances, interests, and needs of trafficked persons and temporary migrant workers. In the latter case, this would entail the development of a more nuanced understanding of what constitutes “coercion” in the context of labour trafficking and greater recognition that trafficked persons, irregular migrants, and temporary foreign workers need access to free, expert, and confidential legal advice and representation, as well as to more consistent, relevant, and better funded services (including translation and interpretation). One legal advocate further emphasized that, in her opinion, one of the reasons why so little is known about the scope and experiences of transnational human trafficking in the Canadian or the British Columbia context is because trafficked persons are reluctant or fearful to come forward and seek assistance because of the perceived risks of criminalization and deportation. In an environment of heightened border security, an “unwelcoming” immigration policy, and the criminalization of sex work, and in the absence of substantive protections for trafficked persons and the lack guarantees that their needs will be addressed and their rights prioritized, she maintained that the disincentives against self-disclosure tend to overshadow the incentives to do so.
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120 Deanna Okun-Nachoff, West Coast Domestic Workers’ Association (Vancouver), E-Mail Interview, 23 March 2010; Deborah Issacs, Mosaic (Vancouver), Telephone Interview, 13 April 2010.
121 Okun-Nachoff, West Coast Domestic Workers; Naomi Minwalla, Immigration and Refugee Lawyer (Vancouver), Telephone Interview, 1 April 2010; Pacey, Pivot. West Coast LEAF made a similar argument about the barriers to self-identification and the need for a “victim-centred” approach with specific reference to human trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation in a pre-2010 Vancouver Olympic Games “Position Paper on Human Trafficking for Sexual Exploitation” (October 2009), 1-9 and E-Mail Statement, 22 March 2010.
With respect to the 2010 Vancouver Winter Olympic Games, a number of interviewees drew attention to the number of temporary foreign workers hired in the construction, hospitality, and service sectors in the period leading up to and during the Games. They also expressed concern about the potential for deceitful and exploitative labour practices and employment standards violations. The situation involving Latin American workers hired to construct the Canada Line tunnel who, according to a 2007 BC Human Rights Tribunal ruling, experienced wage discrimination as well as employer mistreatment and intimidation constituted the most publicized labour relations case associated with the 2010 Olympic Games. However, one participant noted that it was her understanding that, despite this ruling in their favour, the workers “were just sent back” without receiving the $10,000 monetary compensation the joint-venture builders of the Canada Line were ordered to pay each worker. In March 2010, the Service Employees International Union, with the support of a British Columbia MP and a Vancouver City Councillor, launched a campaign requesting that VANOC investigate workers’ complaints against Sodexo, the company that was awarded the housekeeping and food services contract in the Athletes Village and hired 900 workers during the Olympic and Paralympic Games. In this case, labour grievances ranged from unpaid wages, long hours, insufficient breaks, the rationing of food and water, to the failure to provide adequate food safety training and unfair dismissals. What remains unclear, however, is whether temporary foreign workers were among those hired by the company and if they were among the 120 employees who were signatories to this complaint. Finally, while not directly related to Olympic venues or VANOC contracts but highlighting some of the vulnerabilities experienced by migrant workers in British Columbia, Erika del Carmen Fuchs, a community organizer with Justicia for Migrant Workers and the Organizing Centre for Social and Economic Justice, indicated that in January 2010, the Organizing Centre filed 17 employment standards complaints on behalf of undocumented migrant workers, half of whom were women. These workers were claiming $60,000 in unpaid wages from RDM Hudson Enterprises, a company that obtained a painting contract from Bastion Development Corporation, the developer of two major luxury condo projects in Vancouver. At the time of the interview, she indicated that RDM Hudson Enterprises had undertaken retaliatory measures against some of the workers and a number of them had been deported. However, despite the fact that migrant workers, both documented and undocumented, had become more dispensable as the pre-Olympic building boom on the Lower Mainland subsided and notwithstanding the risks to the workers involved in this labour action, she remained hopeful that
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122 For example, Issacs, Mosaic; Staff Person, OCTIP, In-Person Interview, 4 March 2010.
123 del Carmen Fuchs, Justicia. For newspaper coverage of this case, see, for example, “Trade Unions make allegations of exploitation of foreign workers,” Alberni Valley Times, 2 June 2006; Janet Steffenhagen, “Canada Line’s foreign workers join union,” Vancouver Sun, 1 July 2006; Gerry Bellett, “Foreign workers threatened, hearing told,” Vancouver Sun, 14 July 2006; Kevin Potvin, “Taxpayers unknowingly paying for cheap foreign labour,” Vancouver Courier, 9 August 2006; Kelly Sinoski, “Foreign workers coerced: tribunal; Latin Americans pressured to break ranks with union,” Vancouver Sun, 13 November 2007; Richard Gilbert, “Human Rights tribunal rules in favour of Latino construction workers,” Journal of Commerce, 19 November 2007; John Colebourn, “Foreign workers get $10,000 each for shabby treatment; Latin Americans paid less, fared less well than other imports,” The Province (Vancouver), 4 December 2008; Kelly Sinoski, “Latin American workers win $2.4-million award; European workers received more pay, better accommodations,” Vancouver Sun, 4 December 2008.
124 Pacey, Pivot. See also “Reports Surface of Food Service Employees’ Mistreatment During Winter Olympics,” Reuters, 12 March 2010.
ongoing organized pressure on the BC Employment Standards Branch and the companies involved would result in the case being settled in the workers’ favour.\footnote{del Carmen Fuchs, Justicia. See also Carlito Pablo, “Migrant workers claim unpaid wages on two Vancouver residential building projects,” \textit{straight.com}, 18 March 2010; Murray Bush, “Ripped off migrant workers say NO! to wage theft,” \textit{mediacoop}, 20 March 2010.}

**Varying Definitions and Understandings of Human Trafficking**

With few exceptions, federal and provincial government informants, law enforcement and border services officers, and members of the NGO, service provision, and advocacy communities interviewed relied on the \textit{Criminal Code} or, where relevant, \textit{IRPA} definitions of human trafficking in their discussions and analyses of, as well as work and training in the area of trafficking in persons in general and in the context of the 2010 Winter Olympic Games in particular. At the same time, a number of informants pointed out that human trafficking is a relatively new criminal offence in Canada and as such, there is, as Matthew Taylor, Counsel at Justice Canada, emphasized, a need for ongoing awareness raising and education at all levels on what is and what is not trafficking in persons. Richele Léonard, a manager in the Border Intelligence Division at CBSA national headquarters, further indicated that more clarity was required in defining what constitutes labour exploitation within the context of transnational human trafficking, and an immigration lawyer affiliated with the West Coast Domestic Workers’ Association called for a more nuanced understanding of “coercion,” including deceptive and fraudulent recruitment practices, in the process of labour migration/trafficking.\footnote{Olson, CBSA; Goguen, Public Safety; Supervisor, CIC BC/Yukon; Sergeant, Vice Unit, VPD; Wijayakoon, RCMP E Division; Taylor, Justice Canada; Léonard, CBSA; Okun-Nachoff, West Coast Domestic Workers.}

Interviewees across stakeholder sectors also noted that, notwithstanding existent legal definitions, in the lead up to and during the 2010 Winter Olympic Games, divergent understandings of trafficking in persons for the purpose of sexual exploitation were in circulation, with some members of civil society, NGOs, and faith-based groups promoting interpretations that conflated or tended to conflate transnational and domestic human trafficking and prostitution.\footnote{Goguen, Public Safety; Wijayakoon, RCMP E Division; Officer, Diversity and Aboriginal Policing, VPD; Yee, NYSHN; Raine, SIWSAG; Shannon, UBC; Gibson, WISH; Porth, PACE; Allan, Jen’s Kitchen; Thindal, ORCHID.} It was further suggested that this latter conceptualization shaped some of the most vocal, visible, and well-funded local public awareness campaigns prior to and during the Olympic Games, ones that focused on a predicted spike in male demand for paid sexual services during the event as the main driving force behind the anticipated increase in the transnational and domestic trafficking of women and youth into the commercial sex sector.\footnote{Informants focused on the Salvation Army’s “The Truth Isn’t Sexy” advertisements and billboards, and to a lesser extent, REED’s “Buying Sex is Not a Sport” campaign.} While some informants indicated that these campaigns did spark growing awareness as well as public and media discussions about human trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation both provincially and nationally and two participants pointed out that they might have interfered with
demand for paid sexual services during the Olympic Games, there were also specific concerns expressed about their implications and impacts.

A number of informants emphasized that the aforementioned campaigns and the public and media discussions that ensued focused more on the highly polarized “prostitution debates” and the ideological differences underlying them than on human trafficking per se. Some participants went beyond this observation and suggested that the intent behind the initiatives was less about combating and preventing trafficking in persons and more about “raising the hysteria about and fear around trafficking to abolish sex work as a whole,” to build organizational profiles, and to gain access to funding. Despite ideological differences, NGO representatives in particular emphasized that common ground did and does exist among stakeholders rooted in the general principle that coercion, violence, and exploitation are unacceptable in all sectors, including the sex industry. They also did not out rule the possibility that human trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation might have occurred prior to and during the Olympic Games with the risk of domestic trafficking specifically mentioned. Nonetheless, participants did question the extent to which the information and statistics presented in the media and in public awareness campaigns in the lead up to the Games were accurate. Media discussions were not only characterized as “simplistic,” “exaggerated,” and “sensationalistic,” but also as “selective” and “biased” in their coverage of divergent perspectives on the question of a potential increase in human trafficking during the Olympic Games. The Salvation Army’s ad and billboard campaign was described as unduly “graphic,” “fear-mongering,” “disturbing,” “misleading,” and slim on concrete evidence that supported the claims about a strong connection between mega sporting events and a spike in human trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation. In addition, some informants queried the advertisements’ educational value, arguing that they were designed to evoke emotional responses from the public, rather than engendering an informed and meaningful understanding of the systemic causes, nature, and realities of human trafficking into multiple sites and how to engage with the issue. As Katrina Pacey from Pivot Legal Society noted, “We are horrified and then it doesn’t give us anything to do with it.” Another informant took issue with the images contained in the ads, stating that “having a billboard of an underage girl in her underwear being stomped on can be triggering; it’s very graphic. It’s selling sex and violence to prevent them. The posters themselves were actually very titillating in the imagery that they used. We heard a lot of comments and complaints about this from a lot of workers.”

129 For example, Michelle Miller, Executive Director of REED, noted that in her estimation, the “Buying Sex is Not a Sport” campaign did “interfere with the demand for paid sex and educated people about the gender-based violence of the sex industry.” Michelle Miller, Executive Director, REED (Vancouver), E-Mail Correspondence, 6 April 2010. Also Issacs, Mosaic.
130 Dahlin, Victim Services; Officer, Diversity and Aboriginal Policing, VPD; Pacey, Pivot; Gibson, WISH; Raine, SIWSAG; Anonymous, Human Trafficking Researcher, In-Person Interview, 4 March 2010.
131 Porth, PACE; Allan, Jen’s Kitchen; Davis, West Coast Cooperative of Sex Industry Professionals; Shannon, FIRST; Casey, Ph.D., UVic.
132 Wijayakoon, RCMP E Division; Sergeant, Vice Unit, VDP; Shannon, UBC; Taylor, Hustle; Casey, Ph.D., UVic; Gibson, WISH; Porth, PACE; Irons, MAP Van; Thindal, ORCHID; O’Doherty, SIWSAG; Davis, West Coast Cooperative of Sex Industry Professionals; Shannon, FIRST.
133 Pacey, Pivot; Raine, SIWSAG; Officer, Diversity and Aboriginal Policing, VPD; Shannon, FIRST; O’Doherty, SIWSAG.
deterrent – whether mitigating the demand for paid sexual services or curbing violent behavior; as one participant pointed out, “perpetrators of violence, I don’t think, are going to be turned off by images of violence.” For frontline workers in particular, such campaigns were symptomatic of the “top-down” character of anti-trafficking initiatives, research, and advocacy more generally. Critical limitations identified included a lack of evidence- and community-based research on human trafficking in the BC context, the absence of systematic consultation, ongoing engagement, and strong partnerships with sex workers, marginalized local populations, and grassroots frontline workers, as well as insufficient attention to “what community is really living” – everyday, on-the-ground realities in all of their complexities.

Some interviewees focused on the unintended consequences of and harms produced by some of the anti-trafficking public awareness campaigns prior to and during the 2010 Olympics Games. While local enforcement personnel pointed out that they sought to take a proactive and responsive approach to the potential risk of an increase in trafficking in persons prior to and during the Games, two VPD officers indicated that, given the multiple definitions of human trafficking circulating among the public due in part to pre-Olympic awareness initiatives, an already stretched local police department was increasingly fielding calls about trafficking in persons that did not fit existing definitions. Within the context of publicized predictions about an anticipated spike in human trafficking during the Olympics and in the absence of concrete data, one service provider indicated that, prior to the Games, she and other service providers found “not knowing what our numbers were going to be” to be “nerve wracking”; they were also apprehensive about the overall lack of resources and supports available at the local level to meet projected needs. Besides such specific spillover effects, a number of frontline workers, NGO representatives, and legal advocates were principally concerned about the traumatizing, marginalizing, and stigmatizing effects that the advertisements and the actions outside of strip clubs had on sex workers and exotic dancers. For example, as one informant pointed out, the protests outside of two Vancouver strip clubs “caused a lot of emotional trauma for the dancers. It also discourages customers from coming in which of course is one of the purposes. But in discouraging customers from coming in, it then takes away the dancers’ income … It is also harder in that environment to stay positive and focused on that when people are telling you that you are wrong. There were definitely some dancers who were not happy about that.”

Referring to similar complaints received from those directly affected by the aforementioned campaigns, some participants argued that these initiatives advanced gendered as well as racialized stereotypical assumptions about the “Winter Olympics fan base,” trafficking victims, and sex workers; in the latter case, such generalizations were perceived as perpetuating discriminatory

134 Porth, PACE; Irons, MAP Van.
135 Allan, Jen’s Kitchen; Gibson, WISH; Thindal, ORCHID; O’Doherty, SIWSAG; Shannon, FIRST.
136 Officer, Diversity and Aboriginal Policing, VPD; Sergeant, Vice Unit, VPD.
137 Arthur, Surrey Women’s Centre.
138 Raine, SIWSAG. Michelle Miller, the Executive Director of REED, however, maintained that the media misrepresented the organization’s direct actions during the Games. She emphasized that, “they were silent and non-violent. There was absolutely no shouting at the women.” Miller, REED.
attitudes toward and promoting enhanced harassment and policing of already stigmatized and criminalized populations.  

A number of interviewees further argued that the public awareness campaigns with their specific focus on human trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation – “the most profound or egregious cases of exploitation” involving what one informant described as “perfect victims” – tended to detract “from all the needs along the spectrum of sex work, which are all profound and important.” As one informant emphasized, sex workers “have a very important set of needs that are not being fulfilled by a poster of a beaten woman.” Another participant asked, “How much time are we spending on this conversation when people should be stepping up and putting the supports in place.” More specifically, some frontline workers took issue with the amount of funding, resources, and energy expressly dedicated to raising awareness about human trafficking, rescuing “sex trafficking victims,” and “putting traffickers in jail,” while the safety and well-being of those on the margins of the “perfect victim paradigm” were often overlooked or disregarded. “I have a concern,” said one interviewee, “about how much attention trafficking and sexual exploitation gets without equally addressing how to best support those who are out there now working, whether it is by choice or otherwise. So when we are talking about just abolishing the sex trade, for example, because of exploitation, that doesn’t do a lot to support women who are on the streets or indoors because it is further criminalizing their activities.”

In light of the above concerns, some informants strongly advocated for more evidence-based research on and nuanced analysis of trafficking in persons in the BC and Lower Mainland contexts. The absence of concrete and accurate data was viewed as doing “a disservice” not only “to women who are being trafficked and organizations that do want to service that population because there is not good evidence,” but also to sex workers who are often directly and, according to some interviewees, adversely affected by certain anti-trafficking discourses, campaigns, and interventions. As one frontline worker stressed, “We need to look at who is out there now, who is vulnerable as we speak. These are the lives we are affecting by the initiatives that are happening, by the policies created. Anything that further drives anyone underground is not making anyone safer.”

National Statistics on Human Trafficking, Reported Cases, and Links to the 2010 Olympic Games

As noted in the Introduction, it is now widely recognized that generating comprehensive, accurate, and comparable global and national human trafficking statistics poses specific challenges. As documented in a recent RCMP report, *Human Trafficking in Canada* (March 2010), a study conducted by the Centre for Justice Statistics entitled, *Towards the Development of a National Data Collections Framework to Measure Trafficking in Persons* (June 2010), and interviews conducted with a number of federal government and enforcement representatives,

139 Casey, Ph.D., UVic; Pacey, Pivot; Irons, MAP Van; Thindal, ORCHID; Yee, NYSHN; O’Doherty, SIWSAG; Davis, West Coast Cooperative of Sex Industry Professionals; Shannon, FIRST.
140 Allan, Jen’s Kitchen; Pacey, Pivot; Gibson, WISH; Irons, MAP Van; Yee, NYSHN.
141 Shannon, UBC; Raine, SIWSAG; Irons, MAP Van.
similar difficulties exist in Canada. Such statistical challenges prohibited the researchers from securing methodological baseline data for pre-Olympic and post-Olympic Games comparative purposes.

Nonetheless, with the caveat articulated by various federal and enforcement officials that each statistical piece offers a partial picture of the overall scope of human trafficking in Canada, the aforementioned sources did provide the researchers with basic information about documented cases of human trafficking in Canada and British Columbia. For example, the RCMP reported that between 2005 and 2009, 5 human trafficking convictions under the Criminal Code had been secured and as of 15 November 2009, an additional 22 cases were before the courts; all of these cases involved domestic trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation and none of them originated in British Columbia. Our interview with a member of the RCMP’s Human Trafficking National Coordination Centre indicated that, as of June 2010, there was one international human trafficking case awaiting trial and about 30 domestic cases from various police services before the courts across Canada, but “there were no RCMP investigations linking human trafficking to the Olympic Games.”

At the local level, one RCMP E Division, Immigration and Passport Unit officer emphasized that the RCMP in British Columbia does receive a considerable number of trafficking in persons tips, each of which are investigated and necessary social supports are made available; however, one of the main challenges has been securing criminal charge approval based on the available evidence due to the threshold required. At the same time, another RCMP E Division, Immigration and Passport Unit officer indicated that, at the time of the interview, his unit was investigating 4 trafficking in persons cases (1 sexual exploitation and 3 labour exploitation), but that these were “completely unrelated” to the 2010 Olympic Games. On 24 August 2010, a member of the unit confirmed that there were no human trafficking cases under investigation that were connected to the event.

Furthermore, a senior analyst at the Canadian Centre for Justice Statistics, a division of Statistics Canada that “collects information on the nature and extent of crime and the administration of justice in Canada,” indicated that the 2008 Incident-based Uniform Crime Reporting Survey (UCR2) “reported a total of 12 incidents of trafficking in persons that were reported by police across Canada,” but no further breakdown according to province or human trafficking site was provided. Furthermore, at the time of the interview, 2009 figures were not yet available nor were they included in Statistics Canada’s official report released in July 2010; reported incidents for
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142 RCMP, Human Trafficking in Canada (Ottawa, March 2010), 8-9; Ogrodnik, Towards the Development of a National Data Collection Framework to Measure Trafficking in Persons, 5; Goguen, Public Safety; Léonard, CBSA; Olson, CBSA; Taylor, Justice Canada; Deans, CIC; Officer, Diversity and Aboriginal Policing, VPD.
143 RCMP, Human Trafficking in Canada, 20-26; Arsenault, RCMP.
144 Officer, RCMP E Division. Matthew Taylor at Justice Canada, made a similar point when he stated, “When we’re talking about exploitation as we define it in the Criminal Code for the purposes of trafficking in persons offences, that’s at one end of the spectrum and it is a high threshold to meet for valid criminal law purposes. We are talking about fourteen years, life in prison penalties [which are] amongst the most serious penalties that are available under Canadian criminal law. Given that the conduct has to be proportional, you have a very high threshold to meet in establishing exploitation in the trafficking of persons. If you can’t meet it, it is not to say that the conduct that is being looked at isn’t exploitative or egregious or criminally blameworthy. It means that it’s not going to be in that particular box.” Taylor, Justice Canada.
145 Wijayakoon, RCMP E Division; Officer, RCMP E Division.
2010 will not be available until July 2011, but a VPD Vice Unit officer interviewed noted that, as of mid-March 2010, the UCR code for human trafficking was zero.\textsuperscript{146}

In the transnational realm, Derrick Deans at Citizenship and Immigration provided data on the number of TRPs granted between May 2006 and December 2009. In this period, 54 TRPs were issued to 43 transnational trafficked persons, 31 to females and 12 to males; 27 were granted in 2009, 20 in 2008, 4 in 2007, and 3 in 2006. Of these, 28 were issued on the basis of labour exploitation and 10 on the basis of sexual exploitation; the top two countries of origin identified were Thailand and Moldova.\textsuperscript{147}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GENDER</th>
<th>REGION WHERE FOUND</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prairies/NWT</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43 Foreign Victims of TIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE OF EXPLOITATION</th>
<th>COUNTRY OF ORIGIN</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labour</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual</td>
<td>Moldova</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43 Foreign Victims of TIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While TRP data for British Columbia were not specified above due to privacy considerations, further research indicated that CIC issued 6 TRPs in the province between May 2009 and January 2010, 5 for labour exploitation (female) and 1 for sexual exploitation (female).\textsuperscript{148} While 4 of the human trafficking cases involving labour exploitation under investigation and issued in December 2009/January 2010 were identified in two interviews as potentially linked to the 2010 Olympic Games, a regional CIC official, in reviewing the basic contours of the cases, indicated in his interview that there was no connection to the event; another provincial informant noted that the other 2 TRPs (1 labour exploitation and 1 sexual exploitation) were unrelated to the Games. One Surrey-based service provision agency was consulted on 1 TRP involving female sexual exploitation in February 2010, but this case was not “directly linked” to the Olympic Games. As of mid-July 2010, 2 (possibly 3) additional labour exploitation TRP cases involving females came to the attention of CIC in British Columbia after the conclusion of the event. However, none of these cases were, according to a regional CIC official, “even remotely” related to the Games.\textsuperscript{149} Researchers were unable to obtain further details on the 22 refugee claims made after the conclusion of the Olympic Games as reported in the press.\textsuperscript{150} In general, then, with the

\textsuperscript{146} Senior Analyst, Statistics Canada; Mia Dauvergne and John Turner, “Police-reported crime statistics in Canada, 2009,” Juristat, 30, 2 (Summer 2010): 1-37; Sergeant, Vice Unit, VPD.

\textsuperscript{147} As further noted by Deans, “during the same period, 36 foreign nationals requested, but were not issued a TRP. The reasons for refusal include that the client: had existing immigration status, claimed refugee status, was issued a TRP under Humanitarian and Compassionate consideration (not as a VTIP), or refused assistance for a variety of reasons including wanting to return home.” Deans, CIC.

\textsuperscript{148} Hopkins, CIC BC/Yukon. See also, OCTIP, Staying Current (April 2010), 2.

\textsuperscript{149} Hopkins, CIC BC/Yukon: Arthur, Surrey Women’s Centre. Hopkins also noted that, when CIC officers conducted the interviews with potential trafficked persons prior to, during, and after the Olympic Games, they were “very conscious of whether or not there could be a connection to the Olympics so that [was] very much in our minds.”

\textsuperscript{150} “22 refugee claims made after Vancouver Olympics,” Canadian Press, 18 April 2010.
exception of two interviewees and with the caveat expressed by some participants that cases of
human trafficking and particularly domestic trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation
might have escaped detection by enforcement and frontline workers, all of the informants across
stakeholder sectors seemed to suggest that they had no specific knowledge of or that there was
no concrete and verifiable evidence of trafficking in persons for the purposes of sexual or labour
exploitation linked to the 2010 Olympic Games.\textsuperscript{151}

At the same time, media reports and data from two interviews suggested that there were
indications that human trafficking did occur prior to and during the 2010 Vancouver Olympics
and that further trafficking in persons cases connected to the event would undoubtedly surface
over time. Some of the indicators mentioned included assertions that human traffickers had been
operating in Vancouver for at least a year prior to the event and viewed it “as the biggest
opportunity for them in decades,” and that a “virtual sex slave market” was flourishing on
Craigslist where women were “offered anonymously for ‘sale’ in the lead up to the Games.”
There were also references to frontline workers’ reports of coerced movements of young women
from Toronto, Winnipeg, Alberta, Saskatchewan, the Maritimes, Northern Ontario, and BC
communities to Vancouver, “a marked increase in underage girls on the streets of Vancouver” in
the lead up to the Games who “weren’t there before,” and a “staggering increase in demand for
prostitution in Vancouver and Whistler” during the event. More specific accounts referred to
“dozens” of young women who were trafficked from “urban centres” and “native reserves” to
Vancouver, a number of whom were rescued, as well as to at least 10 women/girls who were
rescued (4 of whom received the TRPs discussed above and 6 who were said to have been
trafficked domestically). In addition, a local “Women’s Shelter claimed to have housed five
women who had been trafficked specifically for the Games”; these were identified as potential
transnational trafficking in persons cases for the purpose of sexual exploitation. One informant
concluded that “what appears to have happened is that there was a combination of foreign sex
trafficking as well as domestic sex trafficking of women and underage girls as well as women
who were already being exploited in the sex trade by their pimps or traffickers that were likely
exploited during the 2010 Games.”\textsuperscript{152} Interviews with federal and local enforcement officials

\textsuperscript{151} The two interviewees who took exception to this conclusion were: Joy Smith, MP, Kildonan-St Paul; and
Anonymous, Human Trafficking Researcher. Otherwise, interviews conducted with federal, regional, and local
enforcement officials, provincial agencies staff, NGO representatives, frontline workers, and advocates discussed in
this section tended to draw this general conclusion. Crime Stoppers also indicated that, from the perspective of its
coordinators, there was no correlation between human trafficking and the Olympics. Tim Kelley, Crime Stoppers, E-
Mail Statement, 16 March 2010. Richele Léonard, manager in the Border Intelligence Division at CBSA national
headquarters, noted that one could assume that, with increased awareness, there would be better recognition if
human trafficking was occurring prior to and during the Olympics. Nonetheless, she further emphasized that it is
important to ask whether “we were looking for the right ingredients.” Léonard, CBSA.

\textsuperscript{152} Smith, MP, Kildonan-St Paul; Kathie Wallace, “Human Trafficking Alive and Well for the 2010 Olympics,”
\textit{Vancouver Observer}, 2 February 2010 and “Slavery isn’t Sexy: A Hard Look at the Underbelly of the Olympics,”
Citizen Summit Against Sex Slavery, “VANOC, BC and Canada get F for ‘Sex Games’: Citizen Summit Confirms
Youth and Women Trafficked into Sex Slavery for Olympics,” Press Release, 9 February 2010; Mike Barber,
Anonymous, Human Trafficking Researcher; Gillian Shaw, “Pimp offers pregnant teen for sex in online ad:
revealed that they were well aware of various public statements and media reports about women and youth being trafficked to the Lower Mainland for the purpose of sexual exploitation prior to the Olympic Games. While they indicated that they had made it clear to those making the assertions that they were prepared to investigate each case “to the fullest,” no cases were brought forward and as of the end of August 2010, none had reached the level of investigation.  

**Measuring Effectiveness and Assessing Outcomes**

With respect to assessing observed outcomes, particularly as they pertained to transnational and domestic trafficking in persons for sexual or labour exploitation in the context of the 2010 Vancouver Olympic Games, researchers asked participants to evaluate the extent to which their department, agency, or organization had accomplished their objectives as well as to comment on the effectiveness of the prevention measures implemented prior to the event. While at the time of the interviews, few informants indicated that they had initiated or were engaged in a formal or substantive evaluation process, researchers did receive a range of responses.

One human trafficking researcher maintained, as noted above, that there were significant indicators that transnational and domestic trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation had occurred prior to and during the 2010 Olympic Games. While conceding that there has been “growing interest” in and “awareness” of the issue both nationally and provincially over the last decade, this informant maintained that the overall preparation for an anticipated increase in trafficking in persons prior and during the event was sluggish with no concrete action plan in place to effectively address this eventuality, the issue was not sufficiently prioritized and inadequately resourced in enforcement communities, and the training of enforcement in human trafficking indicators was unsophisticated and “not yet at the level they need to be for focused interventions.” It was further argued that these deficiencies were symptomatic of the unwillingness on the part of Olympic organizers and relevant government agencies to “accept” human trafficking as a “serious” and “legitimate” problem. The interviewee also emphasized that conducting research that focused on the question of whether or not an increase in trafficking in persons occurred during the 2010 Olympic Games was myopic and could potentially have “a very damaging impact,” as did the “don’t worry, be happy” conclusions of the IOM report. For this informant, it was more important to examine the extent to which “this major event had an impact on the sexual exploitation of men, women, youth as well as the labour trafficking side.”


153 For example, Arsenault, RCMP; Wijayakoon, RCMP E Division; Officer, RCMP E Division.

154 Anonymous, Human Trafficking Researcher. In her interview, Joy Smith also expressed concern about the lack of police resources dedicated to human trafficking during the 2010 Olympic Games. In addition, while she commended the RCMP E Division for “following up on potential leads” during the event, she further noted that one limitation was that some enforcement officers had undergone human trafficking training and others had not. Smith, MP, Kildonan-St Paul.
While cognizant of critiques concerning insufficient pre-Games planning and preparation, a number of federal informants indicated that the IWGTIP Olympics working group had accomplished its principal goal, which was to develop and implement a solid, proactive, coordinated, and multi-sectoral strategy designed to prevent and combat trafficking in persons during the event. Based on internal intelligence data and consistent with the prevention measures operationalized by other host nations with a focus on training, education, awareness, intelligence gathering, information sharing, and partnerships, federal interviewees as well as members of regional and provincial agencies expressed confidence that appropriate security measures, monitoring procedures, referral mechanisms, and support services were in place, and that trained local personnel were prepared and equipped to intervene and respond should transnational and domestic human trafficking cases materialize during the event. That said, one Public Safety representative suggested that it would have been ideal to have increased the focus on forced labour prior to the Olympics, namely during the infrastructure and venue construction phase several years prior to the actual event.

In terms of assessing and measuring the effectiveness of various initiatives in preventing trafficking in persons prior to and during the Games, federal informants offered differing perspectives. CBSA and CIC participants indicated that it was difficult to conduct an evaluation, given that the measures had been operationalized relatively recently, the dearth of concrete information about human trafficking trends and its nature and scope in British Columbia, the absence of baseline statistical data as points of comparison, and the lack of systematic mechanisms to track and manage information. One CBSA interviewee suggested that, in the post-Olympic period, it would be more pertinent to consider the following:

“What have we learned from the event? What information is now at our disposal? And what can we do better in terms of learning for future events or future dealings on human trafficking issues. I think we are far from measuring the effectiveness in Canada yet … I think we are at the very beginning; we are still at the awareness and education phase. Did the Olympics have an impact on raising this, absolutely and that’s one of the major highlights so it has brought more efforts. Had it not been for the Olympics, I don’t think we would have had the same level of effort at this point with the limited resources we have at our disposal … Is it [greater awareness] sufficient? I don’t think so. That is only the beginning, that is only the tip of the iceberg … I think we need to look at what did we learn? What do we know? And where do we go from here?”

In contrast, federal interviewees and other stakeholder participants did identify what they considered to be tangible effects of the trafficking in persons prevention efforts initiated in the period leading up to the 2010 Olympic Games. One federal participant pointed to the fact that the level of national and provincial awareness of and engagement with the issue of human trafficking

---

155 For example, Matthews, Public Safety; Taylor, Justice Canada; Olson, CBSA; Deans, CIC; Hopkins, CIC BC/Yukon; Arsenault, RCMP; Officer, RCMP E Division; Officer, Diversity and Aboriginal Policing, VPD; Staff Person, OCTIP; Dahlin, Victim Services. Two local police officers, however, expressed some frustration about the amount of enforcement resources allocated to combating trafficking in persons during the Games. Wijayakoon, RCMP E Division; Sergeant, Vice Unit, VDP.
156 Goguen, Public Safety.
157 Léonard, CBSA. Also Deans, CIC; Olson, CBSA.
in various sectors had increased significantly in recent years. RCMP and regional CIC representatives attributed the rise in the number of human trafficking reports and investigations to enhanced and targeted enforcement training as well as to greater NGO and public awareness of the issue.\textsuperscript{158} Local NGO representatives also noted that the public as well as frontline workers were “paying more attention to human trafficking” and youth sexual exploitation, and that services providers were “better trained in identification.”\textsuperscript{159} While a number of interviewees mentioned the need for ongoing public awareness raising and targeted training at all levels, including more serious consideration of labour trafficking, others stressed that the rights and needs of, and the provision of substantive legal and social supports for, trafficked persons affected by sexual and labour exploitation should be prioritized in official government policies, practices, and funding allocations. As one immigration lawyer argued, “I think it is disgraceful to create big institutions, 1-800 hotlines, websites, when the reality is that more than likely trafficked persons go to the NGO down the road who is doing all of this for free or on a shoe string budget.” In a similar vein, another immigration lawyer insisted that active consultation with all relevant service providers prior to hallmark sporting events in order “to identify needs and develop funded service strategies” was essential.\textsuperscript{160}

The priority of Vancouver sex worker organizations and outreach workers was to ensure the safety of their constituents, and this was accomplished through information dissemination, enhanced outreach, and the provision of additional supports. Another important feature of this strategy was the development of a collaborative multi-stakeholder community partnership with Vancouver law enforcement, in order to address concerns about criminalization, displacement, and harassment of, as well as violence toward, sex workers, especially in light of the influx of law enforcement, security personnel, and tourists into the city. With some notable exceptions documented above, the general consensus among frontline workers was that their “worst fears” were not realized. Finally, contrary to skepticism expressed by one human trafficking researcher,\textsuperscript{161} sex worker advocates and one VPD officer further argued that the best sources of information on human trafficking are those attuned to realities on the ground, such as sex workers and sex worker organizations in the case of transnational and domestic trafficking in persons for the purpose of sexual exploitation. Hence, it was recommended that active consultations and the fostering of partnerships with these sectors and with community-based, grassroots organizations should be an integral component of anti-trafficking policies, practices, and campaigns.\textsuperscript{162} Finally, there was evidence to suggest that the predicted and anticipated spike in demand for paid sexual services during the Olympic Games did not materialize. Participants attributed this trend to a number of possible factors, including the enhanced presence of security

\textsuperscript{158} Taylor, Justice Canada; Arsenault, RCMP; Officer, RCMP E Division; Hopkins, CIC BC/Yukon.
\textsuperscript{159} Arthur, Surrey Women’s Centre; Representative, Children of the Street; MacDaniel, Whistler Community Services.
\textsuperscript{160} Okun-Nachoff, West Coast Domestic Workers; Minwalla, Immigration and Refugee Lawyer.
\textsuperscript{161} The informant argued that, “we don’t see human trafficking cases being reported from the sex trade itself, so I really do kind of question the whole ‘we know what is going on thing’ … If it is happening it is not being reported to authorities.” Anonymous, Human Trafficking Researcher.
\textsuperscript{162} Officer, Diversity and Aboriginal Policing, VPD; Shannon, FIRST; Davis, West Coast Cooperative of Sex Industry Professionals; Allan, Jen’s Kitchen; Taylor, Hustle; Raine, SIWSAG; Gibson, WISH; O’Doherty, SIWSAG.
and law enforcement in the downtown area and a more “family-centred” fan base at the event; there was, however, no agreement on whether or not the public awareness campaigns that targeted male demand for paid sexual services prior to and during the Games had the desired effect.

While not diminishing the importance of implementing proactive prevention measures and coordinating multi-sector partnerships and social supports in host countries/regions, interviewees across stakeholder sectors returned to data that suggested that mega sporting events were not necessarily optimum and profitable environments for the operations of transnational and domestic traffickers particularly in the commercial sex sector, due to heightened security and enforcement in host cities/regions and the short duration of such events. In addition, some participants questioned the presumed connection between male behaviour and sporting events, while others argued that, rather than operating under the assumption that all mega sporting events are the “same,” as the most vocal anti-trafficking public awareness campaigns tended to assert, more nuanced distinctions between various international hallmark events were necessary. In the latter case, with reference to claims about increased male demand for paid sexual services as fueling a spike in human trafficking within the commercial sex sector, some participants stressed the unique character of Winter Olympics with its more “family-oriented” fan base. Others suggested that existing prostitution and labour laws in, and migration patterns to and within, host nations/regions might constitute other distinguishing factors; one informant also hinted at possible differences between international sporting events hosted in better-resourced First World countries/regions and those held in Third World nations.

In the end analysis, informants across stakeholder sectors did not identify a single determining factor that contributed to creating a low risk environment for human trafficking during mega sporting events in general and the 2010 Winter Olympic Games in particular. However, consistent with other studies that have assessed human trafficking prevention strategies in the context of international sporting events, the importance of adopting an evidence-based approach was emphasized. This general principle would apply equally to governmental and non-governmental agencies when developing prevention and intervention measures, public awareness campaigns, and appropriate assistance regimes for trafficked persons should the need materialize.

**Key Informant Recommendations**

In terms of recommendations for future organizers of mega sporting events, there were a number of suggestions that emerged from the interviews. In summary, these included the following:

163 Arsenault, RCMP; Olson, CBSA; Wijayakoon, RCMP E Division; Officer, RCMP E Division; Officer, Diversity and Aboriginal Policing, VPD; Dahlin, Victim Services; Davis, West Coast Cooperative of Sex Industry Professionals; Shannon, FIRST.

164 Arsenault, RCMP; Officer, RCMP E Division; Shannon, FIRST; Horton, SOLOS.
Examine the histories of and the lessons learned from previous international sporting events as well as the policies and practices implemented by other host nations/regions. This would include an analysis of the anticipated fan base.

Engage in an early assessment of the risk of human trafficking in the host country/region/city using an evidence-based approach, and develop appropriate prevention strategies accordingly. Labour trafficking should receive equivalent attention to trafficking in persons for the purpose of sexual exploitation, and prevention initiatives, including guiding principles for employers, should be initiated during the infrastructure and venue construction phase.

Establish mechanisms based on a consistent definitional methodology to track trafficking in persons information, data, and measurements, and conduct data collection prior, during, and after the event.

Strategic planning and implementation should include the development of a clearly defined human trafficking prevention plan with milestones and benchmarks, the fostering of partnerships, networks, coordination, and information sharing among relevant government agencies, enforcement bodies, and with NGOs, and the establishment of appropriate investigative protocols and referral mechanisms to monitor the situation on the ground and to respond to the support needs of trafficked persons. Key partnerships and consultations on strategic planning should also involve Indigenous, youth, sex worker, and migrant worker organizations/advocates as well as grassroots community-based groups.

In consultation with relevant NGOs and community-based partners, ensure that relevant and funded service strategies are in place to serve the needs of trafficked persons, as well as irregular migrant workers (including free and confidential legal advice and representation, interpreters, and translation).

Conduct targeted trafficking in persons awareness training of enforcement personnel (police officers, immigration and border officials), criminal justice officials, labour inspectors, first responders and NGO partners, as well as private sector employers and employees in such areas as construction, hospitality services, and transportation. This instruction should also include “sensitivity training” of all security and enforcement officers seconded to the event. Special attention should be paid to non-discriminatory treatment of foreign nationals at ports of entry and temporary foreign workers regardless of labour site, as well as to the rights, safety, and

165 Taylor, Justice Canada; Deans, CIC; Horton, SOLOS; O’Doherty, SIWSAG.
166 Wijayakoon, RCMP E Division.
167 Sergeant, Vice Unit, VPD; Irons, MAP Van; Shannon, UBC; Anonymous, Human Trafficking Researcher.
168 Olson, CBSA; Okun-Nachoff, West Coast Domestic Workers; Issacs, Mosaic; del Carmen Fuchs, Justicia; Pacey, Pivot; Goguen, Public Safety.
169 Léonard, CBSA; Olson, CBSA; Officer, Diversity and Aboriginal Policing, VPD.
170 Taylor, Justice Canada; Deans, CIC; Senior Policy Advisor, HRSDC; Officer, RCMP E Division; Anonymous, Human Trafficking Researcher.
171 Grey, Urban Native Youth; Okun-Nachoff, West Coast Domestic Workers; Raine, SIWSAG; O’Doherty, SIWSAG; Allan, Jen’s Kitchen; Thindal, ORCHID; Gibson, WISH; Taylor, Hustle; Shannon, FIRST.
172 Okun-Nachoff, West Coast Domestic Workers; Minwalla, Immigration and Refugee Lawyer; Arthur, Surrey Women’s Centre.
173 Goguen, Public Safety; Taylor, Justice Canada; Olson, CBSA; Deans, CIC; Supervisor, CIC BC/Yukon; Arsenault, RCMP; Officer, RCMP E Division; Representative, Children of the Street; Arthur, Surrey Women’s Centre; Anonymous, Human Trafficking Researcher.
needs of marginalized, stigmatized, vulnerable, and diverse local populations whose lives and work might be negatively impacted by the influx of tourists, an enhanced security and enforcement apparatus in their communities, as well as by certain anti-trafficking interventions (for example, massage parlour raids).  

- Devise and initiate a national or regional trafficking in persons public awareness campaign, with input from all relevant community stakeholders. Such a campaign should be accurate, evidence-based, and adhere to the principle of “do no harm.”

While attuned to the unique features of international hallmark events, a number of interviewees emphasized that many of the anti-trafficking prevention measures identified above should not merely be event-focused, but rather should be integral ingredients of a sustainable national trafficking in persons strategy.

VIII. Conclusions and Recommendations

The main focus of this study was to examine whether and to what extent the presence of the 2010 Vancouver Olympic and Paralympic Games on the Lower Mainland had an impact on the level of transnational and domestic human trafficking for the purposes of sexual and labour exploitation. Research involved examining available data on the link between trafficking in persons and previous mega sporting events, analyzing media, online, and public discussions that focused on human trafficking prior to and during the Olympic Games, and telephone, in-person, and e-mail interviews with 61 key informants representing national, provincial, and local governmental and non-governmental sectors. In the process of investigating the main research question, the research team also considered the dynamics of pre-Olympic anti-trafficking discourses and campaigns, what trafficking in persons prevention measures were implemented by government, enforcement, and non-governmental groups and the reported effectiveness of those strategies, as well as the key recommendations that emerged from interview participants.

The interview data provided contrary evidence about whether or not there were indications that human trafficking had occurred prior to and during the 2010 Olympic Games. Nonetheless, without out ruling the possibility that human trafficking for the purposes of labour and sexual exploitation might have evaded detection with the risk of domestic trafficking into the commercial sex sector specifically mentioned, the vast majority of informants across stakeholder sectors suggested that they had no specific knowledge of or that there was no concrete and verifiable evidence of trafficking in persons for the purposes of sexual or labour exploitation linked to the 2010 Olympic Games. In addition, as of the end of August 2010, no trafficking in persons cases connected to the event had reached the level of investigation. There was also no

174 del Carmen Fuchs, Justicia; Officer, Diversity and Aboriginal Policing, VPD; Davis, West Coast Cooperative of Sex Industry Professionals; Allan, Jen’s Kitchen; Irons, MAP Van; Thindal, ORCHID; Gibson, WISH; Shannon, FIRST; Pacey, Pivot.  
175 Arsenault, RCMP; Staff Person, OCTIP; Anonymous, Human Trafficking Researcher.  
176 O’Doherty, SIWSAG; Casey, Ph.D., UVic; Taylor, Hustle; Shannon, FIRST.  
177 Deans, CIC; Hopkins, CIC BC/Yukon; Staff Person, OCTIP; Minwalla, Immigration and Refugee Lawyer.
strong evidence of a significant spike in male demand for paid sexual services during the Olympic Games. In the absence of evidence-based research, which has systemically assessed the fan base of or measured male demand for paid sexual services during mega sporting events, it is unclear whether this was a feature unique to what some interviewees described as a more “family-oriented” event like the Winter Olympics or mega sporting events more generally. Available data suggests, however, that during presumably less “family-centred” international sporting events like the 2006 and 2010 FIFA World Cups, the anticipated or forecasted level of demand did not materialize.

The recommendations that emerged from the interview data as highlighted above are consistent with those presented in the IOM report and by the European Commission’s Expert Group on Trafficking in Human Beings in the aftermath of the 2006 FIFA World Cup. However, two additional observations emerged from this research. First, the IOM report recommended the development of a “single” and “professionally organized” NGO public awareness campaign with a consistent message and the creation of a coordinated media approach in order to ensure that accurate information about human trafficking was disseminated. As documented in this report, in the highly polarized “prostitution debates” in British Columbia prior to and during the 2010 Winter Olympics, common ground did appear to exist based on the general principle that coercion, violence, and exploitation are unacceptable in all sectors, including the sex industry. Nonetheless, divergent understandings of what constitutes human trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation and differing strategies to combat it produced a critical challenge to the development of a coordinated and, according to some interview participants, a measured and balanced public awareness and media campaign. Second, the establishment of partnerships among government, enforcement, and service provision agencies was also recommended as an important component of human trafficking prevention strategies prior to and during international sporting events. Data from interviews conducted further emphasized the importance of fostering collaborative partnerships and consultations on strategic planning with communities with on-the-ground knowledge of trafficking in persons and those whose lives and work might be adversely affected by the enhanced security and enforcement presence and anti-trafficking interventions during international sporting events. In the context of the 2010 Olympic Games, the beginnings of such a model were evident; in an effort to achieve a balance between enforcement, protection, and rights, a collaborative multi-stakeholder community partnership was established, which involved Vancouver law enforcement, sex worker organizations, and service provision agencies. Such a localized model could also be extended to include additional groups, such as Indigenous, youth, and migrant worker organizations/advocates as well as other grassroots, community-based organizations. Finally, in keeping with previous assessments of anti-trafficking prevention measures in the context of mega sporting events, a major theme that emerged from this research was the crucial and ongoing necessity of adopting an evidence-based strategic approach and practice. Applicable to governmental, enforcement, and non-governmental agencies, this underlying principle would shape the planning and implementation of anti-trafficking prevention strategies, public awareness and media campaigns, as well as necessary assistance measures for trafficked persons should the need materialize.
March 8, 2010

Dear ________,

We are writing to invite you to participate in a study entitled “2010 Winter Games Analysis on Human Trafficking” under contract with the Department of Public Safety Emergency Preparedness Canada (Project Authority Contact: Barry MacKillop, Director General, Public Safety Canada, 269 Laurier Avenue West, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0P8).

The research is being conducted by a team of three GAATW Canada researchers: Annalee Lepp (alepp@uvic.ca; 250-721-6157); Shauna Paull (threegals@shaw.ca; 604-619-1761); and Sarah Hunt (sarah.e.hunt@gmail.com; 250-888-0641).

Over the last five years, there have been intense discussions and debates globally, nationally, and provincially about the direct correlation between large international sporting events and an increase in human trafficking, particularly cross-border and domestic trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation. The purpose of this research is to consider the following:

a) What the multiple effects of the presence of the 2010 Winter Olympic and Paralympic Games in Vancouver/Whistler are in the following areas: cross international border movements, inter- and intra-provincial migration, and within region recruitment into such sectors as sex work/prostitution, construction, manufacturing, and the sales and service sector.

b) Within this broad context of potential heightened demand, in what ways and to what extent are large international sporting events (in this case, the 2010 Olympic Games) a conducive environment for human trafficking for the purposes of forced labour practices and sexual exploitation.

c) Was there an increase in human trafficking in the period leading up to and during the 2010 Olympic and Paralympic Games on the BC Lower Mainland?
In addition, the research will explore what factors need to be taken into account when considering the absence of an increase or an increase in human trafficking during this international sporting event.

In order to address these research questions, we are conducting a series of audiotaped telephone, in-person, and e-mail interviews with various stakeholders, including representatives from federal, provincial, and municipal agencies, non-governmental organizations in British Columbia, and labour unions. As a representative of (fill in the blank here), I would like to arrange a telephone interview with you of no more than one hour or an e-mail interview if you prefer the latter. I will be forwarding the list of interview questions and a participant consent form to you in advance; the participant consent form outlines the purposes of the research, addresses issues of anonymity and confidentiality, and specifies how the research results will be used. I will ask that you sign the consent form or provide verbal consent on the audiotape prior to the interview.

Your contribution to this study is both welcomed and critical for developing an evidenced-based analysis of the correlation between large international sporting events (in this case the Vancouver Olympics) and increased levels of human trafficking. If you have any questions about the research, feel free to contact me. I look forward to hearing from you regarding your participation.

Sincerely,
Annalee Lepp (alepp@uvic.ca; 250-721-6157)
GAATW Canada
Appendix B: Sample Letter of Introduction/Invitation (NGO Sectors)

January 28, 2010

Dear ________,

We are writing to invite you to participate in a study entitled “2010 Winter Games Analysis on Human Trafficking” under contract with the Department of Public Safety Emergency Preparedness Canada (Project Authority Contact: Barry MacKillop, Director General, Public Safety Canada, 269 Laurier Avenue West, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0P8).

The research is being conducted by a team of three GAATW Canada researchers: Annalee Lepp (alepp@uvic.ca; 250-721-6157); Shauna Paull (threegals@shaw.ca; 604-619-1761); and Sarah Hunt (sarah.e.hunt@gmail.com; 250-888-0641).

Over the last five years, there have been intense discussions and debates globally, nationally, and provincially about the direct correlation between large international sporting events and an increase in human trafficking, particularly cross-border and domestic trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation. The purpose of this research is to consider the following: What the multiple effects of the presence of the 2010 Winter Olympic and Paralympic Games in Vancouver/Whistler are in the following areas: cross international border movements, inter- and intra-provincial migration, and within region recruitment into such sectors as sex work/prostitution, construction, manufacturing, and the sales and service sector. Within this broad context of potential heightened demand, in what ways and to what extent are large international sporting events (in this case, the 2010 Olympic Games) a conducive environment for human trafficking for the purpose of forced labour practices and sexual exploitation. Was there an increase in human trafficking in the period leading up to and during the 2010 Olympic Games on the BC Lower Mainland? In addition, the research will explore what factors need to be taken into account when considering the absence of an increase or an increase in human trafficking during this international sporting event.

In order to address this research question, we are conducting a series of audiotaped telephone and in-person interviews with various stakeholders, including representatives from federal, provincial, and municipal agencies, non-governmental organizations in British Columbia, and labour unions. As a representative of (fill in the blank here), we would like to arrange a telephone/in-person...
interview with you of approximately one hour. We will be forwarding the list of interview questions and a participant consent form to you in advance; the participant consent form outlines the purposes of the research, addresses issues of anonymity and confidentiality, and specifies how the research results will be used. We will ask that you sign the consent form or provide verbal consent on the audiotape prior to the interview.

Your contribution to this study is both welcomed and critical for developing an evidenced-based analysis of the correlation between large international sporting events (in this case the Vancouver Olympics) and increased levels of human trafficking. If you have any questions about the research, feel free to contact us. We look forward to hearing from you regarding your participation.

Sincerely,

Annalee Lepp (alepp@uvic.ca; 250-721-6157)
Shauna Paull (threegals@shaw.ca; 604-619-1761)
Sarah Hunt (sarah.e.hunt@gmail.com; 250-888-0641)
GAATW Canada
Appendix C: Participant Consent Form Template

Participant Consent Form

Global Alliance Against Traffic In Women

2010 Winter Games Analysis on Human Trafficking

You are invited to participate in a study entitled “2010 Winter Games Analysis on Human Trafficking” that is being conducted by GAATW Canada and by the following researchers: Annalee Lepp (alepp@uvic.ca; 250-721-6157); Shauna Paull (threegals@shaw.ca; 604-619-1761); and Sarah Hunt (sarah.e.hunt@gmail.com; 250-888-0641).

This research is under contract with the Department of Public Safety Emergency Preparedness Canada. The Project Authority contact is Barry MacKillop, Director General, Public Safety Canada, 269 Laurier Avenue West, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0P8 should you wish to verify federal government approval of this research.

Purpose, Objectives, and Importance of this Research

Over the last five years, there have been intense discussions and debates globally, nationally, and provincially about the direct correlation between large international sporting events and an increase in human trafficking, particularly cross-border and domestic trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation. The purpose of this research project is to consider the following: What the multiple effects of the presence of the 2010 Winter Olympic and Paralympic Games in Vancouver/Whistler are in the following areas: cross international border movements, inter- and intra-provincial migration, and within region recruitment into such sectors as sex work/prostitution, construction, manufacturing, and the sales and service sector. Within this broad context of potential heightened demand, in what ways and to what extent are large international sporting events (in this case, the 2010 Olympic Games) a conducive environment for human trafficking for the purpose of forced labour practices and sexual exploitation. Was there an increase in human trafficking in the period leading up to and during the 2010 Olympic Games on the BC Lower Mainland? In addition, the research will explore what factors need to be taken into account when considering the absence of or an increase in human trafficking during this international sporting event. The main significance of this research is its aim to take an evidence-based approach to the aforementioned debates and hopefully broaden our understanding of the contours and complexities of international sporting events as they relate to people’s mobility (voluntary or forced) into various labour sites and potentially exploitative circumstances.
Participants Selection
You are being asked to participate in this study because, as a member of (fill in the blank), you have experience and expertise in the area of human trafficking and/or the organization of/preparation for the Vancouver Winter Olympics.

What is involved
If you agree to voluntarily participate in this research, your participation will include one or two audiotaped telephone or in-person interviews of approximately one hour in duration each at a location, on a date and at a time that is most convenient for you. A research assistant hired by the research team be transcribing and coding the audiotaped interviews for the purposes of thematic analysis.

Inconvenience
Participation in this study may cause some inconvenience to you, including the time devoted to participating in the interview(s).

Risks
There are no known or anticipated risks to you by participating in this research.

Benefits
The potential benefits of your participation in this research include the opportunity to share your work and expertise on the phenomena of domestic and cross-border movements and human trafficking in the Canada and British Columbian context and how these are linked/not linked to the presence of the Vancouver Winter Olympics.

Voluntary Participation
Your participation in this research must be completely voluntary. If you do decide to participate, you have the right to refuse to answer any questions you do not wish to answer. You may also withdraw at any time without any consequences or any explanation. If you do withdraw from the study, your data will only be used only if the researchers obtain written permission to do so.

On-going Consent
To make sure that you continue to consent to participate in this research especially in the case of a second or follow-up interview, the researchers will review the conditions of participation as outlined in this consent form and will obtain your ongoing consent verbally on the audiotape prior to the second interview.

Anonymity
In terms of protecting your anonymity, we have listed 3 options below providing you with the opportunity to indicate what level of anonymity you prefer in the written results. Please indicate your preference:

_____ I agree to be identified by name and institutional/organizational affiliation, to be credited in the written results of the study, and to have my responses attributed to me by name and institutional/organizational affiliation in the written results.
I prefer the use of a pseudonym and the removal of the name of my institutional/organizational affiliation in the written results.

I prefer the use of a pseudonym but I agree to the identification of my institutional/organizational affiliation in the written results.

Confidentiality
Regardless of the level of anonymity you choose above, the confidentiality of the data will be protected using the following procedures. Upon the completion of the interview, a research assistant will transcribe the interview data and code it; the data will be stored in password protected computer files. Printed transcripts will also be coded and will be stored in a secure location at the researchers’ home residences or offices. Only the three principal researchers and the research assistant will have access to the original data.

Dissemination of Results
It is anticipated that the results of this study will be shared with others in the following ways: the researchers are contracted to submit a research report to Department of Public Safety Emergency Preparedness Canada in April 2010, which we anticipate will be circulated among federal government departments and perhaps posted on the Department of Public Safety website. In addition, the researchers, with the approval of the Department of Public Safety, may in future present the results of this study at public, NGO, or academic forums or use the results to publish articles in NGO or academic publications.

Disposal of Data
Data from this study will continue to be stored in password-protected files and in secure locations until the completion of the final report in June 2010. It is anticipated that the original data will be destroyed in five years – audiotapes will be erased; password protected computer files will be deleted; and printed transcripts will be shredded. In that five-year period, the original data will continue to be securely stored as indicated above.

Contacts
Individuals that may be contacted regarding this study include the Project Authority at the Department of Public Safety at the address listed above, as well as the main researchers at the e-mail addresses or telephone numbers identified above.

Your signature below or your verbal consent on the audiotape indicates that you understand the above conditions of participation in this study and that you have had the opportunity to have your questions answered by the researchers.

Name of Participant   Signature   Date

A copy of this consent will be left with you, and a copy will be taken by the researcher.
Appendix D: Interview Questionnaire (Government Departments/Agencies)

2010 Winter Olympics Analysis on Human Trafficking
Interview Questions
Government Departments/Agencies

Preamble

The term human trafficking is widely used and the processes being described have tended to encompass a wide range of phenomena. For the purposes of this research, we rely on the UN Trafficking Protocol definition (internationally agreed upon in 2000); the provisions contained in the Immigration and Refugee Protection Act (came into effect in 2002) that pertain to transnational trafficking, as well as the Canadian Criminal Code definition (introduced in 2005). There are further provisions in various sections of the Criminal Code that cover trafficking-related offences.

a) UN Trafficking Protocol Definition (2000)

“‘Trafficking in persons’ shall mean the recruitment, transportation, transfer, harbouring or receipt of persons, by means of the threat or use of force or other forms of coercion, of abduction, of fraud, of deception, of the abuse of power or of a position of vulnerability or of the giving or receiving of payments or benefits to achieve the consent of a person having control over another person, for the purpose of exploitation. Exploitation shall include, at a minimum, the exploitation of the prostitution of others or other forms of sexual exploitation, forced labour or services, slavery or practices similar to slavery, servitude or removal of organs.”

The definition refers to three distinct elements:

i) a set of actions which involve recruiting or moving someone;
ii) the means by which those actions are carried out (“the threat or use of force …”);
iii) and a purpose (forms of exploitation for which people are recruited or moved); it should be noted that there is no international definition of “sexual exploitation” so countries can define and address this as they deem appropriate.

In the case of people aged 18 years and over, all three elements must be involved for a case to be considered trafficking. However, in the case of youth and children under 18 years of age, the coercive means mentioned in the definition (ii. above) do not need to be involved; it is sufficient for an adolescent under 18 to be recruited (i.e. without being subjected to threats, deception, etc.) in order to be exploited, for the case to be regarded as trafficking.

b) Immigration and Refugee Protection Act (2002, Section 118)

The provisions pertaining to human trafficking in the Immigration and Refugee Protection Act pertain specifically to transnational trafficking in persons. The offences cover the following:
i) Section 118: “knowingly organize the coming into Canada of one or more persons by means of abduction, fraud, deception or use or threat of force or coercion.” “Organize with respect to persons” refers to “recruitment or transportation and, after their entry into Canada, the receipt or harbouring of those persons.”

ii) Section 121: Aggravating factors in determining the appropriate penalty include (a) bodily harm or death; (b) the involvement (benefit or direction) of a criminal organization; (c) the commission of the offence for profit; and (d) “a person was subjected to humiliating or degrading treatment, including with respect to work or health conditions or sexual exploitation.”

c) Canadian Criminal Code (2005, Sections 279.01 – 03)

The Canadian Criminal Code definition covers both cross-border and domestic trafficking and in general addresses the following elements (unlike the provisions under IRPA, exploitation rather than movement is the essential element of the Criminal Code offence).

i) Section 279.01: “recruiting, transporting, transferring, receiving, holding, concealing or harbouring a person, or exercising control or influence over the movements of a person, for the purpose of exploiting or facilitating the exploitation of that person.”

ii) Section 279.02: “receiving a financial or other material benefit for the purpose of committing or facilitating the exploitation of that person.”

iii) Section 279.03: “withholding or destruction of documents, such as a victim’s travel documents or documents establishing their identity, for the purpose of committing or facilitating the exploitation of that person.”

iv) Section 279.04: “defines exploitation as causing a person to provide, or offer to provide, labour or services by engaging in conduct that leads the victim to reasonably fear for their safety or that of someone known to them, if they fail to comply. It would apply to the use of force, deception or other forms of coercion causing the removal of a human organ or tissue.”

Questions

When responding to the following questions, please provide concrete examples to support your claims.

Organizational/Agency/Department Work

1. Please describe your work and the work of the government agency you work for? (Please note that if you opted for anonymity on the consent form, identifying markers will be removed and this is for our information or coding purposes only.)

2. Does your government agency work directly with trafficked persons or persons who migrate across international borders, from other provinces, or within provinces (rural/urban)? If so, in what capacity? How would you describe your clientele? What is the nature of your work or what supports do you provide?
3. Do you keep statistics on the number of suspected or verified trafficking cases that your government department has dealt with?

a) If so, would you be willing to share national and BC-specific statistics on an annualized basis and by labour site that pertain to:

i) those suspected to be or verified as international cross-border trafficked persons;

ii) those suspected to be or verified as persons trafficked domestically?

If so, please provide, relevant data.

b) Would you be willing to share statistics during the month after the conclusion of the Olympics games?

4. Given the presence of a national discourse that identified four Ps: prevention, prosecution, protection, and promotion of partnerships, what is your impression of the anti-trafficking conversation in Canada, in British Columbia, and in Vancouver in general and particularly as it relates to the 2010 Vancouver Olympics (media reports, various panels and debates, etc.)? Based on your work, does it reflect the realities on the ground?

**What are the links?**

5. Based on the work that you do, how would you characterize the links (cause and effects) between large sporting events like the 2010 Winter Olympics and one or more of the following areas:
   i) human trafficking;
   ii) migration (across borders, inter-provincially, within the province);
   iii) labour exploitation? Please specify which sectors you are referring to.

What factors need to be taken into account in identifying and addressing these links (for example, causes and effects)?

**Movement**

6. Within the context of the lead up to and during the 2010 Olympic Games, do you have a sense of whether people are moving across borders, from other provinces, or within the province to the Vancouver area?

If so, can you describe these populations/individuals? What evidence do you have that these movements are occurring? (for example, the nature of the cases that your department has dealt with; a changing client base of your government department, etc.)

7. a) If you have witnessed greater mobility and based on your experience, what motivated these people/individuals to move? (For example, rural-urban, urban-rural, various employment opportunities, increased demand in a specific labour sector, etc.)
b) Is this movement facilitated in some way by a third-party? If so, please describe the forms that this third party facilitation has taken?

8. In considering the pre-Olympic Game and post-Game contexts, did you witness a quantitative shift in the number of trafficked persons or mobile persons (youth, women, men, etc.) you identified or worked with? If so, please explain.

**Initiatives**

9. Have you seen any change in the levels of awareness or information sharing about sexual/labour exploitation or trafficking in people working in your department in recent years? To what do you attribute this shift? What do you consider the effect of this shift as it pertains to the four Ps: prevention, prosecution, protection, and promotion of partnerships?

10. What initiatives, activities, or measures has your department undertaken in preparation for the 2010 Olympics especially as it pertains to human trafficking and/or issues related to labour exploitation? These might include, among others,

   a) Public awareness campaigns

   b) Specific training or information sessions in your department

   c) Specific policies, regulations, or practices related to particular labour sectors (sex work, construction, manufacturing, sales and service, etc.)

   c) Specific law enforcement strategies

   d) Specific border security strategies

   e) Other

**Please provide as much detail as possible.**

11. Did your department partner with other departments, organizations, agencies, Olympic organizers, faith-based organizations, etc. in devising these initiatives, activities, measures, strategies, or training? Was there funding attached to these initiatives?

12. What did you hope to or have you achieved by undertaking these initiatives, activities, measures, strategies, or training?

**Measuring Effectiveness**

13. How do you plan to measure or how have you measured the effectiveness of these strategies in addressing human trafficking or labour exploitation during the lead up to and during the Olympic Games? Given these criteria, were your strategies effective?
14. Were there ways in which these initiatives, measures, activities, strategies, training might have had unintended consequences particularly for marginalized or mobile groups in or coming to the Vancouver area (for example, enhanced policing, surveillance, profiling of certain groups)?

**Overall Accounting**

15. In your expert opinion and based on your work, was there an increase in the level of human trafficking, movement of persons, and/or labour exploitation in the lead up and during the Olympics? In which of these sectors in particular? How do you account for a shift or lack of shift?

16. What recommendations or advice would you give to organizers of future major sporting events especially as they pertain to human trafficking, mobile populations, and labour exploitation?

17. Is there anyone else in your department (at the BC level) that we should interview who has expertise in human trafficking, labour/sexual exploitation as they relate to the 2010 Olympics?
Appendix E: Interview Questionnaire (NGO Sectors)

2010 Winter Olympics Analysis on Human Trafficking
Interview Questions

Preamble

The term human trafficking is widely used and the processes being described have tended to encompass a wide range of phenomena. For the purposes of this research, we rely on the UN Trafficking Protocol definition (internationally agreed upon in 2000); the provisions contained in the Immigration and Refugee Protection Act (came into effect in 2002) that pertain to transnational trafficking, as well as the Canadian Criminal Code definition (introduced in 2005). There are further provisions in various sections of the Criminal Code that cover trafficking-related offences.

a) UN Trafficking Protocol Definition (2000)

“‘Trafficking in persons’ shall mean the recruitment, transportation, transfer, harbouring or receipt of persons, by means of the threat or use of force or other forms of coercion, of abduction, of fraud, of deception, of the abuse of power or of a position of vulnerability or of the giving or receiving of payments or benefits to achieve the consent of a person having control over another person, for the purpose of exploitation. Exploitation shall include, at a minimum, the exploitation of the prostitution of others or other forms of sexual exploitation, forced labour or services, slavery or practices similar to slavery, servitude or removal of organs.”

The definition refers to three distinct elements:

i) a set of actions which involve recruiting or moving someone;
ii) the means by which those actions are carried out (“the threat or use of force …”);
iii) and a purpose (forms of exploitation for which people are recruited or moved); it should be noted that there is no international definition of “sexual exploitation” so countries can define and address this as they deem appropriate.

In the case of people aged 18 years and over, all three elements must be involved for a case to be considered trafficking. However, in the case of youth and children under 18 years of age, the coercive means mentioned in the definition (ii. above) do not need to be involved; it is sufficient for an adolescent under 18 to be recruited (i.e. without being subjected to threats, deception, etc.) in order to be exploited, for the case to be regarded as trafficking.

b) Immigration and Refugee Protection Act (2002, Section 118)

The provisions pertaining to human trafficking in the Immigration and Refugee Protection Act pertain specifically to transnational trafficking in persons. The offences cover the following:
i) Section 118: “knowingly organize the coming into Canada of one or more persons by means of abduction, fraud, deception or use or threat of force or coercion.” “Organize with respect to persons” refers to “recruitment or transportation and, after their entry into Canada, the receipt or harbouring of those persons.”

ii) Section 121: Aggravating factors in determining the appropriate penalty include (a) bodily harm or death; (b) the involvement (benefit or direction) of a criminal organization; (c) the commission of the offence for profit; and (d) “a person was subjected to humiliating or degrading treatment, including with respect to work or health conditions or sexual exploitation.”

c) Canadian Criminal Code (2005, Sections 279.01 – 03)

The Canadian Criminal Code definition covers both cross-border and domestic trafficking and in general addresses the following elements (unlike the provisions under IRPA, exploitation rather than movement is the essential element of the Criminal Code offence).

i) Section 279.01: “recruiting, transporting, transferring, receiving, holding, concealing or harbouring a person, or exercising control or influence over the movements of a person, for the purpose of exploiting or facilitating the exploitation of that person.”

ii) Section 279.02: “receiving a financial or other material benefit for the purpose of committing or facilitating the exploitation of that person.”

iii) Section 279.03: “withholding or destruction of documents, such as a victim’s travel documents or documents establishing their identity, for the purpose of committing or facilitating the exploitation of that person.”

iv) Section 279.04: “defines exploitation as causing a person to provide, or offer to provide, labour or services by engaging in conduct that leads the victim to reasonably fear for their safety or that of someone known to them, if they fail to comply. It would apply to the use of force, deception or other forms of coercion causing the removal of a human organ or tissue.”

Questions

When responding to the following questions, please provide concrete examples to support your claims.

Organizational/Agency Work

1. Please describe your work and the work of the organization/agency you work for? (Please note that if you opted for anonymity on the consent form, identifying markers will be removed and this is for our information purposes only.)

2. Does your organization/agency work directly with trafficked persons or persons who migrate across borders, from other provinces, or within the province (rural/urban)? If so, in what capacity? How would you describe your clientele? What types of supports do you provide?
3. What is your impression of the anti-trafficking conversation in Canada, in British Columbia, in Vancouver in general and particularly as it relates to the 2010 Vancouver Olympics?

**What are the links?**
4. Based on the work that you do, how would you characterize the links between large sporting events like the 2010 Winter Olympics and one or more of the following factors:
   i) human trafficking;
   ii) migration (across borders, inter-provincially, within the province);
   iii) labour exploitation? Please specify which sectors you are referring to.

What factors need to be taken into account in identifying and addressing these links (for example, in terms of causes and effects)?

**Movement**
5. Within the context of the lead up to and during the 2010 Olympic Games, do you have a sense of whether people are moving across borders, from other provinces, or within the province to the Vancouver area? [This can be tailored to the specific group being interviewed; e.g. Aboriginal community]

If so, can you describe these populations/individuals? What evidence do you have that these movements are occurring? (for example, a changing client base in your organization/agency, etc.)

6. If you have witnessed greater mobility and based on your experience, what motivated these people/individuals to move? (For example, rural-urban, urban-rural, various employment opportunities, increased demand in a specific labour sector, etc.)

Is this movement facilitated in some way by a third-party? If so, please describe the forms that this third party facilitation has taken?

7. During the lead-up to the Olympics and during the Olympics themselves, have you seen an increased risk of violence, exploitation, or trafficking of members of your community? If so, please explain.


9. In considering the pre-Olympic Game and post-Game contexts, did you witness a quantitative shift in the number of trafficked persons or mobile persons (youth, women, men, etc.) you identified or worked with? If so, please explain.

**Initiatives**
10. Have you seen any change in the levels of awareness or information sharing about sexual/labour exploitation or trafficking in your community in recent years? To what do you attribute this shift?
11. What initiatives, activities, or measures has your organization/agency undertaken in preparation for the 2010 Olympics especially as it pertains to human trafficking and/or issues related to labour exploitation? These might include, among others,

   a) Public awareness campaigns
   b) Specific training or information sessions in your organization/agency
   c) Specific policies, regulations, or practices related to particular labour sectors (sex work, construction, manufacturing, sales and service, etc.)
   d) Specific law enforcement strategies
   e) Specific border security strategies
   f) Other

Please provide as much detail as possible.

12. Did you partner with government/Olympic organizers (federal, provincial, municipal, city, VANOC, etc.) or faith-based organizations in devising these initiatives, activities, measures, or strategies? Was there funding attached to these initiatives?

13. What did you hope to or have you achieved by undertaking these initiatives, activities, measures, and strategies?

**Measuring Effectiveness**

14. How do you plan to measure or how have you measured the effectiveness of these strategies in addressing human trafficking or labour exploitation during the lead up to and during the Olympic Games? Given these criteria, were your strategies effective?

15. Were there ways in which these initiatives, measures, activities, and strategies might have had unintended consequences particularly for marginalized groups in the Vancouver area (for example, enhanced policing, surveillance, profiling of certain groups)?

**Overall Accounting**

16. In your expert opinion and based on your work, was there an increase in the level of human trafficking, movement of persons, and/or labour exploitation in the lead up and during the Olympics? In which of these sectors in particular? How do you account for a shift or lack of shift?

17. What recommendations or advice would you give to organizers of future major sporting events especially as they pertain to human trafficking, mobile populations, and labour exploitation?

18. Is there anyone else in your community or organization that you think we should ask about these issues of human trafficking, labour/sexual exploitation, and the Olympics?