WHAT WORKS FOR SEXUAL OFFENDERS?

Question: Do the principles of effective intervention for general offenders also apply to treatments for sexual offenders?

Background: Although there is general agreement that certain forms of intervention can effectively reduce the recidivism rates of general offenders, there is less agreement about the effectiveness of treatment for sexual offenders. Sex offenders are often considered to have unique characteristics (e.g., sexual deviance), which may be particularly hard to change or manage. For general offenders, the interventions that have proved to be the most successful are those that follow the principles of risk, need and responsivity (RNR). The risk principle states that the most resources should be directed to the offenders with the highest risk of recidivism, with little or no interventions for the lowest risk offenders. The need principle directs intervention toward factors related to recidivism risk (criminogenic needs), and the responsivity principle tells treatment providers to adapt interventions to the personal learning style of the offenders.

The validity of the RNR principles for general offenders has been documented in a large number of studies and reviews. Previous reviews of the sexual offender treatment studies have noted different results for different treatments. The current review examined the extent to which this variation in treatment outcome can be explained by adherence to the RNR principles.

Method: A thorough review of the sexual offender treatment literature was conducted, identifying 23 studies that met basic criteria for research quality. The effectiveness of treatment was measured by comparing the recidivism rates of treated and untreated offenders. Each treatment was then coded by an independent, impartial rater as to the extent to which it adhered to the RNR principles.

Answer: Across all treatments, the recidivism rates for the treated offenders was lower than the rates for the comparison groups for both sexual recidivism (11% versus 19%, sample size of 6,746) and general recidivism (32% versus 48%, sample size of 4,801). The treatments that were most effective were those that adhered to the RNR principles of effective corrections. On average, the treatments that followed all three principles showed recidivism rates that were less than half the recidivism rates for the comparison groups. In contrast, the...
were also relevant for gang members with
gang members scoring higher on factors
such as criminal associations and a history
of perpetration.

Finally, when the risk/need factors were
summed to form a general risk score, this
score predicted recidivism, whether any new
conviction or a violent conviction, equally
well with gang members as with non-gang
members.

Policy Implications:

1. Gang members vary in their risk to re-
offend. Therefore, it is important that
policy and practice avoid adopting a
“one-size-fits-all” approach for
addressing youth gangs.

2. Risk/need assessments developed on
the general offender population appear
to apply equally well to gang members.
Such instruments can be used to
differentiate the risk levels of gang
members and tailor interventions that
are proportional to offender risk.

3. The assessment of the criminogenic
needs afforded by actuarial risk/need
instruments can guide the selection of
targets for treatment programs.
Addressing the criminogenic needs of
gang members through offender
treatment programming can lead to
reduced offender recidivism and
improved public safety.

Source: Guay, J.-P. (2012). Predicting
Recidivism with Street Gang Members
(Corrections User Report 2012-02). Ottawa:
Public Safety Canada.
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